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1 Introduction

A common messaging pattern is that of “request-response”, that is two parties partaking in a bidirectional conversation where “request” messages are sent with the intention of generating a “response” to the requester.

One mechanism for implementing “request-response” messaging between a requestor $R_i$ and a service $S$ is for the service to have a single request queue $Q$, and for each requestor to create a temporary response queue $T_i$. Requestors send request messages to $Q$; the service reads from $Q$, generates a response and sends the response to the address $T_i$ specified in the request message (this address being the temporary response queue); the requestor.

Section 3.2.4 of [AMQP] defines the reply-to message property as a mechanism to convey the address which response messages should be sent to. Sections 3.5.3 and 3.5.4 of [AMQP] define a mechanism for requesting “dynamic” nodes to be created.

The above method works well where the messaging between the requestor $R_i$ and the service $S$ travels via an intermediary $I$ which is capable of creating dynamic nodes, however there are a number of drawbacks to its use:

- it requires the container to which the requestor is connected to support the creation of dynamic nodes
- it requires dynamic nodes created on the intermediary to have an address which can be routed to from anywhere in the network
- it requires the creation of the dynamic response node to be completed synchronously before the first request message can be sent (since the requestor must wait to learn the address of the node so that it can be used in the reply-to)

Even without considering the problems of dynamic nodes, the existing mechanisms for request-response have issues when messages are traversing between multiple containers. Consider nodes $X$ (in container $C_x$) and $Z$ (in container $C_z$) which can only route to each other via a third node $Y$ (in container $C_y$). There is no way for $X$ to express in the immutable reply-to that it wants the response to a message to come back to itself in a way that will make sense to $Z$, especially considering that $Y$ might completely obscure $X$’s and $Z$’s existence from each other.

This document defines a mechanism for request-response that does not rely on the creation of dynamic nodes, and allows for a bi-directional conversation to be established even where there exists no way to address nodes in the requester’s domain directly from the service’s domain. This is achieved by explicitly...
combining two links (one inbound and one outbound) between the same addresses providing a bidirectional communication channel.

1.1 Terminology

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

1.2 Normative References


2 Link Pairing

2.1 Definition

A link $L_1$ provides a unidirectional transport for messages from a source $A$ in container $C_1$, to target $B$ in container $C_2$. If a second link $L_2$ is created from source $B$ in $C_2$ to target $A$ in $C_1$ then we can logically combine these two links to create a bidirectional message transport between $A$ and $B$.

In the standard case for request-response, the service at $B$ will send responses to the reply-to address specified on the request message. To inform the service that response messages are to be sent on the dedicated paired link, the request message MUST have the reply-to property set to the literal string value $\$me$. If the reply-to property on a message sent over a paired link is set to a value other than $\$me$, the response from the service at $B$ must be directed to that reply-to address and not sent on the dedicated paired link.

Two links $L_1$ and $L_2$ are considered to be paired when the following conditions are met:

- The source container for $L_1$ is the target container for $L_2$
- The target container for $L_1$ is the source container for $L_2$
- The source address for $L_1$ is identical to the target address for $L_2$
- The source address for $L_2$ is identical to the target address for $L_1$
- The link name for $L_1$ is identical to the link name for $L_2$
- Both $L_1$ and $L_2$ have been established with the property paired set to the boolean value true

2.1.1 Connection Capability

On connection establishment a peer MUST indicate whether it supports the creation of pair links and/or whether it may desire to establish a link pair with its partner. This is done through the exchange of connection capabilities (see Section 2.7.1 [AMQP]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LINK_PAIR_V1_0</td>
<td>If present in the offered-capabilities field of the open performative, the sender of the open supports the creation of link pairs by its partner. A container which does not support the initiation of link attachment by its partner (for example a client library which will only ever initiate link attachment itself) MUST NOT offer this capability. If present in the desired-capabilities field of the open performative, the sender of the open MAY attempt to initiate link pair creation if the receiver of the open supports this capability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A container that supports the creation of link pairs MAY only do so for some addresses while not supporting paired links to others. For example, a node providing store-and-forward style semantics cannot support pairing – the store-and-forward node will not itself be generating responses.

