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1 Introduction

[All text is normative unless otherwise labeled]

[Informative]

The RBAC Profile [XACML-3.0-RBAC] refers to a processing entity called a Role Enablement Authority that assigns role attributes and values to users or enables role attributes and values during a user's session. Although the final profile prescribes no specific form for a Role Enablement Authority, intermediate drafts did describe a mechanism using a separate PDP and XACML policies to enable role attributes and values during a user's session, which was subsequently removed.

This erstwhile mechanism was conceptually inefficient. It required the client of the role enablement authority (which is likely to be a context handler) to send a request for each role, called a role enablement request, that effectively asked the question “is this role enabled for this access subject?” The client would necessarily need a complete list of roles to ask about; if there were 1,000 roles then there would be 1,000 role enablement requests.

In addition, the role enablement request used the access-subject and environment categories of the *initial request* but identified the action as role enablement and set the resource to the role identifier. This meant that a role enablement decision could not be made based on the action and resource of the *initial request*. For example, a particular role could not be enabled only for querying of resources in a specific location.

What we really want to ask is “what roles are enabled for the access subject given this entire request context?” and preferably to do so with a single request to a Role Enablement Authority. This profile defines a method to ask this question in one request within a more general framework that allows us to ask what values of arbitrary attributes of arbitrary categories are enabled given the entire request context.

This more general authority is called a Dynamic Attribute authority (DAA). It is a rules engine that takes attributes in a request context and runs through its rules to generate a collection of attribute values for one or more attribute types (it can do more than one attribute type simultaneously). Insofar as the DAA is generating values of the subject role attribute it is acting as a Role Enablement Authority.

In order to reuse existing capabilities, and thereby simplify implementation, the rules held by the DAA are in the form of XACML policies and policy sets, i.e., *DA policies* and *DA policy sets*, that are processed in the usual manner for a PDP. The extended data flow model that includes the DAA is described in Section 0.

The attribute values to be enabled are specified by two kinds of obligations described in Section 3. The first kind of obligation specifies values of a nominated attribute that are enabled (inclusions) and the other kind of obligation specifies exclusions that override the values specified by the first kind of obligation. In their simplest form these obligations contain lists of literal values. The *DA policies* mostly contain permit rules that, if applicable, return (through these obligations) either values to include or values to exclude. The context handler unions the values of the inclusion obligations and subtracts the values of the exclusion obligations for each nominated attribute to get a final list of enabled values for that attribute. The enabled values are added to the *initial request* to form the *final request*, which is then processed as usual.

The rules that return exclusions take the place of deny rules. An actual deny rule would override the permit rules for every attribute to enable when we only want to deny specific values of one specific attribute.

1.1 Glossary

1.1.1 Definitions of terms

**Initial request**

The authorization request received by the context handler from a Policy Enforcement Point (PEP).
Final request
The authorization request after it has been augmented by the context handler with any attributes and values from processing the obligations returned by the DAA.

Dynamic attribute authority (DAA)
The system entity that evaluates an initial request against the collection of DA policies and DA policy sets to arrive at a set of obligations which when processed by the context handler result in additional attributes to add into the final request. The DAA as described by this profile is functionally equivalent to a PDP.

Dynamic attribute category (DA category)
The category of an attribute potentially added to the final request.

Dynamic attribute type (DA type)
The data-type of a value of an attribute potentially added to the final request.

Dynamic attribute identifier (DA identifier)
The identifier of an attribute potentially added to the final request.

Dynamic attribute issuer (DA issuer)
The optional issuer of an attribute potentially added to the final request.

Dynamic attribute obligation (DA obligation)
An obligation defined in this profile.

Dynamic attribute policy (DA policy)
An XACML policy that is used by the DAA. May be a component of an DA policy set.

Dynamic attribute policy set (DA policy set)
An XACML policy set that is used by the DAA. May be a component of another DA policy set.

Dynamic attribute request (DA request)
A request generated from the initial request by the context handler and submitted to the DAA for evaluation.

Dynamic attribute rule (DA rule)
An XACML rule that is used by the DAA. A component of an DA policy.

1.1.2 Acronyms and abbreviations

1.1.3 Document conventions

- Naming conventions
- Font colors and styles
- Typographical conventions

The replacement text for the XML entity reference “&xacml1;” used in examples is "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:"

The replacement text for the XML entity reference “&xacml2;” used in examples is "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:"

The replacement text for the XML entity reference “&xacml3;” used in examples is "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:"
2 Extended Data-flow Model

The context handler first submits the initial request to the DAA. The initial request is evaluated by the DAA in the same manner that a PDP evaluates an authorization request except that the applicable policy is the collection of DA policies and DA policy sets. Other policies and policy sets SHALL be ignored by the DAA.

If the decision returned by the DAA is “Permit”, then the DA obligations returned by the DAA are used by the context handler to transform the initial request into the final request. The required processing is described in Section 3.

If the decision returned by the DAA is “Deny” or “NotApplicable”, then the decision has no effect and the final request is identical to the initial request.

If the decision returned by the DAA is “Indeterminate”, then the original request SHALL immediately evaluate to “Indeterminate” without creating and submitting the final request.

The DA obligations are discarded after processing by the context handler.

The DA policies and DA policy sets MAY contain AdviceExpressions, and ObligationExpressions for obligations other than the ones defined in this profile. If the DAA returns obligations that the context handler does not know how to satisfy under this extended data-flow model then the original request SHALL immediately evaluate to “Indeterminate” without creating and submitting the final request. If the DAA returns advice that the context handler does not know how to process under this extended data-flow model then that advice SHALL be discarded. Note that the processing requirements imposed on a PEP by a previously defined obligation may not be appropriate to the context handler, nor appropriate to return to the PEP unaltered.

Any policy and policy set identifiers in the PolicyIdentifierList accompanying the decision returned by the DAA SHALL be retained to be added to the PolicyIdentifierList of the final result returned to the PEP.

The context handler submits the final request to the PDP. The PDP SHALL NOT use any of the DA policies or DA policy sets when evaluating the final request.

In the case of a request for multiple decisions [xacml-3.0-multiple-v1.0], each individual request is treated as an initial request. The context handler SHALL submit each such initial request to the DAA and use the outcome to form the corresponding final request for each individual request. The collection of final requests is then processed according to [xacml-3.0-multiple-v1.0].
3 Obligations

The Obligation elements for DA obligations are processed collectively.

