

# OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 5: Attachments

## Committee Specification Draft 01

07 June 2016

### Specification URIs

#### This version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part5-attachments/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part5-attachments.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part5-attachments/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part5-attachments.pdf>

#### Previous version:

N/A

#### Latest version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/oslc-core-v3.0-part5-attachments.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/oslc-core-v3.0-part5-attachments.pdf>

#### Technical Committee:

[OASIS OSLC Lifecycle Integration Core \(OSLC Core\) TC](#)

#### Chairs:

[Jim Amsden \(jamsden@us.ibm.com\)](mailto:jamsden@us.ibm.com), IBM  
[Martin Sarabura \(msarabura@ptc.com\)](mailto:msarabura@ptc.com), PTC

#### Editor:

[Jim Amsden \(jamsden@us.ibm.com\)](mailto:jamsden@us.ibm.com), IBM

#### Additional artifacts:

This specification is one component of a Work Product that also includes:

- OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 1: Overview. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part1-overview/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part1-overview.html>
- OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 2: Discovery. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part2-discovery/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part2-discovery.html>
- OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 3: Resource Preview. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part3-resource-preview/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part3-resource-preview.html>
- OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 4: Delegated Dialogs. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part4-delegated-dialogs/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part4-delegated-dialogs.html>
- OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 5: Attachments (this document). <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part5-attachments/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part5-attachments.html>
- OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 6: Resource Shape. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part6-resource-shape/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part6-resource-shape.html>
- OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 7: Vocabulary. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part7-core-vocabulary/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part7-core-vocabulary.html>

#### Related work:

This specification is related to:

- OSLC Core Version 3.0: Link Guidance. Work in progress. Current draft: <https://tools.oasis-open.org/version-control/svn/oslc-core/trunk/supporting-docs/link-guidance.html>

## RDF Namespaces:

<http://open-services.net/ns/core#>

## Abstract:

Binary or text documents may be considered attachments to other resources. This specification describes a minimal way to manage attachments related to web resources using LDP-Containers and Non-RDF Source [LDP].

## Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the [OASIS OSLC Lifecycle Integration Core \(OSLC Core\) TC](#) on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document. Any other numbered Versions and other technical work produced by the Technical Committee (TC) are listed at <https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/oslc-core#technical>.

TC members should send comments on this specification to the TC's email list. Others should send comments to the TC's public comment list [oslc-core-comments@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:oslc-core-comments@lists.oasis-open.org), after subscribing to it by following the instructions at the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's web page at <https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/oslc-core/>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the TC's web page (<https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/oslc-core/ipr.php>).

## Citation format:

When referencing this specification the following citation format should be used:

### **[OSLC-Attachments-3.0]**

*OSLC Core Version 3.0. Part 5: Attachments*. Edited by Jim Amsden. 07 June 2016. OASIS Committee Specification Draft 01. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/csd01/part5-attachments/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part5-attachments.html>. Latest version: <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0/oslc-core-v3.0-part5-attachments.html>.

---

## Notices

Copyright © OASIS Open © 2016. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full [Policy](#) may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights.

Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS" is a trademark of [OASIS](#), the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see <https://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/trademark> for above guidance.

---

## Table of Contents

- 1. [Introduction](#)
    - 1.1 [Terminology](#)
    - 1.2 [References](#)
    - 1.3 [Typographical Conventions and Use of RFC Terms](#)
  - 2. [Motivation](#)
  - 3. [Basic Concepts](#)
  - 4. [Working with Attachments](#)
    - 4.1 [Find the Attachments for a Resource](#)
    - 4.2 [Get the Attachment Content](#)
    - 4.3 [Create an Attachment](#)
    - 4.4 [Update an Attachment](#)
    - 4.5 [Remove an Attachment](#)
    - 4.6 [Include Attachment Information Inline with a Resource](#)
  - 5. [Implementation Conformance](#)
    - 5.1 [General](#)
    - 5.2 [Resources with Attachments](#)
    - 5.3 [Attachments](#)
    - 5.4 [Attachment Containers](#)
    - 5.5 [Attachment Descriptors](#)
  - 6. [Resource Constraints](#)
    - 6.1 [Resource: AttachmentDescriptor](#)
- Appendix A. [Change History](#)

---

## 1. Introduction

*This section is non-normative.*

Various tools handle the association and creation of related resources in conceptually similar ways, but often differ in details on how it is accomplished. The Linked Data Platform (LDP) already defines a model by which it is possible to relate resources to another, even if they are not RDF-based. This specification defines the method to create associated attachments to a given resource and understand if that resource supports the attaching of attachments.

