



1

2 Web Services Security 3 UsernameToken Profile 1.1

4 **OASIS Public Review Draft - 28 June 2005**

5 **OASIS identifier:**

6 {product-productVersion-artifactType-stage-descriptiveName-revision.form (Word) (PDF)
7 (HTML)}

8 **Location:**

9 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2005/xx/wss-v1.1-spec-pr-UsernameTokenProfile-01>

10 **Technical Committee:**

11 Web Service Security (WSS)

12 **Chairs:**

13 Kelvin Lawrence, IBM

14 Chris Kaler, Microsoft

15 **Editors:**

16 Anthony Nadalin, IBM

17 Chris Kaler, Microsoft

18 Ronald Monzillo, Sun

19 Phillip Hallam-Baker, Verisign

20 **Abstract:**

21 This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the Web Services
22 Security (WSS) specification.

23 **Status:**

24 This is a technical committee document submitted for consideration by the OASIS Web
25 Services Security (WSS) technical committee. Please send comments to the editors.

26 If you are on the wss@lists.oasis-open.org list for committee members, send comments
27 there. If you are not on that list, subscribe to the wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org list
28 and send comments there. To subscribe, send an email message to wss-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org with the word "subscribe" as the body of the message.

30 For patent disclosure information that may be essential to the implementation of this
31 specification, and any offers of licensing terms, refer to the Intellectual Property Rights
32 section of the OASIS Web Services Security Technical Committee (WSS TC) web page
33 at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss/ipr.php>. General OASIS IPR information
34 can be found at <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml>.

35 Notices

36 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights
37 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this
38 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available;
39 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on
40 OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS
41 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
42 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission
43 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be
44 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

45 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent
46 applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to
47 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

48 Copyright © The Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards [OASIS]
49 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

50 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works
51 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,
52 published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the
53 above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.
54 However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the
55 copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS
56 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual
57 Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other
58 than English.

59 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its
60 successors or assigns.

61 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
62 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
63 ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE
64 ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
65 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

66 Table of Contents

67	1 Introduction	4
68	2 Notations and Terminology.....	4
69	2.1 Notational Conventions	4
70	2.2 Namespaces	4
71	2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations	5
72	3 UsernameToken Extensions	6
73	3.1 Usernames and Passwords	6
74	3.2 Token Reference.....	10
75	3.3 Error Codes	10
76	4 Key Derivation	10
77	5 Security Considerations.....	12
78	6 References	13
79	Appendix A. Acknowledgements.....	15
80	Appendix B. Revision History	17
81		

82 1 Introduction

83 This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the WSS: SOAP Message
84 Security specification [WSS]. More specifically, it describes how a web service consumer can
85 supply a UsernameToken as a means of identifying the requestor by "username", and optionally
86 using a password (or shared secret, or password equivalent) to authenticate that identity to the
87 web service producer.

88

89 This section is non-normative. Note that Sections 2.1, 2.2, all of 3, 4 and indicated parts of 6 are
90 normative. All other sections are non-normative.

91 2 Notations and Terminology

92 This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

93 2.1 Notational Conventions

94 The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD",
95 "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be
96 interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

97

98 When describing abstract data models, this specification uses the notational convention used by
99 the XML Infoset. Specifically, abstract property names always appear in square brackets (e.g.,
100 [some property]).

101

102 When describing concrete XML schemas [XML-Schema], this specification uses the notational
103 convention of WSS: SOAP Message Security. Specifically, each member of an element's
104 [children] or [attributes] property is described using an XPath-like [XPath] notation (e.g.,
105 /x:MyHeader/x:SomeProperty/@value1). The use of {any} indicates the presence of an element
106 wildcard (<xs:any/>). The use of {@any} indicates the presence of an attribute wildcard
107 (<xs:anyAttribute/>).

108

109 Commonly used security terms are defined in the Internet Security Glossary [SECGLO]. Readers
110 are presumed to be familiar with the terms in this glossary as well as the definition in the Web
111 Services Security specification.

112 2.2 Namespaces

113 Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represents some application-dependent or
114 context-dependent URI as defined in RFC 3986 [URI]. This specification is designed to work with
115 the general SOAP [SOAP11, SOAP12] message structure and message processing model, and
116 should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.1 namespace URI is used
117 herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this
118 specification to a single version of SOAP.

119

120 The namespaces used in this document are shown in the following table (note that for brevity, the
121 examples use the prefixes listed below but do not include the URIs – those listed below are
122 assumed).