The inclusion obligations are processed first to generate attribute values that are each added to a distinct value set associated with a specific XACML attribute according to that value’s DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer. The DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer are determined from the content of the Obligation element in a manner specific to the identified obligation. Duplicate values are discarded, where equality is determined according to the type-equal function XACML-v3.0-Errata01-complete for the DA type. Equality is implementation-defined for data-types that do not have a type-equal function.

The exclusion obligations are then processed to remove zero or more values from these sets.

Finally, the initial request is transformed into the final request by adding the values from the values sets as XACML attribute values, replacing any existing values of the same attribute.

The DA issuer may be unspecified, in which case it takes on the special value of null. The null value is distinct from any other string value, including the empty string.

3.1 Common Attribute Assignments

Some of the DA obligations specify the DA category, DA identifier, DA type or DA issuer using separate AttributeAssignment elements.

A DA category attribute assignment is an AttributeAssignment element with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:category as the value of its AttributeId XML attribute. The value of its DataType XML attribute MUST be http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI. It MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The DA category is the character data content of the element, which SHOULD be the URI of an XACML attribute category.

A DA identifier attribute assignment is an AttributeAssignment element with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:attribute-id as the value of its AttributeId XML attribute. The value of its DataType XML attribute MUST be http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI. It MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The DA identifier is the character data content of the element, which SHOULD be the URI of an XACML attribute.

A DA type attribute assignment is an AttributeAssignment element with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:data-type as the value of its AttributeId XML attribute. The value of its DataType XML attribute MUST be http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI. It MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The DA type is the character data content of the element, which SHOULD be the URI of an XACML data-type.

A DA issuer attribute assignment is an AttributeAssignment element with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:issuer as the value of its AttributeId XML attribute. The value of its DataType XML attribute MUST be http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string. It MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The DA issuer is the character data content of the element, which identifies an issuer.

3.2 Inclusion Obligations

3.2.1 The include Obligation

The include obligation specifies zero or more attribute values to be added to one or more value sets and has the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:obligation:include. It does not use any of the common attribute assignments. Each AttributeAssignment element within the Obligation element describes one attribute value. The DA category, DA identifier and DA type are,
respectively, the values of the Category, AttributeId and DataType XML attributes of the element. The DA issuer is the value of the Issuer XML attribute, if present, otherwise it is null. The DataType XML attribute and character data content of the element define a single XACML attribute value. The DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer determine which value set the value is placed in, unless it is a duplicate value. Since each AttributeAssignment element can have different values for its XML attributes, a single include obligation can cause values to be added to more than one value set.

3.2.2 The include-values Obligation

The include-values obligation specifies zero or more attribute values to be added to one or more value sets with the same DA category, DA identifier and DA issuer, and has the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:obligation:include-values. The DA category, DA identifier and DA issuer are specified by separate AttributeAssignment elements within the Obligation element. There MUST be exactly one DA category attribute assignment. There MUST be exactly one DA identifier attribute assignment. There MAY be one DA issuer attribute assignment. If there is no DA issuer attribute assignment then the DA issuer is null. There MAY be one or more AttributeAssignment elements with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:value as the value of their AttributeId XML attribute. They MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The DataType XML attribute and character data content of the element define a single XACML attribute value. The DA type is the value of the DataType XML attribute. The value is added to the value set with the corresponding DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer, unless it is a duplicate. These elements MAY have different values for their DataType XML attribute. No other AttributeAssignment elements are permitted. The order of the AttributeAssignment elements is not restricted. If the Obligation element does not satisfy the requirements in this section then the obligation SHALL be treated as unknown. The include-values obligation can be thought of as a long-form version of the include obligation, but has the additional ability to compute the DA category, DA identifier or DA issuer using an XACML expression instead of them being restricted to literal URIs.

3.3 Exclusion Obligations

3.3.1 The exclude Obligation

The exclude obligation specifies zero or more attribute values to be removed from one or more value sets and has the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:obligation:exclude. It does not use any of the common attribute assignments. Each AttributeAssignment element within the Obligation element describes one attribute value. The DA category, DA identifier and DA type are, respectively, the values of the Category, AttributeId and DataType XML attributes of the element. The DA issuer is the value of the Issuer XML attribute, if present, otherwise it is null. The DataType XML attribute and character data content of the element define a single XACML attribute value. The DA category, DA identifier and DA type and DA issuer determine from which value set the value is removed, where value equality is determined according to the type-equal function [XACML-v3.0-Errata01-complete] for the DA type. Equality is implementation-defined for data-types that do not have a type-equal function. The AttributeAssignment element has no effect if the value is not in the value set. Since each AttributeAssignment element can have different values for its XML attributes, a single exclude obligation can cause values to be removed from more than one value set.
3.3.2 The exclude-values Obligation

The exclude-values obligation specifies zero or more attribute values to be removed from one or more value sets with the same DA category, DA identifier and DA issuer, and has the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:obligation:exclude-values. The DA category, DA identifier and DA issuer are specified by separate AttributeAssignment elements within the Obligation element.

There MUST be exactly one DA category attribute assignment.

There MUST be exactly one DA identifier attribute assignment.

There MAY be one DA issuer attribute assignment. If there is no DA issuer attribute assignment then the DA issuer is null.

There MAY be one or more AttributeAssignment elements with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:value as the value of their AttributeId XML attribute. They MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The DataType XML attribute and character data content of the element define a single XACML attribute value. The DA type is the value of the DataType XML attribute. The value is removed from the value set with the corresponding DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer, if it is present. These elements MAY have different values for their DataType XML attribute. No other AttributeAssignment elements are permitted.

The order of the AttributeAssignment elements is not restricted.

If the Obligation element does not satisfy the requirements in this section then the obligation SHALL be treated as unknown.

The exclude-values obligation can be thought of as a long-form version of the exclude obligation, but has the additional ability to compute the DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer using an XACML expression instead of them being restricted to literal URIs.

3.3.3 The exclude-matching-values Obligation

The exclude-matching-values obligation specifies zero or more attribute values to be removed from one value set and has the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:obligation:exclude-matching-values. The DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer are specified by separate AttributeAssignment elements within the Obligation element.

There MUST be exactly one DA category attribute assignment.

There MUST be exactly one DA identifier attribute assignment.

There MAY be one DA issuer attribute assignment. If there is no DA issuer attribute assignment then the DA issuer is null.

There MUST be exactly one DA type attribute assignment.

There MUST be exactly one AttributeAssignment element with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:value as the value of its AttributeId XML attribute. Call this the value attribute assignment. It MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The DataType XML attribute and character data content of the element define a single XACML attribute value.

