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1 Introduction

The information model of WS-Calendar is intended to be used to define information payloads for Web services and Service-style interactions [SOA-RM]. Placing these requirements in context requires a brief overview of service requirements.

Agreement on when something should or did occur is fundamental to negotiating service use. Negotiated services must be audited to understand timely performance. Short running services traditionally have been handled as if they were instantaneous, and have handled scheduling through just-in-time requests. Longer running processes, including physical processes, may require significant lead-times. When multiple long-running services participate in the same business process, it may be more important to negotiate a common completion time than a common start time. Pre-existing approaches that rely on direct control of such services by a central system increases integration costs and reduce interoperability as they require the controlling agent to know and manage multiple lead times.

Not all services are requested one time as needed. Processes may have multiple and periodic occurrences. An agent may need to request identical processes on multiple schedules. An agent may request services to coincide with or to avoid human interactions. Service performance may be required on the first Tuesday of every month, or in weeks in which there is no payroll, to coordinate with existing business processes. Service performance requirements may vary by local time zone. A common schedule communication must support diverse requirements.

Web services already coordinate a number of physical processes. Web services for building-based systems include the standards [oBIX], BACnet/WS1, LON-WS2, OPC UA3, as well as a number of proprietary systems. The European research and advanced development project SIRENA (Service Infrastructure for Real time Embedded Networked Applications) explored SOA for buildings, factories and devices, including SODA (Service Oriented Device Architecture). SOA4D4 (Service-Oriented Architecture for Devices) offers a collaborative open source development web platform, including implementations ([SOAP] messaging, [WS-Management], [WS-Security], [DPWS]) adapted to the specific constraints of embedded devices. There is a growing interest in coordinating the activities of things, building systems, industrial processes, homes, with human enterprise activities. In particular, if building systems coordinate with the schedules of the building’s occupants, they can reduce energy use while improving performance.

An increasing number of specifications envision synchronization of processes through mechanisms including broadcast scheduling. Efforts to build an intelligent power grid (or smart grid) rely on coordinating processes in homes, offices, and industry with projected and actual power availability; mechanisms proposed include communicating different prices at different times. These and other efforts can benefit from a common cross-domain, cross specification standard for communicating schedule and interval.

---

1 BACnet® is a registered trademark of American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE).
2 LON is a registered trademark of Echelon Corporation.
3 OPC UA is owned by the OPC Foundation
4 http://cms.soa4d.org/
For human interactions and human scheduling, the well-known iCalendar format addresses these problems. Prior to WS-Calendar, there has been no comparable standard for web services. As an increasing number of physical processes become managed by web services, the lack of a similar standard for scheduling and coordination of services becomes critical.

The WS-Calendar Technical Committee (TC) based its work upon the iCalendar specification as updated in 2009 ([RFC5545]) and its the XML serialization [XCAL], currently (2011-05) on a standards track in the IETF. The specification adopts the semantics and vocabulary of iCalendar for application to the completion of service contracts and inter-process interactions. Members of the Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium (CalConnect.org) developed both updates to IETF specifications and provided advice to this TC.

While this specification (WS-Calendar) defines the use of core semantic elements from iCalendar, no part of this document is intended to prevent the use of other semantic elements from iCalendar from being used. WS-Calendar describes the minimal use of that standard, not the maximal. Everything with the exception of all examples, all appendices, and the introduction is normative unless otherwise specifically noted.

1.1 Terminology

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]

1.2 Normative References


RFC5545 B. Desruisseaux Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification (iCalendar), http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5545.txt, IETF RFC5545, proposed standard, September 2009


1.3 Non-Normative References


---
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1.4 Contributions

The NIST Roadmap for Smart Grid Interoperability Standards [NIST Framework] requested that many standards development organizations (SDOs) and trade associations work together closely in unprecedented ways. An extraordinary number of groups came together and contributed effort, and time, requirements, and documents. The North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) oversaw meetings with many representatives from every energy sector to contribute requirements to the TC. These meetings were presided over by Jonathan Booe to support the Roadmap’s Priority Action Plan 04 (PAP04), a common specification of time and schedule.

NAESB Smart Grid Standards Development Subcommittee:

The following documents are password protected. For information about obtaining access to these documents, please visit www.naesb.org or contact the NAESB office at (713) 356 0060.