123

Prefix	Namespace
S11	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/
S12	http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope
wsse	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd
wsse11	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2005/xx/oasis-2005xx-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.1.xsd
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd

124

125 The URLs provided for the *wsse* and *wsu* namespaces can be used to obtain the schema files.
126 URI fragments defined in this specification are relative to a base URI of the following unless
127 otherwise stated:

128 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0>

130

131 The following table lists the full URI for each URI fragment referred to in this specification.

132

URI Fragment	Full URI
#PasswordDigest	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#PasswordDigest
#PasswordText	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#PasswordText
#UsernameToken	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0 #UsernameToken

133 2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations

134 The following (non-normative) table defines acronyms and abbreviations for this document.

135

Term	Definition
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier

136 3 UsernameToken Extensions

137 3.1 Usernames and Passwords

138 The <wsse:UsernameToken> element is introduced in the WSS: SOAP Message Security
139 documents as a way of providing a username.

140

141 Within <wsse:UsernameToken> element, a <wsse:Password> element may be specified.
142 Passwords of type PasswordText and PasswordDigest are not limited to actual
143 passwords, although this is a common case. Any password equivalent such as a derived
144 password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used. Having a type of PasswordText merely
145 implies that the information held in the password is "in the clear", as opposed to holding a "digest"
146 of the information. For example, if a server does not have access to the clear text of a password
147 but does have the hash, then the hash is considered a *password equivalent* and can be used
148 anywhere where a "password" is indicated in this specification. It is not the intention of this
149 specification to require that all implementations have access to clear text passwords.

150

151 Passwords of type PasswordDigest are defined as being the Base64 [XML-Schema] encoded,
152 SHA-1 hash value, of the UTF8 encoded password (or equivalent). However, unless this digested
153 password is sent on a secured channel or the token is encrypted, the digest offers no real
154 additional security over use of wsse:PasswordText.

155

156 Two optional elements are introduced in the <wsse:UsernameToken> element to provide a
157 countermeasure for replay attacks: <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created>. A nonce is a
158 random value that the sender creates to include in each UsernameToken that it sends. Although
159 using a nonce is an effective countermeasure against replay attacks, it requires a server to
160 maintain a cache of used nonces, consuming server resources. Combining a nonce with a
161 creation timestamp has the advantage of allowing a server to limit the cache of nonces to a
162 "freshness" time period, establishing an upper bound on resource requirements. If either or both
163 of <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created> are present they MUST be included in the digest value
164 as follows:

165

166 Password_Digest = Base64 (SHA-1 (nonce + created + password))

167

168 That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or
169 password equivalent), digest the combination using the SHA-1 hash algorithm, then include the
170 Base64 encoding of that result as the password (digest). This helps obscure the password and
171 offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. For web service producers to effectively thwart replay
172 attacks, three counter measures are RECOMMENDED:

173

174 1. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers reject any UsernameToken *not*
175 using *both* nonce *and* creation timestamps.

- 176 2. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers provide a timestamp “freshness”
177 limitation, and that any UsernameToken with “stale” timestamps be rejected. As a
178 guideline, a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect, and thus
179 reject, replays.
- 180 3. It is RECOMMENDED that used nonces be cached for a period at least as long as
181 the timestamp freshness limitation period, above, and that UsernameToken with
182 nonces that have already been used (and are thus in the cache) be rejected.
- 183
- 184 Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp
185 is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the
186 element.
- 187
- 188 Note that `PasswordDigest` can only be used if the plain text password (or password
189 equivalent) is available to both the requestor and the recipient.
- 190
- 191 Note that the secret is put at the end of the input and not the front. This is because the output of
192 SHA-1 is the function's complete state at the end of processing an input stream. If the input
193 stream happened to fit neatly into the block size of the hash function, an attacker could extend
194 the input with additional blocks and generate new/unique hash values knowing only the hash
195 output for the original stream. If the secret is at the end of the stream, then attackers are
196 prevented from arbitrarily extending it -- since they have to end the input stream with the
197 password which they don't know. Similarly, if the nonce/created was put at the end, then an
198 attacker could update the nonce to be nonce+created, and add a new created time on the end to
199 generate a new hash.
- 200
- 201 The countermeasures above do not cover the case where the token is replayed to a different
202 receiver. There are several (non-normative) possible approaches to counter this threat, which
203 may be used separately or in combination. Their use requires pre-arrangement (possibly in the
204 form of a separately published profile which introduces new password type) among the
205 communicating parties to provide interoperability:
- 206
- 207 • including the username in the hash, to thwart cases where multiple user accounts
208 have matching passwords (e.g. passwords based on company name)
209 • including the domain name in the hash, to thwart cases where the same
210 username/password is used in multiple systems
211 • including some indication of the intended receiver in the hash, to thwart cases where
212 receiving systems don't share nonce caches (e.g., two separate application clusters
213 in the same security domain).
- 214
- 215 The following illustrates the XML syntax of this element:
- 216
- ```
<wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="Example-1">
 <wsse:Username> ... </wsse:Username>
 <wsse:Password Type="..."> ... </wsse:Password>
 <wsse:Nonce EncodingType="..."> ... </wsse:Nonce>
 <wsu:Created> ... </wsu:Created>
</wsse:UsernameToken>
```
- 217
- 218
- 219
- 220
- 221
- 222