There MUST be exactly one AttributeAssignment element with urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:attribute:function-id as the value of its AttributeId XML attribute. The value of its DataType XML attribute MUST be http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI. It MUST NOT have a Category or Issuer XML attribute. The character data content of the element MUST be the URI of an XACML function that takes two arguments and returns a Boolean result. The first argument MUST have the same data-type as the value of the DataType XML attribute of the value attribute assignment. The data-type of the second argument MUST be the same as the DA type.
No other AttributeAssignment elements are permitted.

The order of the AttributeAssignment elements is not restricted.

If the Obligation element does not satisfy the requirements in this section then the obligation SHALL be treated as unknown; otherwise, each value in the value set with the corresponding DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer that matches the specified value according to the nominated function is removed. That is, remove each value where the function returns true with the value defined by the value attribute assignment as the first argument and the value from the value set as the second argument.

### 3.3.4 The exclude-all-values Obligation

The exclude-all-values obligation specifies the removal of all attribute values from one value set and has the identifier urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:3.0:daa:obligation:exclude-all-values. The DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer are specified by separate AttributeAssignment elements within the Obligation element.

There MUST be exactly one DA category attribute assignment.

There MUST be exactly one DA identifier attribute assignment.

There MUST be exactly one DA type attribute assignment.

There MAY be one DA issuer attribute assignment. If there is no DA issuer attribute assignment then the DA issuer is null.

No other AttributeAssignment elements are permitted.

The order of the AttributeAssignment elements is not restricted.

If the Obligation element does not satisfy the requirements in this section then the obligation SHALL be treated as unknown; otherwise, all the values in the value set with the corresponding DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer are removed.

### 3.4 Final Processing

Each value set, including those with no remaining values, is considered in turn to transform the initial request into the final request. This section assumes the XML representation of an XACML request. An equivalent transformation using the JSON representation xacml-json-v1.1 is permitted. Modifying the request context in lieu of transforming the initial request is permitted, provided it produces the same result as specified here.

Any attribute values in the initial request that are associated with the same category, attribute identifier, data type and issuer are removed. If the DA issuer is null then only values of the attribute without a specified issuer are removed.

If the initial request does not contain a category for the DA category then a category with the value of the DA category as its category identifier is created.

The remaining values of the value set are added to the appropriate category as <AttributeValue> elements enclosed in one or more <Attribute> elements. The value of the DA type is used as the value of the DataType XML attribute of the <AttributeValue> elements. Note that the XACML core specification XACML-v3.0-Errata01-complete allows attribute values to be distributed over multiple <Attribute> elements with the same AttributeId and Issuer XML attributes. The value of the DA identifier is used as the value of the AttributeId XML attribute. If the DA issuer is not null then the Issuer XML attribute is present with the value of the DA issuer. The <AttributeValue> elements MAY be added to an existing <Attribute> element with the requisite AttributeId and Issuer. This situation can arise if another value set with the same DA category, DA identifier and DA issuer, but different DA type, has already been processed.

If a value set has no remaining values, then the corresponding attribute will not appear in the final request.
4 Writing DA Policies

[Informative]

In a DA policy set, DA policy or DA rule, the value of the FulfillOn attribute of an <ObligationExpression> element should always be Permit because only obligations accompanying a “Permit” decision from the DAA are added to the final request submitted to the PDP. Though the decision returned to the context handler by the DAA may be “Permit” or “NotApplicable”, the final result returned to the PEP could be any of “Permit”, “Deny”, “NotApplicable” or “Indeterminate”.

In order to ensure that all applicable attribute values are enabled it is necessary to ensure that all potentially applicable DA policy sets and DA policies are considered and that the decision returned by the DAA is not “Deny”. To this end, DA policies should only contain rules where the Effect attribute is set to Permit. The combining algorithm for a DA policy should be chosen to ensure that all applicable rules are considered.

Where the rules potentially contribute dynamic attribute values that are independent of each other the deny-overrides and ordered-deny-overrides rule combining algorithms are suitable choices. These combining algorithms will cause the DAA to evaluate every rule because there are no deny rules to cause premature termination of the algorithm. The permit-unless-deny rule combining algorithm is also usable in the absence of deny rules since a “Permit” result from the DAA with no DA obligations has the same effect on the context handler as a “NotApplicable” result.

Where the rules potentially contribute dynamic attribute values that are dependent on each other, e.g., are mutually exclusive, the first-applicable rule combining algorithm may be appropriate.

Given that DA policies are designed to never return a “Deny” decision, the deny-overrides, ordered-deny-overrides and permit-unless-deny policy combining algorithms are suitable choices for the combining algorithm of a DA policy set. If the attributes generated by the component DA policies and DA policy sets are meant to be mutually exclusive then the first-applicable policy combining algorithm may be appropriate.
5 Examples
[Informative]

5.1 Role Enablement Example
This example shows DA policies and DA policy sets being used for enablement of roles providing access to projects in a project management application.

Assume the following roles are defined and used in permission and role policy sets [XACML-3.0-RBAC] (not shown):

• urn:example:xacml:roles:project-owner
  This role grants a subject full administrative permissions over a project.

• urn:example:xacml:roles:project-member
  This role grants a subject update permissions over the components of a project.

• urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer
  This role grants a subject permissions to view a project.

Assume that project-owner inherits the permissions of project-member, which inherits the permissions of project-observer.

A project is represented as a resource with the following attributes:

• urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:resource:resource-id
  A unique project identifier.

• urn:example:xacml:project-owners
  Contains the subject identifiers of one or more owners of the project.

• urn:example:xacml:project-members
  Contains the subject identifiers of zero or more team members who work on the project.

• urn:example:xacml:project-department
  The department within the organization that sponsors the project.

• urn:example:xacml:project-classification
  A string value indicating the sensitivity of the project’s content. Typical values include “unrestricted” and “confidential”.

A user is represented as a subject with the following attributes:

• urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:subject:subject-id
  A unique user identifier.

• urn:example:xacml:user-strong-authentication
  A Boolean value indicating whether the user has authenticated with multiple factors or a secure hardware token.

• urn:example:xacml:user-department
  The department to which the user is assigned.

• urn:example:xacml:user-on-leave
  A Boolean value indicating whether the user is currently on annual leave.