### 2.1.2 Propagation

Where no direct connection is possible between two containers, a bidirectional communication for request response can be created by propagating paired link creation through a network. Such propagation also allows for the ultimate source and target addresses to be hidden.

For example, a container $C_O$ in organization $O$ may offer a service at internal address $S$. A gateway $G_O$ is configured to expose this service through a public address $S'$. In organization $N$ a client wishes to communicate with this service – the client initiates a paired link with address $S'$ on organization N’s gateway $G_N$. The gateway $G_N$ acts on the establishment of this paired link by establishing a paired link to the public address $S'$ on $G_O$. Finally the gateway $G_O$ establishes a paired link to $S$ in container $C_O$.

Note that the internal addresses $A$ and $S$ are never visible outside of the network of their own organizations.

### 2.2 Establishing A Link Pair

A link pair is established by attaching two links with the same name, but in opposite directions with the source of one link being the target of the other (and vice versa) and with both links having the property paired being associated with the boolean value true.
### 2.2.1 Link Property

On creating, reattaching or resuming link which forms one half of a pair, the properties field of the attach performative MUST contain an entry with the literal symbol key paired and boolean value true.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>paired</td>
<td>If present with and having the boolean value true, then sender of the attach intends for this link to form half of a link pair. If not present or the value is anything other than the boolean value true then the sender of the attach does not intend for this link to be paired.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the value (or existence) of the paired property differs between the attach emitted by the sending and receiving sides of the same link, then the link MUST immediately be detached with the error condition precondition-failed as defined in section 2.8.15 of [AMQP].

If an attach is received with the paired property set to true for an address which does not support link pairing, then the attach MUST be emitted with the local terminus set to null (indicating a failure to create the link) and immediately followed by a detach with the closed field having value true and indicating the not-implemented error condition as defined in section 2.8.15 of [AMQP].

If an attach is received with the paired property set to true and there exists a link in the opposite direction with the same name, but with the local or remote terminus address differing with that in the address, then the link for which the attach has been received MUST immediately be detached with the error condition precondition-failed as defined in section 2.8.15 of [AMQP].
2.2.1.1 Link Pairing and Routing Nodes

A Routing Node as defined by [ANONTERM] is a node which routes messages based on the to field of the properties section of a message. If a routing node elects to support link pairing, then it must effectively create a logical link pair between itself and each of the nodes to which it routes messages. If a message is sent to a routing node with a to address such that attempting to create a link pair to that address would result in failure, then the routing node MUST treat this as described in 2.2.2 Routing Errors of [ANONTERM].

2.2.2 Pipelining

Note: Pipelining as described in this section SHOULD NOT be attempted unless the initiator of the link pair has a priori knowledge that the responding peer is capable of handling pipelined requests. Pipelining relies on the assumption that the recipient of request messages will automatically provide link credit upon attachment of an inbound link, and thus it is safe to transfer a message on the link without waiting for notification that credit is available.

In order to reduce latency and maximize performance, it may be desirable to pipeline the creation and use of the link pair. In order to perform request-response interaction, the initiator requires a sending link (for the request) to be established; a receiving link (for the response) to be established; the receiving link to have sufficient credit to receive the response message; and the request message to be transferred. Note that the credit on the receiving link MUST be issued before the request message is transferred.

Pipelined establishment may fail if the service is not able to issue credit immediately upon link establishment, in which case the transfer of the request message will cause the link to be detached with the transfer-limit-exceeded error condition.
3 Conformance

An AMQP Container conforms to the requirements of a paired link creator if:

1. It complies with the requirements for the advertising the LINK_PAIR_V1_0 capability in the offered-capabilities field of the open performative as per 2.1.1.
2. The behavior when receiving an attach performative (with or without the paired property being set to true) complies with the relevant definitions in 2.2.1.
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