As an example of how to create an attachment, simply HTTP POST the attachment content to the attachment container for the resource. The request should have a `Content-Type` header describing the attachment's media type. The optional `slug` header is used to give the attachment a name.

### EXAMPLE 1

```
POST /bugs/2314/attachments HTTP/1.1
Slug: design
Content-Type: application/vnd.oasis.opendocument.text
Content-Length: 18124

[binary content]
```

The response contains a Link to the new attachment in the `Location` header. This server has also included a Link to the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` for the attachment in the HTTP response, which contains metadata about the attachment.

### EXAMPLE 2

```
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Allow: GET,HEAD,OPTIONS,POST
Location: http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/3
Link: <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/3>; rel="describedby";
anchor="http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/3",
<http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Resource>; rel="type"
Content-Length: 0
```

The following sections detail how to leverage LDP to accomplish the ways in which to discovery, get, create, update or delete attachments and associate with a web resource.

## 1.1 Terminology

Terminology uses and extends the terminology and capabilities of OSLC Core Overview [OSLCCore3], W3C Linked Data Platform [LDP], W3C's Architecture of the World Wide Web [WEBARCH], Hyper-text Transfer Protocol [HTTP11].

### **Attachment**

A LDP-NR whose lifecycle is coupled with the attaching resource.

### **Attachment Container**

A LDPC that contains Attachments for a resource.

### **Attachment Descriptor**

A LDP-RS that contains additional data about an Attachment.

## 1.2 References

### 1.2.1 Normative references

[HTTP11]

R. Fielding, Ed.; J. Reschke, Ed.. *Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing*. June 2014. Proposed Standard. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7230>

[LDP]

Steve Speicher; John Arwe; Ashok Malhotra. *Linked Data Platform 1.0*. 26 February 2015. W3C Recommendation. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/ldp/>

[OSLCCore3]

Steve Speicher. *OSLC Core Overview 3.0*. URL: <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0-csd01/part1-overview/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part1-overview.html>

[OSLCCoreVocab]

Jim Amsden; S. Padgett; S. Speicher. *OSLC Core Vocabulary*. Working Draft. URL: <http://docs.oasis-open.org/oslc-core/oslc-core/v3.0-csd01/part7-core-vocabulary/oslc-core-v3.0-csd01-part7-core-vocabulary.html>

[RFC2119]

S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. March 1997. Best Current Practice. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119>

[RFC2183]

R. Troost; S. Dorner; K. Moore, Ed.. *Communicating Presentation Information in Internet Messages: The Content-Disposition Header Field*. August 1997. Proposed Standard. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2183>

[RFC5023]

J. Gregorio, Ed.; B. de hOra, Ed.. *The Atom Publishing Protocol*. October 2007. Proposed Standard. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5023>

[RFC5988]

M. Nottingham. *Web Linking*. October 2010. Proposed Standard. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5988>

### 1.2.2 Informative references

[WEBARCH]

Ian Jacobs; Norman Walsh. *Architecture of the World Wide Web, Volume One*. 15 December 2004. W3C Recommendation. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/webarch/>

[turtle]

Eric Prud'hommeaux; Gavin Carothers. *RDF 1.1 Turtle*. 25 February 2014. W3C Recommendation. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/turtle/>

## 1.3 Typographical Conventions and Use of RFC Terms

As well as sections marked as non-normative, all authoring guidelines, diagrams, examples, and notes in this specification are non-normative. Everything else in this specification is normative.

The key words **MUST**, **MUST NOT**, **REQUIRED**, **SHOULD**, **SHOULD NOT**, **RECOMMENDED**, **MAY**, and **OPTIONAL** in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

The namespace for OSLC Core is <http://open-services.net/ns/core#>.

Sample resource representations are provided in `text/turtle` format [turtle].

