

# SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile Version 1.0

# 4 Committee Draft 03

# 5 20 October 2009

6	Specification URIS:
7 8	This Version: http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cd-03.html
9	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cd-03.odt (Authoritative)
10	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cd-03.pdf
11 12	Previous Version: http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cs-01.html
13	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cs-01.odt (Authoritative)
14	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cs-01.pdf
15 16	Latest Version: http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key.html
17	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key.odt
18	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml2-holder-of-key.pdf
19 20	Technical Committee: OASIS Security Services TC
21 22 23	Chair(s): Hal Lockhart, BEA Systems, Inc. Thomas Hardjono, MIT
24 25	Editors: Tom Scavo, National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA)
26 27 28	Contributors: Nate Klingenstein, Internet2 Scott Cantor, Internet2
29 30	<pre>Declared XML Namespace(s):     urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:holder-of-key</pre>
31 32 33 34	Abstract:  The SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile describes the issuing and processing of holder of-key SAML assertions. Specifically, we show how a SAML issuer binds X.509 data to a <ds:keyinfo> element and how a relying party confirms that a <ds:keyinfo> element</ds:keyinfo></ds:keyinfo>

matches given X.509 data. The binding material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data 35 used by the relying party are obtained from an X.509 certificate. 36 **Status** 37 This document was last revised or approved by the SSTC on the above date. The level of 38 approval is also listed above. Check the current location noted above for possible later revisions 39 of this document. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule. 40 TC members should send comments on this specification to the TC's email list. Others 41 should send comments to the TC by using the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's 42 web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security. 43 For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to 44 implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the IPR 45 section of the TC web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/ipr.php). 46 The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at http://www.oasis-47 open.org/committees/security. 48

#### Notices

- 50 Copyright © OASIS Open 2008–2009. All Rights Reserved.
- 51 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
- 52 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.
- This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
- comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published,
- and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice
- and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may
- 57 not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as
- needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical
- 59 Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be
- followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.
- The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
- 62 or assigns.
- 63 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
- 64 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
- 65 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY
- 66 OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
- 67 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
- 68 OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would
- 69 necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to
- 70 notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such
- 71 patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced
- this specification.
- 73 OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any
- 74 patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent
- holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR
- 76 Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such
- 77 claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.
- OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
- 79 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
- the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent
- that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to
- 82 rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the
- OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
- to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the
- use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS
- 86 Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any
- information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list
- 88 are, in fact, Essential Claims.
- 89 The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be
- 90 used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and
- implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against
- misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php for above guidance.

# Table of Contents

94	1 Introduction	5
95	1.1 Notation	5
96	1.2 Terminology	5
97	1.3 Normative References	6
98	1.4 Non-normative References	6
99	2 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile	7
100	2.1 Required Information	7
101	2.2 Profile Description	7
102	2.3 X.509 Certificate Usage	7
103	2.4 Issuing Holder-of-Key Assertions	8
104 105	2.4.1 KeyInfo Usage	8
106	2.5 Processing Holder-of-Key Assertions	10
107	2.6 Security and Privacy Considerations	11
108 109	2.6.1 ASN.1 Encoding	
110	3 Conformance	13
111	3.0.1 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile	
112	Appendix A. Acknowledgments	14
113	Appendix B. Revision History	15
114		

#### 115 1 Introduction

- 116 The SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile describes the issuing and processing of a holder-of-key
- 117 SAML assertion, that is, an assertion containing a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element whose
- 118 Method attribute is set to urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key. Specifically, we
- describe the structural characteristics of a <ds:KeyInfo> element with bound X.509 data and show how
- 120 a relying party confirms that such a <ds: KeyInfo> element matches given X.509 data. The binding
- material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data used by the relying party are obtained from an
- 122 X.509 certificate.

127

131

132

133

134

135 136

137

138

139

140

141

144

- 123 This profile involves a SAML issuer and a SAML relying party, each with an X.509 certificate in its
- possession. The SAML issuer uses its certificate to produce a holder-of-key SAML assertion. The relying
- party consumes the assertion, confirming the attesting entity by comparing the X.509 data in the assertion
- with the X.509 data in its possession.

