



Web Services Reliable Messaging Policy Assertion (WS-RM Policy) Version 1.2

Committee Draft

28 February 2008

Specification URIs:

This Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-cd-01.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-cd-01.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-cd-01.doc>

Previous Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-os-01-e1.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-os-01-e1.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-os-01-e1.doc>

Latest Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.2/wsrmp.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.2/wsrmp.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.2/wsrmp.doc>

Technical Committee:

OASIS Web Services Reliable Exchange (WS-RX) TC

Chairs:

Paul Fremantle <paul@wso2.com>
Sanjay Patil <sanjay.patil@sap.com>

Editors:

Doug Davis, IBM <dug@us.ibm.com>
Anish Karmarkar, Oracle <Anish.Karmarkar@oracle.com>
Gilbert Pilz, BEA <gpilz@bea.com>
Ümit Yalçınalp, SAP <umit.yalcinalp@sap.com>

Related Work:

This specification replaces or supercedes:

- WS-ReliableMessaging Policy v1.1

Declared XML Namespaces:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702>

Abstract:

This specification describes a domain-specific policy assertion for WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM] that can be specified within a policy alternative as defined in WS-Policy Framework [WS-Policy].

By using the XML [XML], SOAP [SOAP 1.1], [SOAP 1.2] and WSDL [WSDL 1.1] extensibility models, the WS* specifications are designed to be composed with each other to provide a rich Web services environment. This by itself does not provide a negotiation solution for Web services. This is a building block that is used in conjunction with other Web service and application-specific protocols to accommodate a wide variety of policy exchange models.

43 **Status:**

44 This document was last revised or approved by the WS-RX Technical Committee on the above
45 date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest Version" or "Latest Approved
46 Version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

47 Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical
48 Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the
49 "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at [http://www.oasis-
open.org/committees/ws-rx/](http://www.oasis-
50 open.org/committees/ws-rx/).

51 For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to
52 implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the
53 Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page ([http://www.oasis-
open.org/committees/ws-rx/ipr.php](http://www.oasis-
54 open.org/committees/ws-rx/ipr.php)).

55 The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at [http://www.oasis-
open.org/committees/ws-rx/](http://www.oasis-
56 open.org/committees/ws-rx/).

57 Notices

58 Copyright © OASIS® 1993–2007. All Rights Reserved. OASIS trademark, IPR and other policies apply.

59 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
60 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

61 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
62 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and
63 distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and
64 this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be
65 modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed
66 for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee
67 (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or
68 as required to translate it into languages other than English.

69 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
70 or assigns.

71 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
72 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
73 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY
74 OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
75 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

76 OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would
77 necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to
78 notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such
79 patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced
80 this specification.

81 OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any
82 patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent
83 holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR
84 Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims
85 on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

86 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
87 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
88 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent
89 that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to
90 rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the
91 OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
92 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use
93 of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS
94 Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any
95 information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list
96 are, in fact, Essential Claims.

97 The name "OASIS", WS-ReliableMessaging Policy, WS-ReliableMessaging, WSRMP, WSRM, WS-RX are
98 trademarks of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to
99 the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of,
100 specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see
101 <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php> for above guidance.

102 Table of Contents

103	1	Introduction	5
104	1.1	Terminology	5
105	1.2	Normative.....	5
106	1.3	Non Normative	6
107	1.4	Namespace	7
108	1.5	Conformance.....	7
109	2	RM Policy Assertions	8
110	2.1	Assertion Model.....	8
111	2.2	Normative Outline.....	8
112	2.3	Assertion Attachment.....	9
113	2.4	Assertion Example.....	11
114	2.5	Sequence Security Policy	11
115	3	Security Considerations.....	13
116		Appendix A. Schema	14
117		Appendix B. Acknowledgments.....	16
118			

119 1 Introduction

120 This specification defines a domain-specific policy assertion for reliable messaging for use with WS-Policy
121 and WS-ReliableMessaging.

122 1.1 Terminology

123 The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD
124 NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described
125 in RFC 2119 [[KEYWORDS](#)].