The role enablement rules are contained in a single DA policy set:
<PolicySet xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
  PolicySetId="http://example.com/DA/enable-roles" Version="1.0"
  PolicyCombiningAlgId="&xacml3;policy-combining-algorithm:deny-overrides">
  <Target/>

  <Policy PolicyId="http://example.com/DA/enable-project-roles" Version="1.0"
    RuleCombiningAlgId="&xacml3;rule-combining-algorithm:deny-overrides">
    <Description>
      Project roles enablement rules.
    </Description>
    <Target/>

    <Rule RuleId="enable-project-owner-role" Effect="Permit">
      <Description>
        The project-owner role is enabled if the subject is listed as one of
        the project's owners.
      </Description>
      <Condition>
        <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-at-least-one-member-of">
          <AttributeDesignator
            Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
            AttributeId="&xacml1;subject:subject-id"
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
            MustBePresent="false"/>
          <AttributeDesignator
            Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
            AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:project-owners"
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
            MustBePresent="false"/>
        </Apply>
      </Condition>
      <ObligationExpressions>
        <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include"
          FulfillOn="Permit">
          <AttributeAssignmentExpression
            Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
            AttributeId="&xacml2;subject:role">
            <AttributeValue
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
              Value="urn:example:xacml:roles:project-owner"/>
          </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
        </ObligationExpression>
      </ObligationExpressions>
    </Rule>

    <Rule RuleId="enable-project-member-role" Effect="Permit">
      <Description>
        The project-member role is enabled if the subject is listed as one of
        the project's members.
      </Description>
      <Condition>
        <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-at-least-one-member-of">
          <AttributeDesignator
            Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
            AttributeId="&xacml1;subject:subject-id"
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
            MustBePresent="false"/>
          <AttributeDesignator
            Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
            AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:project-members"
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
            MustBePresent="false"/>
        </Apply>
      </Condition>
      <ObligationExpressions>
        <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include"
          FulfillOn="Permit">
          <AttributeAssignmentExpression
            Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
            AttributeId="&xacml2;subject:role">
            <AttributeValue
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
              Value="urn:example:xacml:roles:project-member"/>
          </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
        </ObligationExpression>
      </ObligationExpressions>
    </Rule>
  </Policy>
</PolicySet>
<Rule RuleId="enable-project-observer-role" Effect="Permit">
  <Description>
    The project-observer role is enabled if the subject and the project belong to the same department.
  </Description>
  <Condition>
    <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-at-least-one-member-of">
      <AttributeDesignator Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject" AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:roles:project-member" DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI" MustBePresent="false"/>
      <AttributeDesignator Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource" AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:project-department" DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string" MustBePresent="false"/>
    </Apply>
  </Condition>
  <ObligationExpressions>
    <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include" FulfillOn="Permit">
      <AttributeAssignmentExpression Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject" AttributeId="&xacml2;subject:role"/>
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI" urn:example:xacml:roles:project-member"/>
    </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
    <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:exclude-matching-values" FulfillOn="Permit">
      <AttributeAssignmentExpression Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject" AttributeId="&xacml2;subject:role"/>
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI" urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer"/>
    </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
  </ObligationExpressions>
</Rule>

<Rule RuleId="hide-confidential-projects" Effect="Permit">
  <Description>
    Observer roles are disabled if the project is classified as confidential.
  </Description>
  <Condition>
    <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-is-in">
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string" confidential/>
      <AttributeDesignator Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource" AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:project-classification" DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string" MustBePresent="false"/>
    </Apply>
  </Condition>
  <ObligationExpressions>
    <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:exclude-matching-values" FulfillOn="Permit">
      <AttributeAssignmentExpression AttributeId="&xacml3;daa:attribute:category">
        <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI" urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer"/>
      </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
    </ObligationExpression>
  </ObligationExpressions>
</Rule>
<Rule RuleId="strong-authentication-required" Effect="Permit">
  <Description>
    The project-owner and project-member roles require strong
    authentication. These roles are disabled if strong authentication has
    not been used.
  </Description>
  <Condition>
    <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:not">
      <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:boolean-is-in">
        <AttributeValue
          DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#boolean"
          >true</AttributeValue>
        <AttributeDesignator
          Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
          AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:user-strong-authentication"
          DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#boolean"
          MustBePresent="false"/>
      </Apply>
    </Apply>
  </Condition>
  <ObligationExpressions>
    <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:exclude"
      FulfillOn="Permit">
      <AttributeAssignmentExpression
        Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
        AttributeId="&xacml2;subject:role">
        <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:anyURI-bag">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
            >urn:example:xacml:roles:project-owner</AttributeValue>
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
            >urn:example:xacml:roles:project-member</AttributeValue>
        </Apply>
      </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
    </ObligationExpression>
  </ObligationExpressions>
</Rule>
5.1.1 Example Request 1
Suppose that the initial request contains the following attributes:

```xml
<Request xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
          ReturnPolicyIdList="false" CombinedDecision="false">
  <Attributes Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject">
    <Attribute AttributeId="&xacml1;subject:subject-id"
               IncludeInResult="false">
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string">
        Bob</AttributeValue>
    </Attribute>
    <Attribute AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:user-strong-authentication"
               IncludeInResult="false">
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#boolean">
        false</AttributeValue>
    </Attribute>
  </Attributes>
</Request>
```
The request would be expected to include other categories and attributes in practice, particularly an action, but since the example DA policy set does not reference any such attributes they have been omitted from the example.

The DAA would return the following result from evaluating the initial request:

```xml
<Result xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17">
  <Decision>Permit</Decision>
  <Status>
    <StatusCode Value="&xacml1;status:ok"/>
  </Status>
  <Obligations>
    <Obligation ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include">
      <AttributeAssignment Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
        AttributeId="&xacml2;subject:role"
        DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">
        urn:example:xacml:roles:project-member
      </AttributeAssignment>
    </Obligation>
  </Obligations>
</Result>
```
The `enable-project-member-role` rule is applicable, because the subject is a member of the project, and contributes the `include` obligation for the `project-member` role.

The `enable-project-observer-role` rule is applicable, because the subject belongs to the same department as the project, and contributes the `include` obligation for the `project-observer` role.

The `strong-authentication-required` rule is applicable, because the subject has not used a strong authentication method, and contributes the `exclude` obligation for the `project-owner` and `project-member` roles.

None of the other rules are applicable.

The `include` obligations are processed to generate attribute values for the `project-member` and `project-observer` roles that are added to a single value set associated with the `access-subject` category, `subject-role` attribute and anyURI data-type. The `exclude` obligation is then processed to remove any attribute values for the `project-owner` (not present) and `project-member` (present) roles. The only remaining value is for the `project-observer` role.