Commonly used namespace prefixes:

```
@prefix dcterms: <http://purl.org/dc/terms/>.
@prefix ldp: <http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#>.
@prefix oslc: <http://open-services.net/ns/core#>.
@prefix oslc_cm: <http://open-services.net/ns/cm#>.
@prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>.
@prefix wdrs: <http://www.w3.org/2007/05/powder-s#>.
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>.
```

## 2. Motivation

*This section is non-normative.*

Most users of lifecycle tools have the need to easily create attachments across a variety of integrated tools and associate them to some lifecycle resource in context to some scenario. Some specific scenarios where this touches cross tool integration:

- **Running application scanning** : automatically creating a defect or task to track a problem, attaching a log file that outlines the details of the problem.
- **Publishing build results** : as part of an automated software build, publish successful build artifacts to an asset management repository
- **Mockups of app design** : share screenshots and designs to a given user story (requirement)

## 3. Basic Concepts

*This section is non-normative.*

Attachments are added to a resource via a simple POST request to the appropriate LDP-Container resource. The entity body becomes the content of the attachment resource. The attachment may automatically be associated with the resource via some membership relationship, which may use the `oslc:attachment` membership predicate. Statements are also automatically added to the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resource. The property values are assigned by the server or can be determined from standard headers of the POST. The following table maps the HTTP request headers from the POST request to create the attachment resource, to what can be used to derive the initial values in the indicated `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resource:

HTTP Request Header	Prefixed Name
Slug	<code>dcterms:title</code>
Content-Type	<code>dcterms:format</code>
Content-Length	<code>oslc:attachmentSize</code>

## 4. Working with Attachments

*This section is non-normative.*

The following examples illustrate how a client can work with attachments.

### 4.1 Find the Attachments for a Resource

Clients get the attachments for a resource by:

1. Finding the attachment container for a resource using an HTTP OPTIONS method and Link header
2. Getting the container for the list of attachments

Each resource that supports attachments has an attachment container, which is an LDP container. Clients discover the attachment container through an HTTP Link header. A client can use GET or HEAD to get the Link header, but OPTIONS is often more efficient because the server does not have to calculate the ETag or content length of the response. LDP resources must support HTTP OPTIONS, and responses to all HTTP requests for resources that support attachments must have the Link header.

#### EXAMPLE 3

```
OPTIONS /bugs/2314 HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
```

The response contains a Link to the attachment container with Link relation `http://open-services.net/ns/core#AttachmentContainer`. Note that other Link headers are in the response. In fact, LDP requires additional Link headers, which is why the response has a Link with relation `type` and target URI `http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Resource`.

#### EXAMPLE 4

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Allow: GET,HEAD,OPTIONS,PUT,DELETE
Link: <http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Resource>; rel="type",
      <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments>; rel="http://open-services.net/ns/core#AttachmentContainer"
```

Now the client requests the attachment container to see the attachments for this resource. It's a good practice to include an HTTP **Prefer** header to explicitly ask the server for the LDP containment triples.

#### EXAMPLE 5

```
GET /bugs/2314/attachments HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: text/turtle
Prefer: return=representation; include="http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#PreferContainment"
```

The response is an LDP container for the attachments. It can be any LDP container such as an **ldp:BasicContainer** or an **ldp:DirectContainer**. This example uses an **ldp:BasicContainer**. The attachment container only contains attachments for a single resource.

#### EXAMPLE 6

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Allow: GET,HEAD,OPTIONS,POST
Content-Length: 323
Content-Type: text/turtle
ETag: W/"2773fef2237e91273bde782a43925458"
Link: <http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Resource>; rel="type",
      <http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Container>; rel="type",
Preference-Applied: return=representation
Vary: Accept,Prefer

@prefix oslc: <http://open-services.net/ns/core#> .
@prefix ldp: <http://w3.org/ns/ldp#> .

<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments>
  a oslc:AttachmentContainer , ldp:BasicContainer ;
  ldp:contains <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/2> ,
<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/1> .
```

Clients can look at the **ldp:contains** property on the container for the attachments.

## 4.2 Get the Attachment Content

Once clients have the attachment URI, they can get the attachment by simply making an HTTP GET request to the attachment URI.

A **slug** header can be included by a server in the response to a **GET** on an attachment resource. If a client wishes to store the content as a file, this value provides a hint as to the file name to use (subject, of course, to any file system restrictions). In the absence of an **slug** header, the client may use the last segment of the resource's URI as a hint, or just choose an arbitrary file name.