Wholesale  
http://www.naesb.org/member_login_check.asp?doc=fa_2010_weq_api_6_b_ii.doc

Retail  
http://www.naesb.org/member_login_check.asp?doc=fa_2010_retail_api_9_b_ii.doc

1.5 Namespace

The XML namespace [XMLNAMES] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

\[urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:icalendar-2.0\]

Table 1-1 lists the XML schemas that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix is arbitrary and not semantically significant.

Table 1-1: Namespaces used in this specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Namespace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xcal</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:icalendar-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td><a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/ws-calendar/timestamp/201103">http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/ws-calendar/timestamp/201103</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Resource Directory Description Language [RDDL 2.0] document that describes this namespace can be found at http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/ws-calendar. The normative schemas for WS-Calendar can be found linked from this namespace document. The schemas are listed in Table 1-2.

Table 1-2: Schemas and Extensions Used in this Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iCalendar.xsd</td>
<td>Base Schema expressing core iCalendar information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iCalendar-params.xsd</td>
<td>Parameters used in iCalendar objects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### iCalendar-props.xsd
- Properties of iCalendar objects

### iCalendar-valtypes.xsd
- Values used by iCalendar

### iCalendar-link-extension.xsd
- Link extensions based on web linking [RFC5998] to define relationships between Components.

### iCalendar-wscal-extensions.xsd
- Extensions to iCalendar to support service functionality

### iCalendar-bw-extensions.xsd
- Extensions to support integration with Bedeworks server.

### iCalendar-ms-extensions.xsd
- Extensions to support integration with MS Exchange Server

### TimeStamp.xsd
- An ancillary information model describing the elements needed to support event forensics

Reviewers can find the schemas at http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ws-calendar- spec/v1.0/csd03/xsd/.

### 1.6 Naming Conventions

This specification follows some naming conventions for artifacts defined by the specification, as follows:

- For the names of elements and the names of attributes within XSD files, the names follow the lower camelCase convention, with all names starting with a lower case letter. For example,
  ```xml
  <element name="componentType" type="energyinterop:ComponentType"/>
  ```
- For the names of types within XSD files, the names follow the lower CamelCase convention with all names starting with a lower case letter prefixed by "type-". For example,
  ```xml
  <complexType name="type-componentService">
  ```
- For the names of intents, the names follow the lower camelCase convention, with all names starting with a lower case letter, EXCEPT for cases where the intent represents an established acronym, in which case the entire name is in upper case.
- An example of an intent that is an acronym is the "SOAP" intent.

### 1.7 Editing Conventions

For readability, element names in tables appear as separate words. The actual names are lowerCamelCase, as specified above, and as they appear in the XML schemas.

All elements in the tables not marked as "optional" are mandatory.

Information in the “Specification” column of the tables is normative. Information appearing in the note column is explanatory and non-normative.

All sections explicitly noted as examples are informational and are not to be considered normative.

### 1.8 Architectural References

WS-Calendar assumes incorporation into services. Accordingly it assumes a certain amount of definitions of roles, names, and interaction patterns. This document relies heavily on roles and interactions as defined in the OASIS Standard Reference Model for Service Oriented Architecture [SOA-RM].

### 1.9 Semantics

Certain terms appear throughout this document, some with extensive definitions. Table 1-3 provides definitions for the convenience of the reader and reviewer. Many terms require fuller discussion than is in this section, and are discussed in greater depth in later sections. In all cases, the normative actual definition is the one in this section.
WS-Calendar terminology begins with a specialized terminology for the segments of time, and for groups of related segments of time. These terms are defined in Table 1-3 through Table 1-6 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Segment</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>In iCalendar, the primary information structure is a Component. Intervals and Gluons are new Components defined in this specification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Well-known element from iCalendar and [XCAL], Duration is the length of an event scheduled using iCalendar or any of its derivatives. The [XCAL] duration is a data type using the string representation defined in the iCalendar duration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>The Interval is a single Duration derived from the common calendar Components as defined in iCalendar ([RFC5545]). An Interval is part of a Sequence. An entire Sequence can be scheduled by scheduling a single Interval in that sequence. For this reason, Intervals are defined through Duration rather than through dtStart or dtEnd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>A Sequence is a set of Intervals with defined temporal relationships. Sequences may have gaps between Intervals, or even simultaneous activities. A Sequence is re-locatable, i.e., it does not have a specific date and time. A Sequence may consist of a single Interval. A Sequence may optionally include a Lineage. A Sequence can be scheduled multiple times through repeated reference by different Gluons. Intervals are defined through their Duration, and the schedule, dtEnd or dtStart, is applied to the Sequence as a whole.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition</td>
<td>A Partition is a set of consecutive Intervals. The Partition includes the trivial case of a single Interval. Partitions are used to define a single service or behavior that varies over time. Examples include energy prices over time and energy usage over time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluon</td>
<td>A gluon influences the serialization of Intervals in a Sequence, though inheritance and through schedule setting. The Gluon is similar to the Interval, but has no service or schedule effects until applied to an Interval or Sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artifact</td>
<td>An Artifact is the thing that occurs during an Interval. WS-Calendar uses the Artifact as a placeholder. The contents of the Artifact are not specified in WS-Calendar; rather the Artifact provides an extension base for the use of WS-Calendar in other specifications. Artifacts may inherit elements as do Intervals within a Sequence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WS-Calendar works with groups of Intervals that have relationships between them. These relations constrain the final instantiation of a schedule-based service. Relations can control the ordering of Intervals in a Sequence. They can describe when a service can be, or is prevented from, being invoked. They establish the parameters for how information will be shared between elements using Inheritance. The terminology for these relationships is defined in Table 1-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td>The Link is used by one WS-Calendar object to reference another. A link can reference either an internal object, within the same calendar, or an external object in a remote system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relationship