223  
 224 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:  
 225  
 226 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password  
 227 This optional element provides password information (or equivalent such as a hash). It is  
 228 RECOMMENDED that this element only be passed when a secure transport (e.g.  
 229 HTTP/S) is being used or if the token itself is being encrypted.  
 230  
 231 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@Type  
 232 This optional URI attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The table  
 233 below identifies the pre-defined types (note that the URI fragments are relative to the URI  
 234 for this specification).  
 235

| URI                     | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| #PasswordText (default) | The actual password for the username, the password hash, or derived password or S/KEY. This type should be used when hashed password equivalents that do not rely on a nonce or creation time are used, or when a digest algorithm other than SHA1 is used. |
| #PasswordDigest         | The digest of the password (and optionally nonce and/or creation timestamp) for the username using the algorithm described above.                                                                                                                           |

236  
 237 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@{any}  
 238 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be  
 239 added to the element.  
 240  
 241 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce  
 242 This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce. Each message  
 243 including a <wsse:Nonce> element MUST use a new nonce value in order for web  
 244 service producers to detect replay attacks.  
 245  
 246 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType  
 247 This optional attribute URI specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see the definition of  
 248 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then  
 249 the default of Base64 encoding is used.  
 250  
 251 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsu:Created  
 252 The optional <wsu:Created> element specifies a timestamp used to indicate the  
 253 creation time. It is defined as part of the <wsu:Timestamp> definition.  
 254

255 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process the <wsse:UsernameToken> element.  
256 Where the specification requires that an element be "processed" it means that the element type  
257 MUST be recognized to the extent that an appropriate error is returned if the element is not  
258 supported.

259

260 Note that <wsse:KeyIdentifier> and <ds:KeyName> elements as described in the WSS:  
261 SOAP Message Security specification are not supported in this profile.

262

263 The following example illustrates the use of this element. In this example the password is sent as  
264 clear text and therefore this message should be sent over a confidential channel:

265

```
266 <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="...">
267 <S11:Header>
268 ...
269 <wsse:Security>
270 <wsse:UsernameToken>
271 <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
272 <wsse:Password>IloveDogs</wsse:Password>
273 </wsse:UsernameToken>
274 </wsse:Security>
275 ...
276 </S11:Header>
277 ...
278 </S11:Envelope>
```

279

280 The following example illustrates using a digest of the password along with a nonce and a  
281 creation timestamp:

282

```
283 <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="..." xmlns:wsu= "...">
284 <S11:Header>
285 ...
286 <wsse:Security>
287 <wsse:UsernameToken>
288 <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>
289 <wsse:Password Type="#PasswordDigest">
290 weYI3nXd8LjMNVksCKFV8t3rgHh3Rw==
291 </wsse:Password>
292 <wsse:Nonce>WScqanjCEAC4mQoBE07sAQ==</wsse:Nonce>
293 <wsu:Created>2003-07-16T01:24:32Z</wsu:Created>
294 </wsse:UsernameToken>
295 </wsse:Security>
296 ...
297 </S11:Header>
298 ...
299 </S11:Envelope>
```

300

301 **3.2 Token Reference**

302 When a UsernameToken is referenced using <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> the  
303 ValueType attribute is not required. If specified, the value of #UsernameToken MUST be  
304 specified.