The initial request does not contain the `subject-role` attribute so the remaining value of the value set is added to the request as a value of this attribute. That is, the following attribute is added to the `access-subject` category in the initial request to produce the final request:

```
<Attribute AttributeId="&xacml;subject:role" IncludeInResult="false">
  <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI">
    urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer
  </AttributeValue>
</Attribute>
```

### 5.1.2 Example Request 2

Suppose that the initial request contains the following attributes:

```
<Request xmlns="&xacml;3.0-dyn-attr-v1.0-csd01"
  ReturnPolicyIdList="false" CombinedDecision="false">
  <Attributes Category="&xacml;subject:category:access-subject">
    <Attribute AttributeId="&xacml;subject:subject-id" IncludeInResult="false">
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string">
        Alice
      </AttributeValue>
    </Attribute>
    <Attribute AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:user-strong-authentication">
      <AttributeValue>
        <Obligation ObligationId="&xacml;daa:obligation:include">
          <AttributeAssignment>
            Category="&xacml;subject:category:access-subject"
            AttributeId="&xacml;subject:role"
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
            >urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer</AttributeAssignment>
        </Obligation>
        <Obligation ObligationId="&xacml;daa:obligation:exclude">
          <AttributeAssignment>
            Category="&xacml;subject:category:access-subject"
            AttributeId="&xacml;subject:role"
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
            >urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer</AttributeAssignment>
        </Obligation>
      </AttributeValue>
    </Attribute>
</Attributes>
</Request>
```
The DAA would return the following result from evaluating the initial request:

```xml
<Result xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17">
  <Decision>Permit</Decision>
  <Status>
    <StatusCode Value="&xacml1;status:ok"/>
  </Status>
  <Obligations>
    <Obligation ObligationId="&dacm13;daa:obligation:include">
      <AttributeAssignment Category="&dacm1;subject-category:access-subject"
        AttributeId="&dacm12;subject:role"
        DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
        >urn:example:xacml:roles:project-owner</AttributeAssignment>
    </Obligation>
  </Obligations>
</Result>
```
The enable-project-owner-role rule is applicable, because the subject is an owner of the project, and contributes the include obligation for the project-owner role.

The enable-project-member-role rule is applicable, because the subject is a member of the project, and contributes the include obligation for the project-member role.

The enable-project-observer-role rule is applicable, because the subject belongs to the same department as the project, and contributes the include obligation for the project-observer role.

The leave-exclusion rule is applicable, because the subject is on leave, and contributes the exclude-all-values obligation for the subject-role attribute.

None of the other rules are applicable.

The include obligations are processed to generate attribute values for the project-owner, project-member and project-observer roles that are added to a single value set associated with the access-subject category, subject-role attribute and anyURI data-type. The exclude-all-values obligation is then processed to remove all values from the same value set. As there are no remaining values in any value set, nothing is added to the initial request and the final request is the same as the initial request.

5.1.3 Example Request 3

Suppose that the initial request contains the following attributes:

```xml
<Request xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
ReturnPolicyIdList="false" CombinedDecision="false">
<Attributes Category="&xacml3;subject-category:access-subject">
<Attribute AttributeId="&xacml3;subject:subject-id" IncludeInResult="false">
<AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"/>
</Attribute>
<Attribute Assignment>
<AttributeAssignment Category="&xacml3;subject-category:access-subject">
<AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"/>
</AttributeAssignment>
</Attributes>
</Request>
```
The DAA would return the following result from evaluating the initial request:

```
<Result xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17">
  <Decision>Permit</Decision>
  <Status>
    <StatusCode Value="&xacml1;status:ok"/>
  </Status>
  <Obligations>
    <Obligation ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include">
      <AttributeAssignment
        Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
        AttributeId="&xacml2;subject:role">
    </AttributeAssignment>
  </Obligations>
</Result>
```
The **enable-project-observer-role** rule is applicable, because the subject belongs to the same department as the project, and contributes the **include** obligation for the project-observer role.

The **hide-confidential-projects** rule is applicable, because the project is classified as **confidential**, and contributes the **exclude-matching-values** obligation.

None of the other rules are applicable.

The **include** obligation is processed to generate an attribute value for the project-observer role that is added to a single value set associated with the **access-subject** category, **subject-role** attribute and **anyURI** data-type. The **exclude-matching-values** obligation is then processed to remove any values from the same value set that match according to the **anyURI-regexp-match** function with **urn:example:xacml:roles:.*:observer** as the regular expression. The value for the project-observer role matches and is removed from the value set. The matching that is performed is equivalent to this expression:

```
<Apply FuncitonId="urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer"/>
<AttributeValue DataTyep=""urn:example:xacml:roles:project-observer"/>
```

As there are no remaining values in any value set, nothing is added to the **initial request** and the **final request** is the same as the **initial request**.

### 5.2 Data Transformation Example

This example shows a **DA policy** being used to harmonize weight measurements between pounds and kilograms in a heterogeneous collection of PIPs and PEPs (perhaps the result of a recent corporate merger or a consequence of operating in diverse markets).

Suppose that a resource may have the following additional attributes:

- **urn:example:xacml:weight-lb**
Weight measurements for the resource in pounds.

- urn:example:xacml:weight-kg

Weight measurements for the resource in kilograms.