Relationships link between Components for Binding. iCalendar defines several relationships, but WS-Calendar uses only the CHILD relationship, and that only to bind Gluons to each other and to Intervals.

Temporal Relationship

Temporal Relationships extend the [RFC5545] Relationships to define how Intervals become a Sequence by creating an order between Intervals. The Predecessor Interval includes a Temporal Relation, which references the Successor Interval. When the start time and Duration of one Interval is known, the start time of the others can be computed through applying Temporal Relations.

Availability

Availability expresses the range of times in which an Interval or Sequence can be Scheduled. Availability often overlays or is overlaid by Busy. Availability can be Inherited.

Busy

Busy expresses the range of times in which an Interval or Sequence cannot be Scheduled. Busy often overlays is overlaid by Availability. Busy can be Inherited.

Child, Children

The CHILD relationship type (rel_type) defines a logical link (via URI or UID) from parent object to a child object. A Child object is the target of one or more CHILD relationships and may have one to many Parent objects.

Parent [Gluon]

A Gluon (in a Sequence) that includes a CHILD relationship parameter type (rel_type) defines a logical link (via URI or UID) from parent object to a child object. A Parent Component contains one or more CHILD Relationships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relationship</td>
<td>Relationships link between Components for Binding. iCalendar defines several relationships, but WS-Calendar uses only the CHILD relationship, and that only to bind Gluons to each other and to Intervals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Relationship</td>
<td>Temporal Relationships extend the [RFC5545] Relationships to define how Intervals become a Sequence by creating an order between Intervals. The Predecessor Interval includes a Temporal Relation, which references the Successor Interval. When the start time and Duration of one Interval is known, the start time of the others can be computed through applying Temporal Relations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Availability expresses the range of times in which an Interval or Sequence can be Scheduled. Availability often overlays or is overlaid by Busy. Availability can be Inherited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busy</td>
<td>Busy expresses the range of times in which an Interval or Sequence cannot be Scheduled. Busy often overlays is overlaid by Availability. Busy can be Inherited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child, Children</td>
<td>The CHILD relationship type (rel_type) defines a logical link (via URI or UID) from parent object to a child object. A Child object is the target of one or more CHILD relationships and may have one to many Parent objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent [Gluon]</td>
<td>A Gluon (in a Sequence) that includes a CHILD relationship parameter type (rel_type) defines a logical link (via URI or UID) from parent object to a child object. A Parent Component contains one or more CHILD Relationships</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WS-Calendar describes how to modify and complete the specification of Sequences. WS-Calendar calls this process Inheritance and specifies a number of rules that govern inheritance. Table 1-5 defines the terms used to describe inheritance.

Table 1-5: Semantics: Inheritance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lineage</td>
<td>The ordered set of Parents that results in a given inheritance or execution context for a Sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>Parents bequeath information to Children that inherit them. If a child does not already possess that information, then it accepts the inheritance. WS-Calendar specifies rules whereby information specified in one informational object is considered present in another that is itself lacking expression of that information. This information is termed the Inheritance of that object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bequeath</td>
<td>A Parent Bequeaths attributes (Inheritance) to its Children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inherit</td>
<td>A Child Inherits attributes (Inheritance) from its Parent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covarying Attributes</td>
<td>Some attributes are inherited as a group. If any member of that group is expressed in a Child, all members of that group are deemed expressed in that Child, albeit some may be default values. These characteristics are called covarying or covariant. A parent bequeaths covarying characteristics as a group and a child accepts or refuses them as a group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decouplable Attributes</td>
<td>Antonym for Covarying Attributes. Decouplable Attributes can be inherited separately.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As Intervals are processed, as Intervals are assembled, and as inheritance is processed, the information conveyed about each element changes. When WS-Calendar is used to describe a business process or service, it may pass through several stages in which the information is not yet complete or actionable, but is still a conforming expression of time and Sequence. Table 1-6 defines the terms used when discussing the processing or processability of Intervals and Sequences.