#### 1.1 Notation

- This specification uses normative text. The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
- specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]:
  - ...they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperation or to limit behavior which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)...
  - These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

```
Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.
```

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

Prefix	XML Namespace	Comments
saml:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion	This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
ds:	http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#	This is the XML Signature namespace [XMLSig].
xs:	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	This is the XML Schema namespace [Schema1].
xsi:	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance	This is the XML Schema namespace for schema-related markup that appears in XML instances [Schema1].

- 142 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: <SAMLElement>,
- 143 <ns:ForeignElement>, Attribute, **Datatype**, OtherCode.

#### 1.2 Terminology

In this specification, a *SAML issuer* is a producer of holder-of-key assertions. Similarly, a *relying party* is a consumer of holder-of-key assertions.

- A *presenter* transmits a holder-of-key assertion to the relying party. An *attesting entity* is a presenter who is able to satisfy the subject confirmation requirements of the holder-of-key assertion.
- Usually the attesting entity is the subject of the assertion (hence the terms "subject confirmation" and
- "confirming the subject"). In general, however, the attesting entity may not be the subject, in which case
- the previous phrases are misnomers. Thus the terms "attestation" and "confirming the attesting entity" are
- more technically correct than "subject confirmation" and "confirming the subject," respectively. We will
- use the term "attesting entity" exclusively in this document.

#### 1.3 Normative References

154

175

155 156	[RFC2119]	S. Bradner. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels. IETF RFC 2119, March 1997. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt
157 158 159	[RFC4514]	K. Zeilenga. Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Distinguished Names. IETF RFC 4514, June 2006. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4514.txt
160 161 162	[RFC5280]	D. Cooper, S. Santesson, S. Farrell, S. Boeyen, R. Housley, W. Polk. <i>Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile.</i> IETF RFC 5280, May 2008. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5280.txt
163 164 165	[SAML2Core]	OASIS Standard, Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0. March 2005. http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf
166 167 168	[SAML2Prof]	OASIS Standard, <i>Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language</i> ( <i>SAML</i> ) <i>V2.0.</i> March 2005. http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf
169 170 171	[Schema1]	H. S. Thompson et al. <i>XML Schema Part 1: Structures</i> . World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. See http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/
172 173 174	[XMLSig]	D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo, F. Hirsch, T. Roessler. XML Signature Syntax and Processing (Second Edition). World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, 10 June 2008. http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/

#### 1.4 Non-normative References

176 177 178	[RFC3820]	S. Tuecke, V. Welch, D. Engert, L. Pearlman, M. Thompson. <i>Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) Proxy Certificate Profile</i> . IETF RFC 3820, June 2004. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3820.txt
179 180	[RFC4346]	T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. <i>The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1.</i> IETF RFC 4346, April 2006. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt
181 182 183	[SAML2ConDel]	S. Cantor. SAML V2.0 Condition for Delegation Restriction. OASIS SSTC Committee Draft 01, 10 March 2009. http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-delegation-cd-01.pdf

# 2 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

## 2.1 Required Information

- 186 Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:holder-of-key
- 187 Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org
- 188 SAML Confirmation Method Identifiers: The SAML V2.0 holder-of-key confirmation method identifier
- 189 (urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key) is associated with every
- 190 <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element issued under this profile.
- 191 **Description:** Given below.

185

193

192 **Updates:** Supplements the holder-of-key confirmation method described in section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof].

#### 2.2 Profile Description

- This specification profiles a type of assertion called a holder-of-key assertion. By definition, a holder-of-
- 195 key SAML assertion contains a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element whose Method attribute is
- 196 set to urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key. This specification describes how the
- 197 SAML issuer binds selected X.509 data from an X.509 certificate to the
- 198 <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of a holder-of-key assertion. The complementary process
- involves a relying party who confirms that the X.509 data bound to the assertion matches the data in a
- 200 given X.509 certificate.
- 201 Suppose a SAML response issued by a SAML issuer contains one or more holder-of-key assertions
- 202 (otherwise this specification is not applicable). At the time the assertion is issued, the issuer possesses an
- 203 X.509 certificate known to be associated with the attesting entity (who may or may not be present when
- the assertion is issued). The SAML issuer binds some (or all) of the X.509 data in the certificate to the
- 205 holder-of-key assertion.
- Subsequently, the attesting entity presents the holder-of-key assertion and an X.509 certificate to the
- 207 relying party. The attesting entity proves possession of the private key corresponding to the public key
- bound to the certificate, the details of which are out of scope with respect to this profile. The relying party
- 209 compares the X.509 data in the certificate to the X.509 data bound to the assertion, thereby confirming the
- 210 attesting entity.