The conversion rules are contained in a single DA policy:

```xml
<Policy xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
    PolicyId="http://example.com/DA/convert-weights" Version="1.0"
    RuleCombiningAlgId="&xacml3;rule-combining-algorithm:deny-overrides">
  <Description>
    Weight conversion rules.
    One pound equals 0.45359237 kilograms.
  </Description>
  <Target/>
  <Rule RuleId="pounds-to-kilograms" Effect="Permit">
    <Description>
      Convert any weight in pounds into kilograms.
    </Description>
    <Condition>
      <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:integer-greater-than">
        <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:double-bag-size">
          <AttributeDesignator
              Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
              AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-lb"
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
              MustBePresent="false"/>
        </Apply>
        <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">
          0
        </AttributeValue>
      </Apply>
    </Condition>
    <ObligationExpressions>
      <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include"
          FulfillOn="Permit">
        <AttributeAssignmentExpression
            Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
            AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-kg">
          <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:double-multiply"/>
          <AttributeDesignator
              Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
              AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-lb"
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
              MustBePresent="false"/>
          <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double">
            0.45359237
          </AttributeValue>
        </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
      </ObligationExpression>
      <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include"
          FulfillOn="Permit">
        <AttributeAssignmentExpression
            Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
            AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-kg">
          <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:double-multiply"/>
          <AttributeDesignator
              Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
              AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-lb"
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
              MustBePresent="false"/>
          <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double">
            0.45359237
          </AttributeValue>
        </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
      </ObligationExpression>
    </ObligationExpressions>
  </Rule>
  <Rule RuleId="kilograms-to-pounds" Effect="Permit">
    <Description>
      Convert any weight in kilograms into pounds.
    </Description>
    <Condition>
      <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:integer-greater-than">
        <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:double-bag-size">
          <AttributeDesignator
              Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
              AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-kg"
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
              MustBePresent="false"/>
        </Apply>
        <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">
          0
        </AttributeValue>
      </Apply>
    </Condition>
    <ObligationExpressions>
      <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include"
          FulfillOn="Permit">
        <AttributeAssignmentExpression
            Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
            AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-lb">
          <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:double-multiply"/>
          <AttributeDesignator
              Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
              AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-kg"
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
              MustBePresent="false"/>
          <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double">
            0.45359237
          </AttributeValue>
        </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
      </ObligationExpression>
      <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include"
          FulfillOn="Permit">
        <AttributeAssignmentExpression
            Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
            AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-lb">
          <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:double-multiply"/>
          <AttributeDesignator
              Category="&xacml3;attribute-category:resource"
              AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-kg"
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
              MustBePresent="false"/>
          <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double">
            0.45359237
          </AttributeValue>
        </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
      </ObligationExpression>
    </ObligationExpressions>
  </Rule>
</Policy>
```
Suppose that the initial request contains the following attributes:

```xml
<Request xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
  ReturnPolicyIdList="false" CombinedDecision="false">
  <Attributes Category="&xacml;attribute-category:resource">
    <Attribute AttributeId="&xacml1;resource:resource-id"
      IncludeInResult="false">
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
        >Sugar</AttributeValue>
    </Attribute>
    <Attribute AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-lb"
      IncludeInResult="false">
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
        >1.0</AttributeValue>
    </Attribute>
    <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
      >2.0</AttributeValue>
    <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double"
      >4.0</AttributeValue>
  </Attributes>
</Request>
```

The request would be expected to include other categories and attributes in practice, particularly a subject and an action, but since the example DA policy does not reference any such attributes they have been omitted from the example.

The DAA would return the following result from evaluating the initial request:

```xml
<Result xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17">
  <Decision>Permit</Decision>
  <Status>
```
The pounds-to-kilograms rule is applicable, because the resource contains the weight-lb attribute, and contributes the include obligation.

The other rule is not applicable.

The include obligation is processed to generate attribute values that are added to a single value set associated with the resource category, weight-kg attribute and double data-type.

The initial request does not contain this attribute so the values of the value set are added to the request as values of this attribute. That is, the following attribute is added to the resource category in the initial request to produce the final request:

```xml
<Attribute AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:weight-kg" IncludeInResult="false">
  <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double">0.45359237</AttributeValue>
  <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double">0.90718474</AttributeValue>
  <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#double">1.81436948</AttributeValue>
</Attribute>
```

5.3 Alternative Final Processing

In the final processing step a dynamic attribute generated from the obligations returned by the DAA replaces the same attribute in the initial request if it has been provided by the PEP, i.e., the dynamic attribute overrides the attribute provided by the PEP. It is possible to achieve different effects by composing DA policies and DA policy sets in particular ways and through the judicious use of the issuer field. A different effect can be chosen for each dynamic attribute.

For illustration, the examples show the different effects being applied to an example attribute in the subject category with the identifier urn:example:xacml:subject:generic. The attributes provided by the PEP in the initial request will be called PEP attributes and attributes fetched from one or more PIPs will be called PIP attributes.

5.3.1 PEP and PIP Override DAA

There is a simple way to have PEP and PIP attributes override dynamic attributes that takes advantage of DA policies being designed to never produce “Deny” decisions. The DA policies applicable to a dynamic attribute that has no dependencies on any other dynamic attribute can generally be combined...
into a single **DA policy set**. That policy set can be made the second child of an additional **DA policy set** that uses the first-applicable policy combining rule. The first child is then set to a policy that evaluates to “Permit” if the attribute is not empty.

The additional **DA policy set** would look something like this:

```xml
<PolicySet xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
PolicySetId="http://example.com/DA/PEP-and-PIP-override-generic"
Version="1.0"
PolicyCombiningAlgId="&xacml1;policy-combining-algorithm:first-applicable">
  <Target/>

  <Policy PolicyId="http://example.com/DA/generic-present" Version="1.0"
RuleCombiningAlgId="&xacml3;rule-combining-algorithm:deny-overrides">
    <Description>
      The policy is applicable if the generic attribute is provided by the PEP or can be fetched from a PIP.
    </Description>
    <Target/>

    <Rule RuleId="generic-present" Effect="Permit">
      <Description>
        The rule is applicable if the generic attribute has at least one value.
      </Description>
      <Condition>
        <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-greater-than">
          <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-bag-size">
            <AttributeDesignator
              Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
              AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:subject:generic"
              DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
              MustBePresent="false"/>
          </Apply>
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer">
            0</AttributeValue>
        </Apply>
      </Condition>
    </Rule>
  </Policy>

<PolicySet PolicySetId="http://example.com/DA/generic-attribute" Version="1.0"
PolicyCombiningAlgId="&xacml3;policy-combining-algorithm:deny-overrides">
  <Description>
    Policies to generate the generic attribute. This policy set is not evaluated if the generic attribute already has values.
  </Description>
  <Target/>

  <!-- DA policies and DA policy sets returning obligations for the generic attribute go here. -->
</PolicySet>
</PolicySet>
```

If the generic attribute has values provided by the PEP in the **initial request** or obtained by the context handler from a PIP, then the first policy in the policy set evaluates to “Permit” with no obligations and the policy set with all the policies for generating the generic dynamic attribute is not evaluated at all. No inclusion or exclusion obligations for the generic attribute are returned and there is no value set for the
generic attribute (not even an empty one). If the PEP provided the generic attribute in the initial request, then it is retained for the final request. If the PIP provided the generic attribute, then it will be provided again when the final request is evaluated.

If the generic attribute is not provided by the PEP in the initial request, nor obtained by the context handler from any PIP, then the first policy in the policy set evaluates to "NotApplicable" and the policy set with all the policies for generating the generic dynamic attribute will be evaluated. If the final processing ends up with a non-empty value set for the generic attribute, then its values are added to the final request.