126 This specification uses the following syntax to define normative outlines for messages:

- 127 • The syntax appears as an XML instance, but values in italics indicate data types instead of values.
- 128 • Characters are appended to elements and attributes to indicate cardinality:
 - 129 ○ "?" (0 or 1)
 - 130 ○ "*" (0 or more)
 - 131 ○ "+" (1 or more)
- 132 • The character "|" is used to indicate a choice between alternatives.
- 133 • The characters "[" and "]" are used to indicate that contained items are to be treated as a group
134 with respect to cardinality or choice.
- 135 • An ellipsis (i.e. "...") indicates a point of extensibility that allows other child, or attribute, content.
136 Additional children and/or attributes MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST
137 NOT contradict the semantics of the parent and/or owner, respectively. If an extension is not
138 recognized it SHOULD be ignored.
- 139 • XML namespace prefixes (see section 1.4) are used to indicate the namespace of the element
140 being defined.

141 Elements and Attributes defined by this specification are referred to in the text of this document using
142 XPath 1.0 [[XPATH 1.0](#)] expressions. Extensibility points are referred to using an extended version of this
143 syntax:

- 144 • An element extensibility point is referred to using {any} in place of the element name. This
145 indicates that any element name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrmp:
146 namespace.
- 147 • An attribute extensibility point is referred to using @{any} in place of the attribute name. This
148 indicates that any attribute name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrmp:
149 namespace.

150 1.2 Normative

- | | | |
|-----|-------------------|---|
| 151 | [KEYWORDS] | S. Bradner, " Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels ," RFC
152 2119, Harvard University, March 1997.
153 http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt |
| 154 | [SOAP 1.1] | W3C Note, " SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1 " 08 May 2000.
155 http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/NOTE-SOAP-20000508/ |

156	[SOAP 1.2]	W3C Recommendation, " SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework " June 2003.
157		
158		http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-soap12-part1-20030624/
159	[URI]	T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox Corporation, January 2005.
160		
161		
162		http://ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986
163	[WS-RM]	OASIS WS-RX Technical Committee Draft, " Web Services Reliable Messaging (WS-ReliableMessaging) ," February 2008.
164		
165		http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrn/v1.2/wsrn.pdf
166	[WSDL 1.1]	W3C Note, " Web Services Description Language (WSDL 1.1) ," 15 March 2001.
167		http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/NOTE-wsdl-20010315
168	[XML]	W3C Recommendation, " Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fourth Edition) ", September 2006.
169		
170		http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/
171	[XML-ns]	W3C Recommendation, " Namespaces in XML ," 14 January 1999.
172		http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114/
173	[XML-Schema Part1]	W3C Recommendation, " XML Schema Part 1: Structures ," October 2004.
174		http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1/
175	[XML-Schema Part2]	W3C Recommendation, " XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes ," October 2004.
176		http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/
177	[XPath 1.0]	W3C Recommendation, " XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0 ," 16 November 1999.
178		
179		http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath

180 **1.3 Non Normative**

181	[RDDL 2.0]	Jonathan Borden, Tim Bray, eds. "Resource Directory Description Language (RDDL) 2.0," January 2004
182		
183		http://www.openhealth.org/RDDL/20040118/rddl-20040118.html
184	[SecurityPolicy]	OASIS WS-SX Technical Committee Editor Draft, " WS-SecurityPolicy 1.3 "
185		http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-securitypolicy/200802
186	[WS-Policy]	W3C Recommendation, " Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework ," September 2007.
187		
188		http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-ws-policy-20070904
189	[WS-PolicyAttachment]	W3C Recommendation, " Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment ,"
190		September 2007.
191		http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-ws-policy-attach-20070904
192	[WS-Security]	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. " OASIS Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.0 (WS-Security 2004) ",
193		OASIS Standard 200401, March 2004.
194		
195		http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0.pdf
196		
197		
198		Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. " OASIS Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.1 (WS-Security 2004) ",
199		OASIS Standard 200602, February 2006.
200		
201		http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/v1.1/wss-v1.1-spec-os-SOAPMessageSecurity.pdf

202 1.4 Namespace

203 The XML namespace [XML-ns] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

204 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702>

205 Dereferencing the above URI will produce the Resource Directory Description Language [RDDL 2.0]
206 document that describes this namespace.

207 Table 1 lists the XML namespaces that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix
208 is arbitrary and not semantically significant.

209 Table 1

Prefix	Namespace	Specification
wSDL	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wSDL/	[WSDL 1.1]
wsp	http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy	WS-Policy 1.5
wsrmp	http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702	This specification.
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd	WS-Security-Utility Schema

210 The normative schema for WS-ReliableMessaging can be found linked from the namespace document that
211 is located at the namespace URI specified above.