218

- 211 Precisely how the issuer comes to possess a certificate known to be associated with attesting entity and
- 212 how the assertion and the certificate are presented to the relying party are all out of scope with respect to
- this profile. On the other hand, the issuing of the holder-of-key assertion itself and the ultimate
- 214 confirmation of the attesting entity are in scope.
- 215 We assume that the relying party trusts the SAML issuer to issue holder-of-key assertions. The SAML
- issuer, on the other hand, may not even know the intended relying party, so there is no underlying
- 217 assumption that the SAML issuer trusts the relying party.

## 2.3 X.509 Certificate Usage

- There are no explicit requirements with respect to the X.509 certificate(s) possessed by the SAML issuer
- and the relying party. If, however, a certificate contains a Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension, then the
- 221 certificate MUST be an X.509 v3 certificate [RFC5280]. Other than that, the specific characteristics of
- these certificates are wholly out of scope with respect to this specification. In particular, there is no
- expectation that either the SAML issuer or the relying party trusts the issuer of the certificate, and

- therefore all portions of the certificate, apart from the X.509 data specified in the following sections, are
- 225 unspecified.

230

- The only exception to the above rule is the case where the <ds:X509Data> element specified in
- section 2.4.1 contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element or a <ds:X509SerialIssuer> element. In
- 228 these two cases, the relying party MUST trust the X.509 issuer in order to confirm the attesting entity. This
- is discussed more fully in section 2.5 below.

#### 2.4 Issuing Holder-of-Key Assertions

- 231 Every assertion containing a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element MUST conform to
- [SAML2Core] (see section 2.4.1 of Core, especially section 2.4.1.3) and section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof].
- 233 Where this specification conflicts with the SAML V2.0 specification, the former takes precedence.
- 234 Suppose a SAML issuer wishes to issue a response containing one or more holder-of-key assertions. As
- 235 a prerequisite, the SAML issuer MUST possess an X.509 certificate known to be associated with the
- 236 attesting entity. The SAML issuer binds some or all of the X.509 data in the certificate to the
- 237 <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of a SAML assertion.
- 238 Briefly, the SAML issuer binds a <ds:KeyInfo> element to the <saml:SubjectConfirmationData>
- element of a holder-of-key assertion. The <ds: KeyInfo> element contains one or more of the following
- 240 elements: <ds:X509Certificate>, <ds:X509SKI>, <ds:X509SubjectName>, or
- 241 <ds:X509IssuerSerial>. A <ds:X509Certificate> element contains a base64 encoding of the
- certificate possessed by the SAML issuer. A <ds:X509SKI> element contains the base64 encoding of
- the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension (if there is one) bound to the certificate. A
- 244 <ds: X509SubjectName> element contains the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the certificate.
- 245 A <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element contains the issuer DN and the issuer serial number bound to the
- 246 certificate. In each case, the content of the <ds:KeyInfo> element conforms to the XML Signature
- specification [XMLSig]. These requirements are spelled out more clearly in the next section.
- 248 If the SAML issuer has reason to believe that the relying party trusts the certificate issuer, the SAML issuer
- 249 MAY include NotBefore or NotOnOrAfter XML attributes on the
- 250 <saml:SubjectConfirmationData> element. If so, the values bound to the assertion MUST be
- consistent with the values in the certificate. In particular, the value of the NotBefore attribute (resp., the
- 252 NotonorAfter attribute) MUST be greater than or equal to (resp., less than or equal to) the NotBefore
- 253 field (resp., the NotOnOrAfter field) of the certificate.
- 254 The <saml:NameID> element. If it does, the
- latter identifies an attesting entity different from the subject of the assertion. If the
- 256 <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element does not contain a <saml:NameID> element, then the
- 257 attesting entity and the subject are one and the same.
- 258 If the <saml: SubjectConfirmation> element contains a <saml: NameID> element, the attesting
- entity is presumably acting on behalf of the subject. To more strongly signal such a delegation scenario, a
- 260 <saml:Condition> element MAY be used (cf. [SAML2ConDel]).