It may be that the DA policies applicable to the generic attribute cannot be readily isolated into a single DA policy set because of interdependencies with other dynamic attributes. In this case the exclude-all-values obligation and issuer field can be exploited. The obligation expressions in the DA policies applicable to the generic attribute are altered to specify a distinct issuer string that identifies the DAA, e.g., “DAA”. An additional DA policy is created that returns the exclude-all-values obligation for the generic attribute with “DAA” as issuer if the generic attribute is not empty.

The additional DA policy would look something like this:

```xml
<Policy xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
  PolicyId="http://example.com/DA/generic-present-exclude" Version="1.0"
  RuleCombiningAlgId="&xacml3;rule-combining-algorithm:deny-overrides">
  <Description>
    The policy is applicable if the generic attribute is provided by the PEP or can be fetched from a PIP.
  </Description>
  <Target/>

  <Rule RuleId="generic-present" Effect="Permit">
    <Description>
      The rule is applicable if the generic attribute has at least one value.
    </Description>
    <Condition>
      <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-greater-than">
        <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-bag-size">
          <AttributeDesignator
            Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
            AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:subject:generic"
            DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
            MustBePresent="false"/>
        </Apply>
        <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer"/>
      </Apply>
    </Condition>
  </Rule>

  <ObligationExpressions>
    <ObligationExpression
      ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:exclude-all-values"
      FulfillOn="Permit">
      <AttributeAssignmentExpression
        AttributeId="&xacml3;daa:attribute:category">
        <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
          &xacml1;subject-category:access-subject</AttributeValue>
      </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
      <AttributeAssignmentExpression
        AttributeId="&xacml3;daa:attribute:id">
        <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"
          &xacml1;subject:generic</AttributeValue>
      </AttributeAssignmentExpression>
      <AttributeAssignmentExpression
        AttributeId="&xacml3;daa:attribute:task"/>
      <AttributeValue DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI"/>
    </ObligationExpression>
  </ObligationExpressions>
</Policy>
```
If the generic attribute has values provided by the PEP in the initial request or obtained by the context handler from a PIP, then the additional policy evaluates to "Permit" and returns the exclude-all-values obligation for the generic attribute with “DAA” as issuer. The policies for generating the generic dynamic attribute are evaluated where applicable and may return further inclusion or exclusion obligations for the generic attribute also with “DAA” as issuer. The final processing ends up with an empty value set for the generic attribute with “DAA” as issuer because of the exclude-all-values obligation. The generic attribute with “DAA” as issuer should not have been provided in the initial request so there is nothing to replace and no dynamic attribute values are added to the final request. If the PEP provided the generic attribute (without an issuer) in the initial request, then it is retained for the final request. It is not affected by the empty value set because the issuer is different. If the PIP provided the generic attribute, then it will be provided again when the final request is evaluated.

If the generic attribute is not provided by the PEP in the initial request, nor obtained by the context handler from any PIP, then the additional policy evaluates to "NotApplicable". The policies for generating the generic dynamic attribute are evaluated where applicable and may return inclusion or exclusion obligations for the generic attribute with “DAA” as the issuer. If the final processing ends up with a non-empty value set for the generic attribute with “DAA” as the issuer, then its values are added to the final request. Assuming that the attribute designators in conventional policies and policy sets reference the generic attribute without specifying an issuer they will nonetheless select the values of the generic attribute with “DAA” as the issuer in the final request.

### 5.3.2 PEP Overrides DAA

If it is desired that the dynamic attribute is only overridden by an attribute explicitly provided by the PEP in the initial request and not by an attribute fetched from a PIP, then this can be achieved by the PEP specifying a distinct issuer string for the generic attribute that identifies the PEP, e.g., “PEP”. The examples in the preceding section can be used except with an Issuer XML Attribute with the value “PEP” added to the <AttributeDesignator> elements. In this case the attribute designators will not select any PIP attribute values.

The PEP can also use the Issuer XML Attribute to control the override for an attribute. If it sets the issuer to “PEP”, then the attribute it provides will override the dynamic attribute. If it omits the issuer, then the dynamic attribute will override the provided attribute if the dynamic attribute has any values.

### 5.3.3 PEP Attributes and Dynamic Attributes Merge

If it is desired that any dynamic attribute values should be added to any PEP attribute values, then this can be achieved by specifying a distinct issuer that identifies the DAA in the obligation expressions in the DA policies. The dynamic attribute will not replace the PEP attribute because the issuer is different, and both bags of values will appear in the final request. Assuming that the attribute designators in conventional policies and policy sets reference the attribute without specifying an issuer, they will select both bags of values.
5.3.4 Merge with Exclusions

The exclusion obligations only affect values added by inclusion obligations. If it is desired that exclusion obligations should also apply to any attribute values provided by the PEP or PIP, then this can be achieved with an additional DA policy that copies PEP and PIP attributes into the dynamic attribute with an include obligation and a distinct issuer that identifies the DAA. The obligation expressions in the DA policies applicable to the generic attribute are altered to also specify this same issuer.

The additional DA policy would look something like this:

```xml
<Policy xmlns="&xacml3;core:schema:wd-17"
    PolicyId="http://example.com/DA/generic-present-copy" Version="1.0"
    RuleCombiningAlgId="&xacml3;rule-combining-algorithm:deny-overrides">
    <Description>
        The policy is applicable if the generic attribute is provided by the PEP or can be fetched from a PIP.
    </Description>
    <Target/>
    <Rule RuleId="generic-present" Effect="Permit">
        <Description>
            The rule is applicable if the generic attribute has at least one value.
        </Description>
        <Condition>
            <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-greater-than">
                <Apply FunctionId="&xacml1;function:string-bag-size">
                    <AttributeDesignator
                        Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
                        AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:subject:generic"
                        DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
                        MustBePresent="false"/>
                </Apply>
            </Apply>
        </Condition>
        <ObligationExpressions>
            <ObligationExpression ObligationId="&xacml3;daa:obligation:include"
                FulfillOn="Permit">
                <AttributeAssignmentExpression
                    Category="&xacml1;subject-category:access-subject"
                    AttributeId="urn:example:xacml:subject:generic" Issuer="DAA"/>
            </ObligationExpression>
        </ObligationExpressions>
    </Rule>
</Policy>
```

If the generic attribute has values provided by the PEP in the initial request or obtained by the context handler from a PIP, then the additional policy evaluates to "Permit" and returns an include obligation for the generic attribute with “DAA” as the issuer. The policies for generating the generic dynamic attribute are evaluated where applicable and may return further inclusion or exclusion obligations for the generic attribute with “DAA” as the issuer. The attribute designators in conventional policies and policy sets must reference the generic attribute specifying “DAA” as the issuer. This is to prevent the PIP attributes begin provided again when the final request is evaluated (whether or not this would occur is an...
implementation choice of the context handler as defined in the XACML core specification XACML-v3.0-Errata01-complete]).