During the life-cycle of communications concerning Intervals, different information may be available or required. For service performance, Start Duration and the Attachment Payload must be complete. These may not be available or required during service advertisement or other pre-execution processes. Table 1-6 defines the language used to discuss how the information in an Interval is completed.

Table 1-6: Semantics: Describing Intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated Interval</td>
<td>An Interval that is referenced by a Gluon is the Designated Interval for a Series. An Interval can be Designated and still not Anchored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchored</td>
<td>An Interval is Anchored when it includes a Start or End, either directly or through Binding. A Sequence is Anchored when its Designated Interval is Anchored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unanchored</td>
<td>An Interval is Unanchored when it includes neither a Start or an End, either internally, or through Binding. A Sequence is Unanchored if its Designated Interval Unanchored. Note: a Sequence that is re-used may be Unanchored in one context even while it is Anchored in another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding</td>
<td>Binding is the application of information to an Interval or Gluon, information derived through Inheritance or through Temporal Assignment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bound Element</td>
<td>A Bound Element refers to an Element and its Value after Binding, e.g., a Bound Duration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bound Interval</td>
<td>A Bound Interval refers to an Interval and the values of its Elements after Binding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bound Sequence</td>
<td>A Bound Sequence refers to a Sequence and the values of its Intervals after Binding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Bound</td>
<td>Partially Bound refers to an Interval or a Sequence which is not yet complete following Binding, i.e., the processes cannot yet be executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Bound</td>
<td>Fully Bound refers to an Interval or Sequence that is complete after Binding, i.e., the process can be unambiguously executed when Anchored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbound</td>
<td>An Unbound Interval or Sequence is not itself complete, but must still receive inheritance to be fully specified. A Sequence or Partition is Unbound if it contains at least one Interval that is Unbound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constrained</td>
<td>An Interval is Constrained if it is not Anchored and it is bound to one or more Availability or Free/Busy elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Assignment</td>
<td>Temporal Assignment determines the start times of Intervals in a Sequence through processing of their Durations and Temporal Relations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>A Sequence or Partition is said to be Scheduled when it is Anchored, Fully Bound, and service performance has been requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unscheduled</td>
<td>An Interval is Unscheduled if it is not Anchored, nor is any Interval in its Sequence Anchored. A Sequence or Partition is Unscheduled if none of its Intervals, when Fully Bound, is Scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predecessor Interval</td>
<td>A Predecessor Interval includes a Temporal Relation which references a Successor Interval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successor Interval</td>
<td>A Successor Interval is one referred to by a Temporal Relationship in a Predecessor Interval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent Interval(s)</td>
<td>Antecedents are an Interval or set of Intervals that precede a given Interval within the same Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest Interval</td>
<td>The set of Intervals at the earliest time in a given Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composed Interval</td>
<td>A Composed Interval is the virtual Interval specified by applying inheritance through the entire lineage and into the Sequence in accord with the inheritance rules. A Composed Interval may be Bound, Partially Bound, or Unbound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composed Sequence</td>
<td>A Composed Sequence is the virtual Sequence specified by applying inheritance through the entire lineage and into the Sequence in accord with the inheritance rules. A Composed Sequence may be Bound, Partially Bound, or Unbound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparable Sequences</td>
<td>Two Sequences are Comparable if and only if the Composed version of each defines the same schedule.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Overview of WS-Calendar

A calendar communication without a real world effect is of little interest. That real world effect is the result of a service execution context within a policy context. Practitioners can use WS-Calendar to add communication of schedule and Interval to the execution context of a service. Use of WS-Calendar will align the performance expectations between execution contexts in different domains. The Technical Committee intends for other specifications and standards to normatively reference and claim conformance to WS-Calendar, bringing a common scheduling context to diverse interactions in different domains.