305

306 The following encoding formats are pre-defined (note that the URI fragments are relative to the  
307 URI for this specification):

308

| URI            | Description   |
|----------------|---------------|
| #UsernameToken | UsernameToken |

309

310 When a UsernameToken is referenced from a <ds:KeyInfo> element, it can be used to derive  
311 a key for a message authentication algorithm using the password. This profile considers specific  
312 mechanisms for key derivation to be out of scope. Implementations should agree on a key  
313 derivation algorithm in order to be interoperable.

314

315 There is no definition of a KeyIdentifier for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyIdentifier  
316 references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

317

318 Similarly, there is no definition of a KeyName for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyName  
319 references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

320

321 All references refer to the wsu:id for the token.

322 **3.3 Error Codes**

323 Implementations may use custom error codes defined in private namespaces if needed. But it is  
324 RECOMMENDED that they use the error handling codes defined in the WSS: SOAP Message  
325 Security specification for signature, decryption, and encoding and token header errors to improve  
326 interoperability.

327

328 When using custom error codes, implementations should be careful not to introduce security  
329 vulnerabilities that may assist an attacker in the error codes returned.

---

330 **4 Key Derivation**

331 The password associated with a username may be used to derive a shared secret key for the  
332 purposes of integrity or confidentiality protecting message contents. This section defines schema  
333 extensions and a procedure for deriving such keys. This procedure MUST be employed when  
334 keys are to be derived from passwords in order to insure interoperability.

335

336 It must be noted that passwords are subject to several kinds of attack, which in turn will lead to  
337 the exposure of any derived keys. This key derivation procedure is intended to minimize the risk  
338 of attacks on the keys, to the extent possible, but it is ultimately limited by the insecurity of a  
339 password that it is possible for a human being to remember and type on a standard keyboard.  
340 This is discussed in more detail in the security considerations section of this document.

341  
342 Two additional elements are required to enable to derivation of a key from a password. They are  
343 <wsse11:Salt> and <wsse11:Iteration>. These values are not secret and MUST be  
344 conveyed in the Username token when key derivation is used. When key derivation is used the  
345 password MUST NOT be included in the Username token. The receiver will use its knowledge of  
346 the password to derive the same key as the sender.

347  
348 The following illustrates the syntax of the <wsse11:Salt> and <wsse11:Iteration>  
349 elements.

```
350 <wsse:UsernameToken wsse:Id="...">
351 <wsse:Username>...</wsse:Username>
352 <wsse11:Salt>...</wsse11:Salt>
353 <wsse11:Iteration>...</wsse11:Iteration>
354 </wsse:UsernameToken>
```

355 The following describes these elements.

356

357 /wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse:Salt

358 This element is combined with the password as described below. Its value is a 128 bit  
359 number expressed in hexadecimal. It MUST be present when key derivation is used.

360

361 /wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse11:Iteration

362 This element indicates the number of times the hashing operation is repeated when  
363 deriving the key. It is expressed as a decimal value. If it is not present, a value is 1000 is  
364 used for the iteration count.

365

366 A key derived from a password may be used either in the calculation of a Message Authentication  
367 Code (MAC) or as a symmetric key for encryption. When used in a MAC, the key length will  
368 always be 160 bits. When used for encryption, an encryption algorithm MUST NOT be used  
369 which requires a key of length greater than 160 bits. A sufficient number of the high order bits of  
370 the key will be used for encryption. Unneeded low order bits will be discarded. For example, if the  
371 AES-128 algorithm is used, the high order 128 bits will be used and the low order 32 bits will be  
372 discarded from the derived 160 bit value.

373

374 The <wsse11:Salt> element is constructed as follows. The high order 8 bits of the Salt will  
375 have the value of 01 if the key is to be used in a MAC and 02 if the key is to be used for  
376 encryption. The remaining 120 low order bits of the Salt should be a random value.

377

378 The key is derived as follows. The password and Salt are concatenated in that order. Only the  
379 actual octets of the password are used, it is not padded or zero terminated. This value is hashed  
380 using the SHA1 algorithm. The result of this operation is also hashed using SHA1. This process is  
381 repeated until the total number of hash operations equals the Iteration count.

382  
383 In other words:  $K1 = \text{SHA1}(\text{password} + \text{Salt})$   
384  $K2 = \text{SHA1}(K1)$   
385 ...  
386  $Kn = \text{SHA1}(Kn-1)$   
387 Where + means concatenation and n is the iteration count.  
388  
389 The resulting 160 bit value is used in a MAC function or truncated to the appropriate length for  
390 encryption.