212 All sections explicitly noted as examples are informational and are not to be considered normative.

213 1.5 Conformance

214 An implementation is not compliant with this specification if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or
215 REQUIRED level requirements defined herein. A SOAP Node MUST NOT use the XML namespace
216 identifier for this specification (listed in section 1.4) within SOAP Envelopes unless it is compliant with this
217 specification.

218 Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in turn take
219 precedence over the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] descriptions.

220 2 RM Policy Assertions

221 WS-Policy Framework and WS-Policy Attachment [WS-PolicyAttachment] collectively define a framework,
222 model and grammar for expressing the requirements, and general characteristics of entities in an XML
223 Web services-based system. To enable an RM Destination and an RM Source to describe their
224 requirements for a given Sequence, this specification defines a single RM policy assertion that leverages
225 the WS-Policy framework.

226 2.1 Assertion Model

227 The RM policy assertion indicates that the RM Source and RM Destination MUST use WS-
228 ReliableMessaging to ensure reliable delivery of messages. Specifically, the WS-ReliableMessaging
229 protocol determines invariants maintained by the reliable messaging endpoints and the directives used to
230 track and manage the delivery of a Sequence of messages.

231 2.2 Normative Outline

232 The normative outline for the RM assertion is:

```
233 <wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ... >  
234   <wsp:Policy>  
235     [ <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/> |  
236       <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/> ] ?  
237     <wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance>  
238       <wsp:Policy>  
239         [ <wsrmp:ExactlyOnce/> |  
240           <wsrmp:AtLeastOnce/> |  
241             <wsrmp:AtMostOnce/> ]  
242         <wsrmp:InOrder/> ?  
243       </wsp:Policy>  
244     </wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance> ?  
245   </wsp:Policy>  
246   ...  
247 </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

248 The following describes the content model of the RMAssertion element.

249 /wsrmp:RMAssertion

250 A policy assertion that specifies that WS-ReliableMessaging protocol MUST be used when
251 sending messages.

252 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/@wsp:Optional="true"

253 Per WS-Policy, this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the
254 assertion. The intuition is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case,
255 that WS-ReliableMessaging MAY be used.

256 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy

257 This required element allows for the inclusion of nested policy assertions.

258 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:SequenceSTR

259 When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an
260 explicit token that is referenced from a wsse:SecurityTokenReference in the
261 CreateSequence message. See section 2.5.1.

262 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:SequenceTransportSecurity

263 When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to

264 the session(s) of the underlying transport-level protocol used to carry the `CreateSequence` and

265 `CreateSequenceResponse` message. When present, this assertion MUST be used in

266 conjunction with the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion, see section 2.5.2.

267 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance

268 This expression, which may be omitted, describes the message delivery quality of service between

269 the RM and application layer. When used by an RM Destination it expresses the delivery

270 assurance in effect between the RM Destination and its corresponding application destination, and

271 it also indicates requirements on any RM Source that transmits messages to this RM destination.

272 Conversely when used by an RM Source it expresses the delivery assurance in effect between the

273 RM Source and its corresponding application source, as well as indicating requirements on any

274 RM Destination that receives messages from this RM Source. In either case the delivery

275 assurance does not affect the messages transmitted on the wire. Absence of this expression from

276 a `wsmrp:RMAssertion` policy assertion simply means that the endpoint has chosen not to

277 advertise its delivery assurance characteristics.

278 Note that when there are multiple policy alternatives of the RM Assertion, the Delivery Assurance

279 on each MUST NOT conflict.

280 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy

281 This required element identifies additional requirements for the use of the

282 `wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance`.

283 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:ExactlyOnce

284 This expresses the ExactlyOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

285 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:AtLeastOnce

286 This expresses the AtLeastOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

287 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:AtMostOnce

288 This expresses the AtMostOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

289 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:InOrder

290 This expresses the InOrder Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

291 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/{any}

292 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a

293 schema, to be passed.

294 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/@{any}

295 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a

296 schema, to be passed.

297 **2.3 Assertion Attachment**

298 The RM policy assertion is allowed to have the following Policy Subjects [WS-PolicyAttachment]:

- 299 • Endpoint Policy Subject
- 300 • Message Policy Subject

301 WS-PolicyAttachment defines a set of WSDL/1.1 policy attachment points for each of the above Policy
302 Subjects. Since an RM policy assertion specifies a concrete behavior, it MUST NOT be attached to the
303 abstract WSDL policy attachment points.

304 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an
305 RM policy assertion but which MUST NOT have RM policy assertions attached:

- 306 • wsdl:message
- 307 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 308 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 309 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault
- 310 • wsdl:portType

311 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an
312 RM policy assertion and which MAY have RM policy assertions attached:

- 313 • wsdl:port
- 314 • wsdl:binding
- 315 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 316 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 317 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

318 If an RM policy assertion is attached to any of:

- 319 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 320 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 321 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

322 then an RM policy assertion, specifying `wsp:Optional="true"` MUST be attached to the corresponding
323 `wsdl:binding` or `wsdl:port`, indicating that the endpoint supports WS-RM. Any messages, regardless
324 of whether they have an attached Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion, MAY be sent to that
325 endpoint using WS-RM. Additionally, the receiving endpoint MUST NOT reject any message belonging to
326 a Sequence, simply because there was no Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion attached to that
327 message. There might be certain RM implementations that are incapable of applying RM Quality of
328 Service (QoS) semantics on a per-message basis. In order to ensure the broadest interoperability, when
329 an endpoint decorates its WSDL with RM policy assertions using Message Policy Subject, it MUST also be
330 prepared to accept that all messages sent to that endpoint might be sent within the context of an RM
331 Sequence, regardless of whether the corresponding `wsdl:input`, `wsdl:output` or `wsdl:fault` had an attached
332 RM policy assertion.

333 Rather than turn away messages that were unnecessarily sent with RM semantics, the receiving endpoint
334 described by the WSDL MUST accept these messages.

335 By attaching an RM policy assertion that specifies `wsp:Optional="true"` to the corresponding endpoint
336 that has attached RM policy assertions at the Message Policy Subject level, the endpoint is describing the
337 above constraint in policy.

338 In the case where an optional RM Assertion applies to an output message, there is no requirement on the
339 client to support an RM Destination implementation

340 2.4 Assertion Example

341 Table 2 lists an example use of the RM policy assertion.

342 Table 2: Example policy with RM policy assertion