2.1 Approach taken by the WS-Calendar Technical Committee

The Technical Committee (TC) based its work upon the iCalendar specification as updated in 2009 (IETF RFC5545) and its the XML serialization [XCAL], currently (2011-05) on a standards track in the IETF. Members of the Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium (CalConnect.org) developed both updates to IETF specifications and provided advice to this TC. [RFC5545] provides the normative vocabulary for use in this specification.

This committee developed the normative schema (XSD) for iCalendar. This schema, including the schema extensions necessary for the services defined herein, is part of the WS-Calendar specification.

The committee solicited requirements from a range of interests, notably the NIST Smart Grid Roadmap [NIST Framework] and the requirements of the Smart Grid Interoperability Panel (SGIP) as developed by the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) [NAESB Requirements]. Others submitting requirements included members of the oBIX technical committee and representatives of the FIX Protocol Association. These requirements are reflected in the semantic elements described in Chapters 3 and 4.

In a parallel effort, the CalConnect TC-XML committee developed a number of schedule and calendar-related services. CalConnect drew on its experience in interoperability between enterprise calendaring systems as well as interactions with web-based calendars and personal digital assistants (PDAs). These services were developed as RESTful (using [REST]) services by CalConnect and contributed to the WS-Calendar TC. CalConnect also developed and contributed [SOAP] and [WSDL] definitions to this TC.

2.2 Communicating Schedules and Service Performance

Time semantics are critical to process interactions. Services requested differently can have different effects on performance even though they appear to request the same time interval. This is inherent in the concept of a service-oriented architecture.

As defined in the OASIS Reference Model for Service Oriented Architecture 1.0 [SOA-RM], service requests access the capability of a remote system.

The purpose of using a capability is to realize one or more real world effects. At its core, an interaction is “an act” as opposed to “an object” and the result of an interaction is an effect (or a set/series of effects). This effect may be the return of information or the change in the state of entities (known or unknown) that are involved in the interaction.

We are careful to distinguish between public actions and private actions; private actions are inherently unknowable by other parties. On the other hand, public actions result in changes to the state that is shared between at least those involved in the current execution context and possibly shared by others. Real world effects are, then, couched in terms of changes to this shared state.

A request for remote service performance is a request for specific real world effects. For process interaction, these effects are expected to occur during a given period. Consider two service providers that offer the same service. One must start planning an hour or more in advance. The second may be able to achieve the service in five minutes. The service start time is the time when that service becomes fully available; that is the time specified in service interactions. Because this service start time and service
period are all that matters, the same service can be offered by different providers using quite different
technologies.

2.2.1 Which Time? UTC vs. Local Time

Coordinated Universal Time (abbreviated UTC) is a time standard based on International Atomic Time
(TAI) with leap seconds added at irregular intervals to compensate for the Earth’s slowing rotation. Time
zones around the world can be expressed as positive or negative offsets from UTC.

When 2 or more parties attempt to agree on a time, e.g., for a meeting, or when to provide a service, they
agree to start at a particular instant of time UTC. They agree on that instant in time by converting from
local time, e.g., they want a meeting to start at 13:00 Eastern, 18:00 UK. Our lives and the use of services
are bound by local time not by UTC. Experientially, local time is the invariant and UTC is mapped on to it.

If a government modifies the rules we adjust the mappings and we shift the UTC time. We still want to
meet at 13:00 local or have the heating start at 07:00.

As long as the rules never change this causes no confusion—but they do. Recent experience has
included considerable efforts when the rules for the start of Daylight Saving Time (DST) have changed. If
all information is in UTC, and no record of the event’s basis in the local time and time zone remains, there
is no way to re-compute existing contracts. It is often necessary to know if UTC was calculated based on
an old or a new rule.

A triplet of Local time + timezoneid + (UTC or offset) always allows the determination if a time is valid. If a
recalculation of UTC for that local time + tzid results in a different value from that stored then presumably
the DST rules have changed since the data was stored. If one can detect that the scheduled time is no
longer valid, one can take corrective action.

The Technical Committee makes no representation as whether UTC or local time are more appropriate
for a given interaction. Because WS-Calendar is based on [iCalendar], business practices built upon WS-
Calendar can support either. Specifications that claim conformance with this specification may require
choices to support their particular business processes.

For a fuller discussion of time zones, consult [Time Service Recommendations] and [Time Zone
Service] in the non-normative references.

2.3 Overview of This Document

The specification consists of a standard schema and semantics for schedule and interval information.

Often the most important service schedule communications involve series of related services over time,
which WS-Calendar defines as a Sequence. These semantic elements are defined and discussed in
Section 3. While this specification describes only the use of core semantic elements from iCalendar, no
part of this document prevents other semantic elements from iCalendar from also being used.