#### EXAMPLE 7

```
GET /bugs/2314/attachments/1 HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
```

The response body is the attachment content. Servers should set the response **Content-Type** to describe the media type of the attachment. The response may have a **Content-Disposition** header with a filename parameter, although this isn't required. This example also contains a **Link** with relation **describedby**, which links to the **oslc:AttachmentDescriptor** for the attachment.

#### EXAMPLE 8

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Allow: GET,HEAD,OPTIONS,PUT,DELETE
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename="screenshot.png"
Content-Length: 53622
Content-Type: image/png
ETag: W/"678609cdee68e0fb8aea5f252b84a511"
Link: <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/1>; rel="describedby",
      <http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Resource>; rel="type",
      <http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#NonRDFSource>; rel="type"

[binary content]
```

## 4.3 Create an Attachment

To create an attachment, POST the attachment content to the attachment container for the resource. The request should have

a **Content-Type** header describing the attachment's media type and subtype as specified in [Media Types](#). The optional **slug** header is used to give the attachment a name. The **Content-Length** header is used to initialize the attachment size.

A client can set a **slug** header in the attachment-creating POST to specify a hint for a name for the resource as part of that single request. This can be important as some systems require a name at the time the attachment is created. Different systems may have different requirements for valid attachment names, so the value of the **slug** header should be interpreted as a hint in this context. If the given name can not be used as specified, it is transformed into a valid name. If that is not possible or the header is not specified, an arbitrary value is assigned. Failure due to an invalid name is undesirable because of the potentially large size of an attachment resource.

The client can provide the attachment size in the **Content-Length** header and this can be used to initialize the **oslc:AttachmentDescriptor oslc:attachmentSize** property. The server may compute a different attachment size than that provided by the client if the client specified value is incorrect or not provided.

#### EXAMPLE 9

```
POST /bugs/2314/attachments HTTP/1.1
Slug: design
Content-Type: application/vnd.oasis.opendocument.text
Content-Length: 18124

[binary content]
```

The response contains a Link to the new attachment in the **Location** header. This server has also included a Link to the **oslc:AttachmentDescriptor** for the attachment in the HTTP response, which contains metadata about the attachment.

When a server successfully creates an attachment resource, it responds with an HTTP status code of 201 (created) with the URI of the newly created attachment resource in the HTTP response **Location** header. Additionally, if the server created an associated **oslc:AttachmentDescriptor** resource, the URI for this resource should be listed in the HTTP response **Link** header [RFC5988] with **rel="describedby"** [LDP].

Properties for the AttachmentDescriptor that are not readOnly, such as its title and description, can be updated using the usual HTTP **PUT** method.

#### EXAMPLE 10

```
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Allow: GET,HEAD,OPTIONS,POST
Location: http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/3
Link: <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/3>; rel="describedby";
anchor="http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/3",
<http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Resource>; rel="type"
Content-Length: 0
```

## 4.4 Update an Attachment

To update an attachment, PUT the attachment content to the attachment resource.

#### EXAMPLE 11

```
PUT /bugs/2314/attachments/3 HTTP/1.1
Content-Type: application/vnd.oasis.opendocument.text
Content-Length: 19377

[binary content]
```

The server typically responds with a 204 No Content status if the request succeeds. It also updates an associated attachment metadata in the **oslc:AttachmentDescriptor** in the **describedby** link. For example, the client could have included a **slug** header on the update request in order to rename the attachment.

#### EXAMPLE 12

```
HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Link: <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/3>; rel="describedby";
anchor="http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/3",
<http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#Resource>; rel="type"
Content-Length: 0
```

## 4.5 Remove an Attachment

To remove an attachment, make a DELETE request on the attachment URI. This removes the attachment from the container and deletes the content and attachment metadata from the server.

#### EXAMPLE 13

```
DELETE /bugs/2314/attachments/3 HTTP/1.1
```

The server typically responds with 204 No Content status if the request was successful.

#### EXAMPLE 14

```
HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Content-Length: 0
```

## 4.6 Include Attachment Information Inline with a Resource

Servers can choose to include the attachment information directly in the HTTP response for a resource although this isn't required. Here is an example defect resource that contains attachments. The attachment container is an `ldp:DirectContainer` where the membership resource is the defect itself. The membership predicate is `oslc:attachment`, although this predicate is not required. The following example shows the results of an `HTTP GET` on `http://example.com/bugs/2314`.

#### EXAMPLE 15

```
@prefix oslc:      <http://open-services.net/ns/core#> .
@prefix oslc_cm:   <http://open-services.net/ns/cm#> .
@prefix dcterms:  <http://purl.org/dc/terms/> .
@prefix ldp:      <http://w3.org/ns/ldp#> .
@prefix wdrs:     <http://www.w3.org/2007/05/powder-s#> .