#### 2.4.1 KeyInfo Usage

261

- 262 According to the SAML V2.0 specification, a holder-of-key assertion MUST contain at least one
- 263 <ds:KeyInfo> element within the <saml:SubjectConfirmationData> element and that the
- 264 <ds: KeyInfo> element MUST conform to the XML Signature specification. This SAML V2.0 Holder-of-
- 265 Key Assertion Profile requires that the <ds: KeyInfo> element MUST conform to the Second Edition of
- the XML Signature specification [XMLSig] and further constrains the content of each <ds:KeyInfo>
- 267 element to contain exactly one <ds: X509Data> element. The <ds: X509Data> element MUST NOT
- 268 contain a <ds: X509CRL> element. Instead, the following content options are specified, at least one of
- which MUST be satisfied:

- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509Certificate> element. If it does, the <ds:X509Certificate> element MUST contain a base64 encoding of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.
  - The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509SKI> element. If it does, the <ds:X509SKI> element MUST contain the base64 encoding of the plain (i.e., not DER-encoded) value of the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension (as specified in [XMLSig]) of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer. If the certificate does not contain an SKI extension, the <ds:X509Data> element MUST NOT contain a <ds:X509SKI> element.
  - The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509SubjectName> element. If it does, the <ds:X509SubjectName> element MUST contain the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.
  - The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element. If it does, the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element MUST contain the issuer DN and the issuer serial number (as specified in [XMLSig]) bound to the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.

Use of the <ds:X509Certificate> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is most
restrictive since each implies that the exact same certificate is used by both the SAML issuer and the
relying party. Use of the <ds:X509SKI> element or the <ds:X509SubjectName> element is less
restrictive since each permits a different certificate to be used by the relying party provided the certificate
contains the same key or DN (resp.) as in the certificate used by the SAML issuer.

- Use of the <ds:X509SubjectName> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is warranted in those situations where the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate. The SAML issuer SHOULD NOT bind either of these elements to the <ds:X509Data> element unless it knows that such a trust relationship exists.
- Note that the format of the DN contained in the <ds:X509SubjectName> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is specified in [XMLSig]. In accordance with that specification, it is RECOMMENDED that the DN conform to [RFC4514] in all cases.
- Since the <ds:KeyInfo> element is extensible [XMLSig], other fields or extensions from the X.509 certificate may be bound to the holder-of-key assertion. These are provided as a convenience to the relying party, so that the relying party need not have to decode and parse the certificate. All such extensions are out of scope with respect to this profile, however.