---

## 391 5 Security Considerations

392 The use of the UsernameToken introduces no additional threats beyond those already identified  
393 for other types of SecurityTokens. Replay attacks can be addressed by using message  
394 timestamps, nonces, and caching, as well as other application-specific tracking mechanisms.  
395 Token ownership is verified by use of keys and man-in-the-middle attacks are generally  
396 mitigated. Transport-level security may be used to provide confidentiality and integrity of both the  
397 UsernameToken and the entire message body.

398  
399 When a password (or password equivalent) in a <UsernameToken> is used for authentication,  
400 the password needs to be properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough  
401 protection against eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in this  
402 document. Even so, the password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing  
403 attacks will not reveal the secret from a captured message.

404  
405 When a password is encrypted, in addition to the normal threats against any encryption, two  
406 password-specific threats must be considered: replay and guessing. If an attacker can  
407 impersonate a user by replaying an encrypted or hashed password, then learning the actual  
408 password is not necessary. One method of preventing replay is to use a nonce as mentioned  
409 previously. Generally it is also necessary to use a timestamp to put a ceiling on the number of  
410 previous nonces that must be stored. However, in order to be effective the nonce and timestamp  
411 must be signed. If the signature is also over the password itself, prior to encryption, then it would  
412 be a simple matter to use the signature to perform an offline guessing attack against the  
413 password. This threat can be countered in any of several ways including: don't include the  
414 password under the signature (the password will be verified later) or sign the encrypted  
415 password.

416  
417 The reader should also review Section 13 of WSS: SOAP Message Security document for  
418 additional discussion on threats and possible counter-measures.  
419  
420 The security of keys derived from passwords is limited by the attacks available against passwords  
421 themselves, such as guessing and brute force. Because of the limited size of password that  
422 human beings can remember and limited number of octet values represented by keys that can  
423 easily be typed, a typical password represents the equivalent of an entropy source of a maximum  
424 of only about 50 bits. For this reason a maximum key size of only 160 bits is supported. Longer  
425 keys would simply increase processing without adding to security.

426  
427 The key derivation algorithm specified here is based on one described in RFC 2898. It is referred  
428 to in that document as PBKDF1. It is used instead of PBKDF2, because it is simpler and keys  
429 longer than 160 bits are not required as discussed previously.  
430  
431 The purpose of the salt is to prevent the bulk pre-computation of key values to be tested against  
432 distinct passwords. The Salt value is defined so that MAC and encryption keys are guaranteed to  
433 have distinct values even when derived from the same password. This prevents certain  
434 cryptanalytic attacks.  
435  
436 The iteration count is intended to increase the work factor of a guessing or brute force attack, at a  
437 minor cost to normal key derivation. An iteration count of at least 1000 (the default) SHOULD  
438 always be used.  
439  
440 This section is non-normative.

---

## 441 6 References

442 The following are normative references:  
443     [**SECGLO**]     Informational RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary," May 2000.  
444     [**RFC2119**]     S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels,"  
445                     RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997  
446     [**WSS**]         OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.  
447     [**SOAP11**]      W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.  
448     [**SOAP12**]      W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging  
449                     Framework", 23 June 2003  
450     [**URI**]          T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers  
451                     (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe  
452                     Systems, January 2005..  
453     [**XML-Schema**]    W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures," 2 May 2001.  
454                     W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001.  
455     [**XPath**]        W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999  
456

457 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material:  
458     [**WS-Security**]    OASIS, "Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January  
459                     2004, <http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0>  
460  
461     [**XML-C14N**]     W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001  
462     [**EXC-C14N**]     W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," 8  
463                     July 2002.  
464     [**XML-Encrypt**]    W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March  
465                     2002  
466  
467                     W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10  
468                     December 2002.  
468     [**XML-ns**]        W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.

