

Item #	Source	Email Link	Section	Page	Comment	GH Issue Link	Resolution Summary	GH Change Link	Material?
All changes driven by PR2 comments are embodied in Pull Request 85 (https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85). The commits responding to individual comments are labeled in GitHub with the item number from this CRM and are linked below.									
HTTPS-201	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	1.4	9	Should have a link to the "Active Cyber Defense" paper, which is https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Active-Cyber-Defense-%3A-A-Vision-for-Real-Time-Cyber-Herring-Willet/7c128468ae42584f282578b86439d9e9e8c904a8	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/76	ACCEPTED: Add the link.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/525363f4b2ab969cb1de5e32bbed6aab748cfe27	N
HTTPS-202	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	1.4	9	Should have a link to the "Integrated Adaptive Cyberspace Defense" paper, which is https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Integrated-Adaptive-Cyberspace-Defense-%3A-Secure-by-Willet/a22881b8a046e7eab11ac6f47d530c2a3b03b762	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/76	ACCEPTED: Add the link.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/525363f4b2ab969cb1de5e32bbed6aab748cfe27	N
HTTPS-203	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	1.5.2	14	The first example shown for OpenC2 in the spec is not compliant with the spec as it refers to a "user_account" target, which is not part of the language. The very first example that readers see should accurately reflect a compliant command, like a "deny/file/hashes/sha256" command. I can supply an example if necessary, but using "user_account" definitely feels wrong to me at this spot in the document.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/77	ACCEPTED: Change the example as suggested. Happy to take an example supplied by the commenter.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/a60f2a295cdac29e46a5814f6cfa99f4213cb22	N
HTTPS-204	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	3.2.2	18	The spec does not use the HTTP "GET" verb, so the table needs to be corrected.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/78	ACCEPTED: GET should be deleted; this change should have been made with the removal of the polling model.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/0f3c146784d3f356e64372f7884651503bfa55b8	N?
HTTPS-205	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	3.2.2	19	States that the X-Request-ID header MUST be included, though the language spec says that it is optional for commands that do not "expect" a response (like with "response_requested": "none"). I think that X-Request-ID SHOULD be supplied but should not be required. That is what the Symantec implementation does, and it works well, as there is no way that the X-Request-ID value can be utilized by this specification (only command_id's can be queried, not request_id's currently). NOTE also that section 3.3 on Page 20 adds "if any" after the mention of the X-Request-ID field, so we are not consistent with our wording with respect to this header already.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/79	ACCEPTED: Alter the text to leave it to the discretion of the Producer whether a value is supplied, and make it consistent with the requirements placed on the Consumer in 3.3.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/def96f071758e27cbcb6df2bdc9f576783d33fbe	Y
HTTPS-206	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	3.3	20	An HTTP Request that expects a response needs to also include a "Accept" header with the value of "application/openc2-rsp+json;version=1.0". The Accept header is standard practice for HTTP-based API's.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/80	ACCEPTED: Add language specifying the use of the Accept header.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/3b4db79bbc49d9dd9f1b895a6132b2f8517eef13	Y
HTTPS-207	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	4	21	The spec does not use the HTTP "GET" verb, so the conformance needs to be corrected.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/81	ACCEPTED: Remove GET from Conformance Clause #4; this change should have been made with the removal of the polling model.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/c7cfd797a8950ec32723dd33589f8168c1bce41	Y
HTTPS-208	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	4	21	The conformance sections requires usage of the response codes defined in Section 3.3, Page 20, but there are no response codes listed there. They are contained in the Language Spec itself and should be referenced there, I suppose.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/82	ACCEPTED: Alter the HTTPS Specification language to point to the Language Specification Section 3.3.2.1.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/28b565309f7afd7d2293761928ae949d9100e3	Y

Item #	Source	Email Link	Section	Page	Comment	GH Issue Link	Resolution Summary	GH Change Link	Material?
HTTPS-209	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	4	22	The "to" entry in the conformance Table 4-1 is not compliant with the Language Spec, since the Language Spec documents this field as an Array Of Strings, which cannot be included in an HTTP Host header.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/83	ACCEPTED: add language stating that the Producer is assumed to supply a single address.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/779403b3ed3f3aa8eac990cff3b26a3ea50d90a2	Y
HTTPS-210	Brian Berliner	https://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/openc2/201904/msg00039.html	B.1.1 / B.1.2	24-25	The Example includes a X-Request-ID header that is acceptable but not aligned with the SHOULD part of the Language Spec, which says that the request_id SHOULD be a UUIDv4. I think our examples SHOULD follow the suggestions of the spec, so this header should be changed to be an actual UUIDv4 value...	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/issues/84	ACCEPTED: Change the examples to use a UUID for the X-Request-ID header.	https://github.com/oasis-tcs/openc2-impl-https/pull/85/commits/30fc6f183033a4a61616b9060d9740c139068b5f	N