#### 2.4.2 Example

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

300

301

302

Here is an example of a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element illustrating three of the content options specified in section 2.4:

```
303
         <saml:SubjectConfirmation</pre>
           xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
304
305
           Method="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key">
306
           <saml:SubjectConfirmationData</pre>
             xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
307
308
             xsi:type="saml:KeyInfoConfirmationDataType">
309
             <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
310
               <ds:X509Data>
311
                 <!-- a base64 encoding of an X.509 certificate -->
312
313
                 <ds:X509Certificate>
314
         MIIDuDCCAqACCQCJZK8wF0xVXjANBqkqhkiG9w0BAQQFADCBnTELMAkGA1UEBhMCQlIxEzARBqNV
         BAqTClNvbWUtu3RhdGUxEjAQBqNVBAcTCVNvbWUtQ2lOeTESMBAGAlUEChMJR1NvQyAyMDA4MRIw
315
         EAYDVQQLEwlHU29DIDIwMDqxFzAVBqNVBAMTDkpvYW5hIFRyaW5kYWRlMSQwIqYJKoZIhvcNAQkB
316
         FhVzb211LWFkZHJ1c3NAaG9zdC5vcmcwHhcNMDqwNjE2MTcyMTQzWhcNMDkwNjE2MTcyMTQzWjCB
317
318
         nTELMAkGA1UEBhMCQlIxEzARBqNVBAqTClNvbWUtU3RhdGUxEjAQBqNVBAcTCVNvbWUtQ210eTES
         MBAGA1UECHMJR1NvQyAyMDA4MRIwEAYDVQQLEw1HU29DIDIwMDqxFzAVBqNVBAMTDkpvYW5hIFRy
319
320
         aW5kYWR1MSQwIqYJKoZIhvcNAQkBFhVzb211LWFkZHJlc3NAaG9zdC5vcmcwqqEiMA0GCSqGSIb3
```

```
321
         DQEBAQUAA4IBDwAwqqEKAoIBAQDIDVKdO2CCVYA0TspOPmcSNnivjQq7jCacrqRPawKi3/pTuvnW
322
         3c2XCpyT2s6Sks3Eq5T4HIXta5E+lOpN8VbTunVdSrac54r2uK8x+8AqX7M0wQw+98iGw9E2an5q
323
         xRZfqqE1T5;WL/a/G1/e2TG1mp521W3k1nNtf8rYH39JpwBSZMeW7uHOSZOkT/pVvqPTqG7vUQT6
         BiRh7PfwsLrLOMubbeQ6Z2m3Vnsv20E1FbPzwswzh4X1gXj9bnyI2UsuoisW9Y4p4byjL3GJ/hxp
324
         mjRjXs+aIpzi0V3MH+jVJ98eomhlUFLaE83xycC8lns+FcCSQZ8RsbnaLZrtC8r7AgMBAAEwDQYJ
325
326
         KoZIhvcNAQEEBQADqqEBACwnWSEpwq5aE7QBdDNNXyok34RIonYi9690yw7i+JU7R/QdE42GERJS
327
         DVKBN959ELLJf5d0vybGv08QWbZVQ7eBGn9xaZ7MhSnblYNDXs9vuv1V2Dy32q1J5nCSzqpJDyln
328
         lVFWe9UQMCJ006ibUtWLhiDQ49kmMabgyYfX28qB6oRdVL+mDI/XTt+mkCgk4Rs78n4kbX6qnRlj
329
         dE/YnibP1A7iMh8pQkv49J6sP9SeUmQ2zxKCt3tSRzzypWc8JjOZGuBYGQH19Xm7WEs4CXS7iZJW
         E32frMAtavMcTM/qnDtCc8tZAx12PSLOF1954vapfMjBhq3VTI6QRW//wPE=
330
331
                 </ds:X509Certificate>
332
333
                 <!-- the above X.509 certificate does not contain a
334
                      Subject Key Identifier extension so the SAML issuer
                      must not include a <ds:X509SKI> element -->
335
336
                 <!-- the subject DN (in RFC 5414 format) bound to the
337
338
                      above X.509 certificate -->
339
                 <ds:X509SubjectName>emailAddress=some-address@host.org,CN=Joana
340
         Trindade, OU=GSoC 2008, O=GSoC 2008, L=Some-City, ST=Some-
341
         State, C=BR</ds:X509SubjectName>
342
343
                 <!-- the issuer DN (in RFC 5414 format) and the issuer serial
344
                      number (in decimal) bound to the above X.509 certificate -->
345
                 <ds:X509IssuerSerial>
346
                   <ds:X509IssuerName>emailAddress=some-address@host.org,CN=Joana
         Trindade, OU=GSoC 2008, O=GSoC 2008, L=Some-City, ST=Some-
347
348
         State, C=BR</ds:X509IssuerName>
349
                   <ds:X509SerialNumber>9900230501951362398</ds:X509SerialNumber>
350
                 </ds:X509IssuerSerial>
351
352
               </ds:X509Data>
             </ds:KeyInfo>
353
354
           </saml:SubjectConfirmationData>
355
         </saml:SubjectConfirmation>
```

A relying party can confirm the attesting entity by the matching the available X.509 data to any of the above child elements of the <ds:X509Data> element.

## 2.5 Processing Holder-of-Key Assertions

356

357

358

359

361

362

363

364

373 374

375

The attesting entity presents a holder-of-key assertion and an X.509 certificate to the relying party. The attesting entity MUST prove possession of the private key corresponding to the public key bound to the certificate, the details of which are out of scope with respect to this profile. The relying party confirms the attesting entity by comparing the X.509 data in the certificate to the X.509 data bound to the assertion. If the X.509 data in the certificate matches the X.509 data bound to the assertion, the attesting entity is said to be *confirmed*.