469 [XML Signature] D. Eastlake, J. R., D. Solo, M. Bartel, J. Boyer , B. Fox , E. Simon. *XML-*  
470 *Signature Syntax and Processing*, W3C Recommendation, 12 February  
471 2002. <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/>  
472

473

## Appendix A. Acknowledgements

474

### Contributors:

|           |              |                        |
|-----------|--------------|------------------------|
| Gene      | Thurston     | AmberPoint             |
| Frank     | Siebenlist   | Argonne National Lab   |
| Merlin    | Hughes       | Baltimore Technologies |
| Irving    | Reid         | Baltimore Technologies |
| Peter     | Dapkus       | BEA                    |
| Hal       | Lockhart     | BEA                    |
| Steve     | Anderson     | BMC (Sec)              |
| Srinivas  | Davanum      | Computer Associates    |
| Thomas    | DeMartini    | ContentGuard           |
| Guillermo | Lao          | ContentGuard           |
| TJ        | Pannu        | ContentGuard           |
| Shawn     | Sharp        | Cyclone Commerce       |
| Ganesh    | Vaideeswaran | Documentum             |
| Sam       | Wei          | Documentum             |
| John      | Hughes       | Entegrity              |
| Tim       | Moses        | Entrust                |
| Toshihiro | Nishimura    | Fujitsu                |
| Tom       | Rutt         | Fujitsu                |
| Yutaka    | Kudo         | Hitachi                |
| Jason     | Rouault      | HP                     |
| Paula     | Austel       | IBM                    |
| Bob       | Blakley      | IBM                    |
| Joel      | Farrell      | IBM                    |
| Satoshi   | Hada         | IBM                    |
| Maryann   | Hondo        | IBM                    |
| Michael   | McIntosh     | IBM                    |
| Hiroshi   | Maruyama     | IBM                    |
| David     | Melgar       | IBM                    |
| Anthony   | Nadalin      | IBM                    |
| Nataraj   | Nagaratnam   | IBM                    |
| Wayne     | Vicknair     | IBM                    |
| Kelvin    | Lawrence     | IBM (co-Chair)         |
| Don       | Flinn        | Individual             |
| Bob       | Morgan       | Individual             |
| Bob       | Atkinson     | Microsoft              |
| Keith     | Ballinger    | Microsoft              |
| Allen     | Brown        | Microsoft              |
| Paul      | Cotton       | Microsoft              |
| Giovanni  | Della-Libera | Microsoft              |
| Vijay     | Gajjala      | Microsoft              |
| Johannes  | Klein        | Microsoft              |
| Scott     | Konersmann   | Microsoft              |
| Chris     | Kurt         | Microsoft              |
| Brian     | LaMacchia    | Microsoft              |
| Paul      | Leach        | Microsoft              |

|           |                |                      |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
| John      | Manferdelli    | Microsoft            |
| John      | Shewchuk       | Microsoft            |
| Dan       | Simon          | Microsoft            |
| Hervey    | Wilson         | Microsoft            |
| Chris     | Kaler          | Microsoft (co-Chair) |
| Praetek   | Mishra         | Netegrity            |
| Frederick | Hirsch         | Nokia                |
| Senthil   | Sengodan       | Nokia                |
| Lloyd     | Burch          | Novell               |
| Ed        | Reed           | Novell               |
| Charles   | Knouse         | Oblix                |
| Vipin     | Samar          | Oracle               |
| Jerry     | Schwarz        | Oracle               |
| Eric      | Gravengaard    | Reactivity           |
| Stuart    | King           | Reed Elsevier        |
| Andrew    | Nash           | RSA Security         |
| Rob       | Philpott       | RSA Security         |
| Peter     | Rostin         | RSA Security         |
| Martijn   | de Boer        | SAP                  |
| Blake     | Dournaee       | Sarvega              |
| Pete      | Wenzel         | SeeBeyond            |
| Jonathan  | Tourzan        | Sony                 |
| Yassir    | Elley          | Sun Microsystems     |
| Jeff      | Hodges         | Sun Microsystems     |
| Ronald    | Monzillo       | Sun Microsystems     |
| Jan       | Alexander      | Systinet             |
| Michael   | Nguyen         | The IDA of Singapore |
| Don       | Adams          | TIBCO                |
| Symon     | Chang          | TIBCO                |
| John      | Weiland        | US Navy              |
| Phillip   | Hallam-Baker   | VeriSign             |
| Mark      | Hays           | Verisign             |
| Hemma     | Prafullchandra | VeriSign             |

475

---

## Appendix B. Revision History

| Rev     | Date       | By Whom         | What                                                   |
|---------|------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| WGD 1.1 | 2004-09-13 | Anthony Nadalin | Initial version cloned from the Version 1.0 and Errata |
| WGD 1.1 | 2005-05-11 | Anthony Nadalin | Issue 373, 388                                         |
| WGD 1.1 | 2005-05-17 | Anthony Nadalin | Formatting Issues                                      |
| WGD 1.1 | 2005-06-14 | Anthony Nadalin | Fix Example                                            |