Section 3.2 introduces notions of tolerance, i.e. what does it mean to be “on time”. This section also
describes the different ways to associate a service request with each Interval in a Sequence.

Managing information exchanges about a Sequence of events can easily become cumbersome, or prone
to error. WS-Calendar defines the Gluon, a mechanism for making assertions about all or most of the
Intervals in a Sequence. Intervals can inherit from a Gluon, or they can override locally assertions
inherited from the Gluon. Section 3.3 discusses inheritance and parsimony of communication and
introduces contract scheduling.

The practitioner must decide whether to use one or the other of these communication protocols, or
whether WS-Calendar artifacts are better used when embedded within other messages. These decisions
must be based upon the specific application and message content. Specifications that claim conformance
to this specification may wish to provide guidance appropriate for the business purposes of that
specification.
2.4 Security Considerations

Part 1 describes an information model. The information models can be expressed in any interaction, using any protocol. There are no security aspects of the information model.

Specifications which claim conformance with WS-Calendar may wish to specify security approaches or techniques. Security choices must be based on the business requirements and operational risks of the interaction that those specifications define. As this specification defines a general information model, for use in many interactions, it specifies no security approach.
3 PART ONE: Information model for WS-Calendar

3.1 Intervals, Temporal Relations, and Sequences

WS-Calendar Elements are semantic elements derived from the [XCAL] specification. This set of elements is smaller than those needed for full schedule interaction, and describe the Intervals, Durations, and time-related events that are relevant to service interactions. WS-Calendar uses the elements to build a precise vocabulary of time, Duration, Sequence, and Schedule.

WS-Calendar elements adapt the iCalendar objects to make interaction requirements explicit. For example, in human schedule interactions, different organizations have their own expectations. Meetings may start on the hour or within 5 minutes of the hour. As agents scheduled in those organizations, people learn the expected precision. This precision expectation must be explicit to prevent interoperation problems. This specification defines a performance element to elaborate the simple specification of [XCAL] to make explicit the performance expectations within a scheduled event.

This specification defines common semantics for recording and exchanging event information (Time Stamps).

3.1.1 Core Semantics derived from [XCAL]

The iCalendar data format [RFC5545] is a widely deployed interchange format for calendaring and schedule data. The [XCAL] specification standardizes the XML representation of iCalendar information. WS-Calendar relies on [XCAL] standards and data representation to develop its semantic Components.

3.1.1.1 Time

[ISO8601] defines string formats for the expression of date, time, and duration. [ISO8601] also defines string formats to express the passage of time, herein a Duration. This specification relies extensively on [ISO8601]. Examples of date and time representations include:

Year:
  YYYY (eg 1997)
Year and month:
  YYYY-MM (eg 1997-07)
Complete date:
  YYYY-MM-DD (eg 1997-07-16)
Complete date plus hours and minutes:
  YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm (eg 1997-07-16T19:20+01:00)
Complete date plus hours, minutes and seconds:
  YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ss (eg 1997-07-16T19:20:30+01:00)
Complete date plus hours, minutes, seconds and a decimal fraction of a second
  YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ss.s (eg 1997-07-16T19:20:30.45+01:00)

This specification is general purpose. Standards that claim conformance to this specification may need to restrict the variability above to improve interoperation within their own interactions.

3.1.1.2 The iCalendar Components (VComponents)

iCalendar and [XCAL] have a number of long defined Component objects that comprise the payload inside of an iCalendar message. These include the VTODO, the VALARM, the VEVENT. (The "v" that begins each element name is there for historic reasons.) The definitions and use of each of the vComponents can be found in [RFC5545].

The vComponents share the same parameters and properties. The distinctions between these informational types are ones of purpose and conformance. The Interval and Gluon are new vComponents; each is derived from the same base type as the other vComponents.
This specification in no way deprecates the pre-existing vComponents. The new components are introduced to support stored sequences of operations and remote invocation. The existing vComponents are extended to support informational payloads for process interaction. A conforming specification can use both old and new vComponents where each makes sense.

The RESTful and SOAP in Parts Two and Three of a future version of this specification support all traditional vComponents as well as the new ones defined here. Conforming information elements MAY be processed using traditional iCalendar-based interactions (CalDAV, et al.) and managed in traditional iCalendar stores.