Regardless of the protocol used, any assertions relied upon MUST be valid according to the processing rules specified in [SAML2Core]. In particular, the relying party MUST verify the signature (if any) on each assertion containing a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element. Any assertion that is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met, SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the subject.

If the <ds:X509Data> element contains multiple child elements, the relying party may choose to confirm the attesting entity based on any one of them. Specifically, the relying party MUST confirm that the certificate matches the content of the <ds:X509Data> element as follows:

• If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509Certificate> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the certificate bound to the assertion matches the X.509 certificate in its possession.

- Matching is done by comparing the base64-decoded certificates, or the hash values of the base64-decoded certificates, byte-for-byte.
  - If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SKI> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the value bound to the assertion matches the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension bound to the X.509 certificate. Matching is done by comparing the base64-decoded SKI values byte-for-byte. If the X.509 certificate does not contain an SKI extension, the attesting entity is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.
  - If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the assertion matches the DN bound to the X.509 certificate. If, however, the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue such a DN, the attesting entity is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.
  - If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the issuer DN and issuer serial number bound to the assertion match the issuer DN and the issuer serial number (resp.) bound to the X.509 certificate. If the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue X.509 certificates, however, the attesting entity is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

In the case of a <ds:X509Certificate> element or a <ds:X509SKI> element, the matching process is relatively straightforward. If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element or a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, however, and the relying party chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on one of these elements, the relying party MUST trust the issuer of the X.509 certificate before the attesting entity can be considered confirmed. If such a trust relationship between the relying party and the certificate issuer does not exist, the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

If the <saml: SubjectConfirmationData> element includes NotBefore or NotOnOrAfter attributes, and the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate, the relying party MUST confirm that the current time is greater than or equal to (resp., less than or equal to) the value of the NotBefore (resp., the NotOnOrAfter) attribute. If this requirement is not met, the attesting entity is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

## 2.6 Security and Privacy Considerations

This profile assumes that both the SAML issuer and the relying party have access to an X.509 certificate.

For those deployments that wish to avoid or do not require an X.509-based public key infrastructure (PKI).

this may seem unnecessarily restrictive. In fact, the use of X.509 certificates is typical and provides a

number of advantages. First, observe that the SSL/TLS protocol [RFC4346] requires the use of X.509

certificates. Second, and most importantly, since there is no presumption of an underlying trust model for X.509 certificates, the full range of possible content for the <ds:KeyInfo> element is avoided. Those

deployments that are in fact based on such a trust model, or wish to avoid X.509 certificates altogether,

may choose to profile additional child elements such as <ds: KeyName> or <ds: KeyValue>.

Deployments that rely on holder-of-key SAML assertions will no doubt impose their own requirements on

the X.509 certificates used to obtain those assertions. For example, some deployments will require the

certificate to be an X.509 end-entity certificate [RFC5280] issued by a trusted X.509 certification authority

(CA) or a certificate based on a trusted X.509 end-entity certificate (such as an X.509 proxy certificate

[RFC3820]). This specification imposes no such restrictions, however.

#### 2.6.1 ASN.1 Encoding

378 379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394 395

396

397

398 399

400

406

420

For compatibility with the XML Signature specification [XMLSig], this profile intentionally avoids any discussion of the ASN.1 encoding of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer and the relying

- 423 party. Indeed, in the case of the <ds:X509Certificate> element, the ASN.1 encoding of the
- 424 certificate doesn't matter. In this case, the SAML issuer simply base64-encodes the ASN.1-encoded
- certificate in its possession and binds it to the <ds:X509Certificate> element. Later the relying party
- base64-decodes the content of the <ds:X509Certificate> element and compares the resulting
- certificate (byte-for-byte) with the ASN.1-encoded certificate in its possession.
- 428 In the case of the <ds:X509SKI>, <ds:X509SubjectName>, or <ds:X509IssuerSerial> elements,
- however, the ASN.1 encoding of the certificates does matter. To produce these elements, the SAML
- 430 issuer must ASN.1-decode the certificate in its possession and parse the ASN.1 to obtain the X.509 data
- 431 to be bound to the assertion. Likewise the relying party must ASN.1-decode the certificate in its
- possession, parsing the ASN.1 to obtain the required X.509 data, which it compares to the X.509 data
- 433 bound to the assertion.