<http://example.com/bugs/2314>
  a      oslc_cm:Defect ;
  oslc:attachment <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/2> ,
<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/1> ;
  dcterms:title   "A serious bug!" ;
  dcterms:identifier "2314" .

<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments>
  a      ldp:DirectContainer , oslc:AttachmentContainer ;
  ldp:contains <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/2> ,
<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/1> ;
  ldp:hasMemberRelation oslc:attachment ;
  ldp:membershipResource <http://example.com/bugs/2314> .

<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/1>
  wdrs:describedBy <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/1> .

<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/1>
  a      oslc:AttachmentDescriptor ;
  oslc:attachmentSize "53622"^^<http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer> ;
  dcterms:created     "2011-07-18T13:22:30.45-05:00"^^<http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime> ;
  dcterms:creator     <http://example.com/users/steve> ;
  dcterms:format      <http://purl.org/NET/mediatypes/image/png> ;
  dcterms:identifier  "1" ;
  dcterms:title       "screenshot.png" .

<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/2>
  wdrs:describedBy <http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/2> .

<http://example.com/bugs/2314/attachments/meta/2>
  a      oslc:AttachmentDescriptor ;
  oslc:attachmentSize "9196"^^<http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#int> ;
  dcterms:created     "2011-07-19T15:03:54.00-05:00"^^<http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime> ;
  dcterms:creator     <http://example.com/users/dave> ;
  dcterms:format      <http://purl.org/NET/mediatypes/text/x-diff> ;
  dcterms:identifier  "2" ;
  dcterms:title       "fix.patch" .
```

## 5. Implementation Conformance

### 5.1 General

5.1.1 Servers that support OSLC attachments **MUST** be Linked Data Platform 1.0 conformant servers [LDP].

### 5.2 Resources with Attachments

5.2.1 Each resource that supports attachments **MUST** have at least one `oslc:AttachmentContainer` that holds attachments for that resource.

5.2.2 Responses to HTTP requests for resources that support attachments **MUST** contain at least one Link header [RFC5988] where the context URI is the resource URI, the Link relation is `http://open-services.net/ns/core#AttachmentContainer`, and the target URI is the URI of an `oslc:AttachmentContainer` resource.

### 5.3 Attachments

5.3.1 An attachment **MUST** be a conformant Linked Data Platform Non-RDF Source (LDP-NR).

5.3.2 Successful responses to HTTP GET requests for an attachment URI **SHOULD** include a `Content-Disposition` header [RFC2183] with disposition type `attachment` and a filename parameter. The filename is often the `slug` header value used to create the attachment with an appropriate file extension added for the attachment's media type.

5.3.3 If an attachment has an associated `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor`, responses to HTTP requests for the attachment URI **MUST** include a Link header [RFC5988] where the context URI is the attachment URI, the Link relation is `describedby`, and the target URI is the URI of the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor`.

5.3.4 When servers update an attachment, they **MUST** also update any affected `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` properties of the associated attachment descriptor.

5.3.5 When deleting attachments, servers **MUST** also delete any associated `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resources.

## 5.4 Attachment Containers

5.4.1 Each `oslc:AttachmentContainer` **MUST** be a conformant Linked Data Platform Container (LDPC).

5.4.2 Clients **MAY** use the HTTP `slug` request header [RFC5023] to suggest a name when creating an attachment. If present, the `slug` header **SHOULD NOT** include a file extension.

5.4.3 Servers **SHOULD NOT** reject an HTTP POST request to an `oslc:AttachmentContainer` solely because it does not contain a `slug` header.

5.4.4 Servers **SHOULD NOT** reject an an HTTP POST request to an `oslc:AttachmentContainer` solely because they cannot use the `slug` value unchanged. Servers **SHOULD** instead modify the `slug` value as needed or assign a different name.

5.4.5 In response to a successful HTTP POST request that creates an attachment with an associated `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor`, the server **MUST** include an HTTP Link header in the response where the context URI is the newly-created attachment URI, the link relation is `describedby`, and the link target is the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` URI.