```
343 (01) <wsdl:definitions
344 (02)   targetNamespace="example.com"
345 (03)   xmlns:tns="example.com"
346 (04)   xmlns:wSDL="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wSDL/"
347 (05)   xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy"
348 (06)   xmlns:wsrmp="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
349 (07)   xmlns:wssu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
350 wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
351 (08)
352 (09) <wsp:UsingPolicy wSDL:required="true" />
353 (10)
354 (11) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="MyPolicy" >
355 (12)   <wsrmp:RMAssertion>
356 (13)     <wsp:Policy/>
357 (14)   </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
358 (15)   <!-- omitted assertions -->
359 (16) </wsp:Policy>
360 (17)
361 (18) <!-- omitted elements -->
362 (19)
363 (20) <wsdl:binding name="MyBinding" type="tns:MyPortType" >
364 (21)   <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#MyPolicy" />
365 (22)   <!-- omitted elements -->
366 (23) </wsdl:binding>
367 (24)
368 (25) </wsdl:definitions>
```

369 Line (09) in Table 2 indicates that WS-Policy is in use as a required extension.

370 Lines (11-16) are a policy expression that includes a RM policy assertion (lines 12-14) to indicate that WS-
371 ReliableMessaging must be used.

372 Lines (20-23) are a WSDL binding. Line (21) indicates that the policy in lines (11-16) applies to this
373 binding, specifically indicating that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used over all the messages in the
374 binding.

375 2.5 Sequence Security Policy

376 WS-SecurityPolicy [[SecurityPolicy](#)] provides a framework and grammar for expressing the security
377 requirements and characteristics of entities in a XML web services based system. The following assertions
378 MAY be used in conjunction with WS-SecurityPolicy to express additional security requirements particular
379 to RM Sequences.

380 2.5.1 RM Assertion with Sequence STR Assertion

381 This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an
382 explicit token that is referenced from a `wsse:SecurityTokenReference` in the `CreateSequence`
383 message.

384 This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. The normative outline for this form of the
385 Sequence STR Assertion is:

```
386 <wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ...>
```

```
387 <wsp:Policy>
388   <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/>
389 </wsp:Policy>
390 </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

391 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceSTR` element.