### 3.1.1.3 Duration and the Granularity of Time

This specification uses Duration as defined in [ISO 8601] as a data-type throughout. iCalendar makes a number of assumptions about the meaning of time when expressed as duration, i.e., a duration is over when the same common metric is reached in the next such unit. For example, a duration of one day starting at 6:00 AM lasts until 6:00 AM the next day. This becomes important during periods when the meaning of a duration changes. The passage of a month that begins on January 5 is complete on February 5. Another month comes to March 5. Each is expressed using the format “P1M”. These durations are, respectively, 31, 28 or 29, and 31 days. In a similar way, Years “P1Y” may be 365 or 366 days long, days “P1D” may be 23, 24, or 25 hours long.

If the intention is to express 30 days, then one should use “P30D” and not “P1M”. Similarly, if the intent is to express from now until the same time tomorrow, use “P1D” rather than 24 hours “PT24H”.

### 3.1.2 Intervals

Clear communication of the continuous passage of time is critical to defining service coordination.

The building block for this information model is the Interval. The Interval is a time segment whose length is specified by a Duration. The Interval is a unit of time, and can be bound to service delivery. An Unscheduled Interval has no specified date and time. A Scheduled Interval has a specified start date and time. Intervals can legally contain all elements properties as defined in [RFC5545]. For convenience, the elements essential to coordinating service operations using Intervals are listed in Table 3-1.

An Interval is part of a Sequence. An entire Sequence can be scheduled by scheduling a single Interval in a Sequence. A single Sequence can be scheduled multiple times through repeated reference by different Gluons. It may be useful to consider the Unanchored Sequence as a process subroutine and that a Gluon can be used to invoke that subroutine. For this reason, of the three primary temporal elements (dtStart, dtEnd, and Duration) in a Component, the Duration has primacy in Intervals. Within a Sequence, a maximum of a single Interval MAY have a dtStart or a dtEnd.

Nothing in this section supersedes [RFC5545]. Implementers SHALL refer to those respective specifications [RFC5545] and the [XCAL] specifications for the normative description of each element with the exception of Duration, which is as defined as in [ISO8601].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dtstamp</td>
<td>Identifies when Interval object was created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uid</td>
<td>Used to enable unambiguous referencing by other Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Identifies length of time for Interval. Duration must be known before an Interval can be transacted, but the Duration may only come through Binding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DtStart</td>
<td>Scheduled start date and time for Interval. The Start must be known before an Interval can be transacted, but the Duration may only come through Binding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attach</td>
<td>In [XCAL], any attachment. In WS-Calendar, the Attach contains the informational payload used by conforming specifications. See section 3.2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.3 Connecting the Intervals

Many iCalendar communications involve more than one Interval. Classic iCalendar [RFC5545] defines relationships internally. [xCAL] uses the extensible expression pattern of Web Links (as described in [RFC5588]) to express the iCalendar relationships PARENT, CHILD, and SIBLING. This specification extends these relationships by adding Temporal Relations. Temporal Relations consist of a reference, a relation, and a Gap that specifies any Duration between Predecessor and Successor.

Unlike most semantic elements in this specification, Temporal Relations are defined in this specification, rather than defined elsewhere and used herein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporal Relationship</th>
<th>Short Form</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gap</td>
<td></td>
<td>Duration indicating the time between the predecessor and the successor. Optional, where missing, Gap is treated as a zero duration</td>
<td>Gap may be positive or negative. In the examples below, the Gap, when present, is 20 minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish To Start</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>As soon as the predecessor Interval finishes, the successor Interval starts.</td>
<td>When sanding is complete, painting begins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish To Finish</td>
<td>FF</td>
<td>The successor Interval continues as long as the predecessor Interval.</td>
<td>The concession stand stops serving 20 minutes after the end of the game.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start To Finish</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>The start of the predecessor controls the finish of the successor.</td>
<td>The start of Attendee Check-in controls the end of the Interval &quot;Set up registration booth.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start To Start</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>The Predecessor Interval triggers the start of the second task.</td>
<td>20 minutes after the caterer begins work, the dining lines are open.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While simple relationships may be ordered based on which task occurs first (finishToStart), if a later Interval is controlling, other choices may make more useful. For example, if ramp-up time must be completed before run-time, and run-time start is indicated in a contract, it may be useful to specify that the...
Ramp Interval (Successor) must complete before (startToFinish) the Designated Interval's (Predecessor) scheduled start time. Specifications claiming conformance should consider statements of conformance around Temporal Relationships.

The relationship below indicates that this Interval is to start ten minutes following the finish of the Interval specified.