439

- The basic problem is that the ASN.1 encoding of an X.509 certificate is not guaranteed. While it is true
- that an X.509 certificate is often DER-encoded, a robust implementation must be prepared to handle other
- 436 ASN.1 encodings besides DER, mainly BER and CER. Consequently it is anticipated that deployments
- 437 will prefer the <ds: X509Certificate> element for maximum interoperability. In fact, this preference is
- reflected in the conformance requirements of this profile (section 3).

#### 2.6.2 X.509 Serial Number

- Note that some CAs use large random numbers as serial numbers to prevent sequence guessing.
- However, not all XML libraries are capable of dealing with large integers in the
- 442 <ds: X509IssuerSerial> element. The problem is that the <ds: X509SerialNumber> child element
- of the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is typed as an arbitrary integer in [XMLSig] yet conforming
- implementations are required to support only 18 decimal digits. Thus the <ds:X509IssuerSerial>
- 445 element should be used with care.

## 3 Conformance

#### 447 3.0.1 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

- Both the SAML issuer and the relying party MUST conform to section 2.3.
- A SAML issuer MUST follow the issuing rules in section 2.4. In particular, a SAML issuer MUST produce
- 450 <ds: KeyInfo> elements that conform to section 2.4.1. Likewise, a relying party MUST follow the
- 451 processing rules in section 2.5.
- 452 To claim conformance to this specification, a SAML issuer implementation MUST support the
- 453 <ds: X509Certificate> element specified in section 2.4.1. Support for the remaining child elements
- specified in section 2.4.1 is OPTIONAL for SAML issuers.
- 455 Likewise a conforming relying party implementation MUST support the <ds:X509Certificate>
- element specified in section 2.5. Support for the remaining child elements specified in section 2.5 is
- 457 OPTIONAL for relying parties.

## **Appendix A. Acknowledgments**

- The editor would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services (SAML) Technical
- 460 Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:
- John Bradley, Individual
- Scott Cantor, Internet2
- Duane DeCouteau, Veterans Health Administration
- Christian Guenther, Nokia Siemens Networks GmbH & Co.
- Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.
- Frederick Hirsch, Nokia Corporation
- Ari Kermaier, Oracle Corporation
- Nathan Klingenstein, Internet2
- Hal Lockhart, Oracle Corporation
- Paul Madsen, NTT Corporation
- Kyle Meadors, Drummond Group Inc.
- Bob Morgan, Internet2
- Thinh Nguyenphu, Nokia Siemens Networks GmbH & Co.
- Rob Philpott, EMC Corporation
- 475
   Anil Saldhana, Red Hat
- Tom Scavo, National Center for Supercomputing Applications
- Kent Spaulding, Skyworth TTG Holdings Limited
- David Staggs, Veterans Health Administration
- Emily Xu, Sun Microsystems
- The editor would also like to acknowledge the following contributors:
- Joana M. F. da Trindade, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)
- The members of the IETF PKIX Working Group
- Peter Sylvester, EdelWeb (France)
- Brett Beaumont, SSC, New Zealand Government

# **Appendix B. Revision History**

Document ID	Date	Committer	Comment
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-01	7 Aug 2008	T. Scavo	Initial draft
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-02	14 Aug 2008	T. Scavo	Remove all refs to samlp:
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-03	7 Sep 2008	T. Scavo	Remove proof of possession requirement
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-04	6 Oct 2008	T. Scavo	Response to comments
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-05	20 Oct 2008	T. Scavo	Updated KeyInfo Usage rules
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-06	13 Nov 2008	T. Scavo	Dropped DER-encoding requirement
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-07	7 Dec 2008	T. Scavo	Added NotBefore/NotOnOrAfter attributes
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-08	11 Jan 2009	T. Scavo	Relaxed the X.509 v3 requirement
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-09	20 Jan 2009	T. Scavo	Response to comments
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cd-01	9 Mar 2009	T. Scavo	Committee Draft 01
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-10	14 Jun 2009	T. Scavo	Response to Public Comments
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cd-02	5 Jul 2009	T. Scavo	Committee Draft 02
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cs-01	29 Jul 2009	tc-admin	Committee Specification 01
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-11	4 Oct 2009	T. Scavo	Fixed minor bugs in CS 01
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-cd-03	3 Nov 2009	T. Scavo	Committee Draft 03

486