392 `/wsrmp:SequenceSTR`

393 A policy assertion that specifies security requirements which MUST be used with an RM Sequence
394 that are particular to WS-RM and beyond what can be expressed in WS-SecurityPolicy.

395 **2.5.2 RM Assertion with Sequence Transport Security Assertion**

396 This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to the
397 session(s) of the underlying transport-level security protocol (e.g. SSL/TLS) used to carry the
398 `CreateSequence` and `CreateSequenceResponse` messages.

399 This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. This assertion MUST be used in conjunction with
400 the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion that requires the use of some transport-level security mechanism
401 (e.g. `sp:HttpsToken`).

402 The normative outline for this form of the RM Assertion with the Sequence Transport Security Assertion is:

```
403 <wsp:Policy>
404   <wsp:ExactlyOne>
405     <wsp:All>
406       <wsrm:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]> ...>
407         <wsp:Policy>
408           <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/>
409         </wsp:Policy>
410       </wsrm:RMAssertion>
411     <sp:TransportBinding ...>
412       ...
413     </sp:TransportBinding>
414   </wsp:All>
415 </wsp:ExactlyOne>
416 </wsp:Policy>
```

417 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceTransportSecurity` element.

418 `/wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity`

419 A policy assertion that specifies that any Sequences targeted to the indicated endpoint MUST be
420 bound to the underlying session(s) of the transport-level security used to carry messages related to the
421 Sequence.

422 This form of the RM Assertion says that an endpoint MAY have RM as an option but always requires
423 HTTPS to be used. All the `SequenceTransportSecurity` assertion indicates is that RM's rules for
424 protecting the Sequence over TLS are followed.

425 **3 Security Considerations**

426 It is strongly RECOMMENDED that policies and assertions be signed to prevent tampering.

427 It is RECOMMENDED that policies SHOULD NOT be accepted unless they are signed and have an
428 associated security token to specify the signer has proper claims for the given policy. That is, a relying
429 party shouldn't rely on a policy unless the policy is signed and presented with sufficient claims to pass the
430 relying parties acceptance criteria.

431 It should be noted that the mechanisms described in this document could be secured as part of a SOAP
432 message using WS-Security [[WS-Security](#)] or embedded within other objects using object-specific security
433 mechanisms.

434 Appendix A. Schema

435 A normative copy of the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] description for this
436 specification may be retrieved from the following address:

437 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-schema-200702.xsd>

438 The following copy is provided for reference.

```
439 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
440 <!-- Copyright (C) OASIS (R) 1993-2007. All Rights Reserved.
441      OASIS trademark, IPR and other policies apply. -->
442 <xs:schema xmlns:tns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
443   xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-
444   open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702" elementFormDefault="qualified"
445   attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
446   <xs:element name="RMAssertion">
447     <xs:complexType>
448       <xs:sequence>
449         <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
450 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
451       </xs:sequence>
452       <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
453     </xs:complexType>
454   </xs:element>
455   <xs:element name="SequenceSTR">
456     <xs:complexType>
457       <xs:sequence/>
458       <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
459     </xs:complexType>
460   </xs:element>
461   <xs:element name="SequenceTransportSecurity">
462     <xs:complexType>
463       <xs:sequence/>
464       <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
465     </xs:complexType>
466   </xs:element>
467   <xs:element name="DeliveryAssurance">
468     <xs:complexType>
469       <xs:sequence>
470         <xs:any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
471 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
472       </xs:sequence>
473     </xs:complexType>
474   </xs:element>
475   <xs:element name="ExactlyOnce">
476     <xs:complexType>
477       <xs:sequence/>
478     </xs:complexType>
479   </xs:element>
480   <xs:element name="AtLeastOnce">
481     <xs:complexType>
482       <xs:sequence/>
483     </xs:complexType>
484   </xs:element>
485   <xs:element name="AtMostOnce">
486     <xs:complexType>
487       <xs:sequence/>
488     </xs:complexType>
```

```
489     </xs:element>
490     <xs:element name="InOrder">
491         <xs:complexType>
492             <xs:sequence/>
493         </xs:complexType>
494     </xs:element>
495 </xs:schema>
```