Instead of outputting obligations for the *generic* attribute with “DAA” as the issuer, the *DA policies* (including the one above) could output a different attribute identifier, e.g., *generic:daa*, without an issuer, that the attribute designators in conventional policies and policy sets could reference instead.

The `<Condition>` element is not actually required. If it is omitted, then the include obligation will always be returned, but it will contain no `<AttributeAssignment>` elements, and have no effect, if the *generic* attribute has no values.
6 Architectural Considerations

[Informative]

Although this profile aims to maximize the reuse of existing XACML capabilities, it is still necessary to have an implementation of a context handler (call this the principal context handler) that is able to send a request to the DAA and process the response appropriately. However, the DAA itself could be a standalone, unmodified, standard XACML context handler and PDP. There is nothing special it needs to do with respect to this profile and it doesn’t need to know it is acting as a DAA. It would see the principal context handler as just another PEP. If this profile is used in this way, then it is important to note that the principal context handler and the DAA context handler are not necessarily accessing the same collection of PIPs. This means that an attribute designator in a conventional policy may evaluate to a different set of attribute values from the same attribute designator evaluated by the DAA, simply because the PIP supplying the attribute is different in each case. Arranging for the principal context handler and the DAA context handler to have equivalent access to exactly the same PIPs would prevent this situation; otherwise, the DAA policy writers should be made aware of the potential difference in behavior. The principal context handler and the DAA context handler may also have different values for environment attributes, including slightly different values for the current-time attribute. The principal context handler may be able to mitigate this by adding its environment attributes to the initial request to override the attribute values the DAA context handler would otherwise use.

An alternative to using a standalone DAA is for the principal context handler to use its own facilities and the co-located PDP to act as the DAA. In this case it is necessary to distinguish the policies and policy sets in some manner so that DA policies and DA policy sets are only used for DAA processing and conventional policies and policy sets are not. Implementors are free to achieve this in whatever way they choose. For example, they might use separate internal caches for the two classes of policy, or they might use a single cache but label the policies and policy sets as either conventional policies and policy sets or DA policies and DA policy sets and have the principal context handler indicate to the PDP which labelled collection it should be using at each invocation. The principal context handler can, however, use a shared internal instance of the request context provided it notes which attribute values came from the initial request so that these values can be removed in the final processing, if required. With respect to other attributes, an attribute designator in a conventional policy will evaluate to the same set of attribute values as the same attribute designator evaluated by a DA policy because the principal context handler is handling both cases using the same request context, the same PIPs and the same values for the environment attributes.

An off the shelf policy administration point (PAP) can be used to manage DA policies and DA policy sets, though the policy writer does not require, and does not benefit from, the full expressive power of XACML policies and policy sets. When composing DA policies and DA policy sets we are not interested in an access control decision. The permits, denies and combining algorithms are just a means to an end and a custom DAA PAP could be created that presents a simpler conceptual model to the user:

- Users don’t need to be able to choose, or even see, the value of the Effect attribute in a rule of a DA policy; it should always be Permit.
- In the absence of “Deny” results the deny-overrides, ordered-denies and permit-unless-denies combining algorithms are suitable for the DAA and produce equivalent results. A custom DAA PAP could just pick one, e.g., deny-overrides, and omit the other two. The remaining useful combining rule is first-applicable, so it boils down to a choice between deny-overrides (say) and first-applicable, which could be presented to the policy writer as a Boolean choice between all applicable and first applicable.
- Users don’t need to be able to choose, or even see, the value of the FulfillOn attribute of an <ObligationExpression> element; it should always be Permit.
- Obligations and advice that are defined elsewhere without reference to this profile are generally not useful in DA policies and DA policy sets. A custom DAA PAP could drop all support for advice and provide simplified, customized dialogs for managing only the specific obligations defined in this profile. The detailed construction of DA category, DA identifier, DA type and DA issuer attribute assignments is something that can be hidden from the user.
The overall user experience provided by a custom DAA PAP could be aligned to the task of writing rules for generating attributes rather than writing policies for generating decisions, and use terminology appropriate to that task.

Where the DAA is being used for a specific purpose, such as role enablement, a custom DAA PAP could be further simplified. For example, for role enablement the DA obligations can be assumed to be for the subject-role attribute with the anyURI data-type in the access-subject category. A DAA PAP for role enablement might also be integrated with a system for describing and managing the available roles.

One use case for the DAA is to transform attributes between heterogeneous systems, and not necessarily for the purpose of making authorization decisions. This need might arise through mergers and acquisitions, partnering with other organizations or sourcing applications from different vendors. The DAA PAP might be largely invisible within a management console leveraging data dictionaries to integrate disparate applications. At a high level the user makes linkages between items in the data dictionaries and specifies transformation rules for attribute values, and the user interface takes care of representing that intent as DA obligations, DA policies and DA policy sets.

Just as DAA users don’t need to use the full expressive power of XACML, a pure DAA implementation doesn’t need to be a complete XACML implementation. An implementation could use simplified representations of XACML rules, policies and policy sets internally and would only ever need to use the standard representation for interchange with other systems. If such implementations become widespread then there would be value in formally defining a specific framework for dynamic attribute generation, with artifacts that leave out the unused and unneeded parts of XACML and use nomenclature appropriate for generating attributes instead of authorization.
7 Conformance

An implementation claiming conformance with this specification MUST support the extended data flow model in Section 0 and the obligations defined in Section 3.
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Appendix B. Security and Privacy Considerations

[Informative]

DA policies and DA policy sets are subject to the same security concerns as conventional policies and policy sets. The reader should refer to the security and privacy considerations in the XACML core specification XACML-v3.0-Errata01-complete.

By injecting dynamic attributes into the final request, DA policies and DA policy sets are able to alter the outcome of the original request, which could be used by an attacker to obtain unauthorized access. For this reason, the DA policies and DA policy sets must be at least as well protected from unauthorized modification as the conventional policies and policy sets.

Although largely internal to the context handler, the value of a dynamic attribute can be discovered by external parties. The Security Considerations of the Related and Nested Entities Profile [xacml-3.0-nested-ent-v1.0]

[xacml-3.0-nested-ent-v1.0]

discusses various ways in which the value of an attribute can be discovered by unauthorized users and possible ways to prevent that discovery.
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