5.4.6 Clients **MAY** specify an LDP-NR interaction model when POSTing RDF content to an `oslc:AttachmentContainer` by including an HTTP Link header where the target URI is `http://www.w3.org/ns/ldp#NonRDFSource` and the link relation is `type`. In this case, Servers **MUST** honor the client's requested interaction model and treat the resource as an LDP-NR.

5.4.7 Servers **MUST** reject an HTTP DELETE request to an `oslc:AttachmentContainer`.

## 5.5 Attachment Descriptors

5.5.1 Servers **MAY** create an associated `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` to describe properties of the attachment such as its name, media type, and size.

5.5.2 An `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` **MUST** have an explicit `rdf:type` set to `http://open-services.net/ns/core#AttachmentDescriptor` in its RDF representations. It **MAY** have additional `rdf:type` values.

5.5.3 An `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` **MUST** be a conforming Linked Data Platform RDF Source (LDPR).

5.5.4 The `dcterms:title` of the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` **SHOULD** be the value of the client-supplied HTTP `slug` header.

5.5.5 Servers **SHOULD** use the `Content-Type` header value from an attachment creation request to determine the `dcterms:format` property value in the newly-created attachment's `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor`. The `dcterms:format` value **SHOULD** be a [PURL media-type resource](#).

5.5.6 An `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` **MUST** conform to the shape defined in [6.1 Resource: AttachmentDescriptor](#).

# 6. Resource Constraints

## 6.1 Resource: AttachmentDescriptor

This document applies the following constraints to the [OSLCCoreVocab] vocabulary terms.

The `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resource type is used to describe the binary resource (or non-RDF Resource) associated with a particular resource. When a client POSTs an attachment content to a server, the server stores the attachment content and assigns a URI just like any other type of resource creation but it may also create an `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resource to contain data about the attachment.

There is no restriction on the content of each attachment resource. For example, it could be a photo of a kitten, an installation manual, a log file, or a source code patch. Since the attachment cannot be expected to contain additional client or server supplied data, a typical set of properties for each attachment is included with the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resource itself. Thus, the object of each `oslc:attachment` statement is the binary attachment. Issuing an HTTP HEAD or GET operation on

that binary attachment resource URL should produce an HTTP response with a header value of `Link: rel='describedBy'` to indicate the URL of the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resource. The properties for the `oslc:AttachmentDescriptor` resource are indicated in the table below.

- **Name:** `core#AttachmentDescriptor`
- **URI:** `http://open-services.net/ns/core#AttachmentDescriptor`
- **Summary:** LDP-RS to contain data about a LDP-NR(Attachment)

#### core#AttachmentDescriptor Properties

Prefixed Name	Occurs	Read-only	Value-type	Representation	Range	Description
<code>dcterms:created</code>	Zero-or-one	true	dateTime	N/A	Unspecified	Timestamp of attachment creation.
<code>dcterms:creator</code>	Zero-or-many	true	AnyResource	Either	Unspecified	Creator or creators of the attachment. Likely a <code>foaf:Person</code> , but not necessarily so.
<code>dcterms:description</code>	Zero-or-one	false	XMLLiteral	N/A	Unspecified	Descriptive text about the attachment.
<code>dcterms:format</code>	Zero-or-one	true	string	N/A	Unspecified	MIME type of the attachment content. <b>SHOULD</b> be a <a href="#">PURL media-type resource</a> .
<code>dcterms:identifier</code>	Zero-or-one	true	string	N/A	Unspecified	System-assigned identifier.
<code>dcterms:title</code>	Zero-or-one	false	string	N/A	Unspecified	Client-specified file name or title.
<code>oslc:attachmentSize</code>	Zero-or-one	true	integer	N/A	Unspecified	Size in bytes of the attachment content.

## Appendix A. Change History

*This section is non-normative.*

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
1	07/06/2016	Jim Amsden	CSD was approved and published.