496 Appendix B. Acknowledgments

497 This document is based on initial contribution to OASIS WS-RX Technical Committee by the following
498 authors:

499	Stefan Batres-Editor, Microsoft	511	David Langworthy, Microsoft
500	Ruslan Bilorusets, BEA	512	Amelia Lewis, TIBCO Software
501	Don Box, Microsoft	513	Rodney Limprecht, Microsoft
502	Luis Felipe Cabrera, Microsoft	514	Steve Lucco, Microsoft
503	Derek Collison, TIBCO Software	515	Don Mullen, TIBCO Software
504	Donald Ferguson, IBM	516	Anthony Nadalin, IBM
505	Christopher Ferris, IBM	517	Mark Nottingham, BEA
506	Tom Freund, IBM	518	David Orchard, BEA
507	Mary Ann Hondo, IBM	519	Shivajee Samdarshi, TIBCO Software
508	John Ibbotson, IBM	520	John Shewchuk, Microsoft
509	Lei Jin, BEA	521	Tony Storey, IBM
510	Chris Kaler, Microsoft		

522 The following individuals have provided invaluable input into the initial contribution:

523	Keith Ballinger, Microsoft	534	Frank Leymann, IBM
524	Allen Brown, Microsoft	535	Martin Nally, IBM
525	Michael Conner, IBM	536	Peter Niblett, IBM
526	Francisco Curbera, IBM	537	Jeffrey Schlimmer, Microsoft
527	Steve Graham, IBM	538	Chris Sharp, IBM
528	Pat Helland, Microsoft	539	James Snell, IBM
529	Rick Hill, Microsoft	540	Keith Stobie, Microsoft
530	Scott Hinkelman, IBM	541	Satish Thatte, Microsoft
531	Tim Holloway, IBM	542	Stephen Todd, IBM
532	Efim Hudis, Microsoft	543	Sanjiva Weerawarana, IBM
533	Johannes Klein, Microsoft	544	Roger Wolter, Microsoft

545 The following individuals were members of the committee during the development of this specification:

546	Abbie Barbir, Nortel	568	Alastair Green, Choreology
547	Charlton Barreto, Adobe	569	Mike Grogan, Sun
548	Stefan Batres, Microsoft	570	Ondrej Hrebicek, Microsoft
549	Hamid Ben Malek, Fujitsu	571	Kazunori Iwasa, Fujitsu
550	Andreas Bjarlestam, Ericsson	572	Chamikara Jayalath, WSO2
551	Toufic Boubez, Layer 7	573	Lei Jin, BEA
552	Doug Bunting, Sun	574	Ian Jones, BTplc
553	Lloyd Burch, Novell	575	Anish Karmarkar, Oracle
554	Steve Carter, Novell	576	Paul Knight, Nortel
555	Martin Chapman, Oracle	577	Dan Leshchiner, Tibco
556	Dave Chappell, Sonic	578	Mark Little, JBoss
557	Paul Cotton, Microsoft	579	Lily Liu, webMethods
558	Glen Daniels, Sonic	580	Matt Lovett, IBM
559	Doug Davis, IBM	581	Ashok Malhotra, Oracle
560	Blake Dournaee, Intel	582	Jonathan Marsh, Microsoft
561	Jacques Durand, Fujitsu	583	Daniel Millwood, IBM
562	Colleen Evans, Microsoft	584	Jeff Mischkin, Oracle
563	Christopher Ferris, IBM	585	Nilo Mitra, Ericsson
564	Paul Fremantle, WSO2	586	Peter Niblett, IBM
565	Robert Freund, Hitachi	587	Duane Nickull, Adobe
566	Peter Furniss, Erebor	588	Eisaku Nishiyama, Hitachi
567	Marc Goodner, Microsoft	589	Dave Orchard, BEA

590 Chouthri Palanisamy, NEC
591 Sanjay Patil, SAP
592 Gilbert Pilz, BEA
593 Martin Raeppele, SAP
594 Eric Rajkovic, Oracle
595 Stefan Rossmann, SAP
596 Tom Rutt, Fujitsu
597 Rich Salz, IBM
605

598 Shivajee Samdarshi, Tibco
599 Vladimir Videlov, SAP
600 Claus von Riegen, SAP
601 Pete Wenzel, Sun
602 Steve Winkler, SAP
603 Ümit Yalçınalp, SAP
604 Nobuyuki Yamamoto, Hitachi