**Example 3-2: Temporal Relationship**

```xml
<xcal:related-to>
  <xcal:parameters>
    <xcal:reltype>
      <xcal:text>FS</xcal:text>
    </xcal:reltype>
    <xcal:gap>
      <xcal:duration>PT10M</xcal:duration>
    </xcal:gap>
    <xcal:uid>07fb177d-54ea-44ea-8ef5-5b763dc9f0c6</xcal:uid>
  </xcal:parameters>
</xcal:related-to>
```

If there is no temporal separation between Intervals, the gap element is optional. The following examples are equivalent expressions to express a relationship wherein both Intervals must start at the same moment.

**Example 3-3: Temporal Relationship with Gap**

```xml
<xcal:related-to>
  <xcal:parameters>
    <xcal:reltype>
      <xcal:text>FS</xcal:text>
    </xcal:reltype>
    <xcal:gap>
      <xcal:duration>PT10M</xcal:duration>
    </xcal:gap>
    <xcal:uid>07fb177d-54ea-44ea-8ef5-5b763dc9f0c6</xcal:uid>
  </xcal:parameters>
</xcal:related-to>
```

Leaving out the optional Gap element, we have:

**Example 3-4: Temporal Relationship without Gap**

```xml
<xcal:related-to>
  <xcal:parameters>
    <xcal:reltype>
      <xcal:text>FS</xcal:text>
    </xcal:reltype>
    <xcal:uid>07fb177d-54ea-44ea-8ef5-5b763dc9f0c6</xcal:uid>
  </xcal:parameters>
</xcal:related-to>
```

The expressions of a Temporal Relationship in Example 3-3 and Example 3-4 are equivalent.

Intervals with Temporal Relationships enable the message to express complex temporal relations to form a Sequence. A Sequence consisting of identical consecutive Intervals is named a Partition. As the rules for parsing XML do not mandate preservation of order within a sub-set, we cannot assume that order is preserved when parsing a set of Intervals. For Sequences in WS-Calendar, then, mere order is not enough—a Sequence is a collection of Intervals each of which Interval either refers to or is referred by at least one Interval. Using the references, expressed as Temporal Relations, WS-Calendar describes a single coherent Sequence assembled from a set of Intervals in a collection.
3.1.4 Sequences: Combining Intervals

A Sequence is a collection of Intervals with a coherent set of Temporal Relationships (Table 1-3). Temporal Relationships are transitive, so that if Interval A is related to Interval B, and Interval B is related to Interval C, then Interval A is related to Interval C. Sequences can also include Gluons (see section 3.3.1, References and Inheritance.), but for this section, we will discuss Sequences only as a set of Intervals.

Example 3-5: Introducing the Sequence

```xml
<xcal:calendar>
  <xcal:components>
    <xcal:interval>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>69343fc9-c1da-4cd0-abbd-889716a401d2</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
        <xcal:duration>
          <xcal:duration>PT1H</xcal:duration>
        </xcal:duration>
      </xcal:properties>
    </xcal:interval>
    <xcal:interval>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>0ba5a8c0-4eb2-49db-8514-5da18f53caaa</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
        <xcal:related-to>
          <xcal:parameters>
            <xcal:reltype>FS</xcal:reltype>
            <xcal:gap>
              <xcal:duration>PT10M</xcal:duration>
            </xcal:gap>
          </xcal:parameters>
        </xcal:related-to>
      </xcal:properties>
    </xcal:interval>
    <xcal:interval>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>0ba5a8c0-4eb2-49db-8514-5da18f53caaa</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
        <xcal:related-to>
          <xcal:parameters>
            <xcal:reltype>FS</xcal:reltype>
            <xcal:gap>
              <xcal:duration>PT10M</xcal:duration>
            </xcal:gap>
          </xcal:parameters>
        </xcal:related-to>
      </xcal:properties>
    </xcal:interval>
    <xcal:interval>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>0ba5a8c0-4eb2-49db-8514-5da18f53caaa</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
        <xcal:related-to>
          <xcal:parameters>
            <xcal:reltype>FS</xcal:reltype>
            <xcal:gap>
              <xcal:duration>PT10M</xcal:duration>
            </xcal:gap>
          </xcal:parameters>
        </xcal:related-to>
      </xcal:properties>
    </xcal:interval>
  </xcal:components>
</xcal:calendar>
```
In the example above, the Intervals are 1 hour, 2 hours, and 3 hours long. There is a ten minute period between the second and third periods.

### 3.1.4.1 Anchoring a Sequence

A Sequence becomes an Anchored Sequence whenever the Designated Interval within the Sequence becomes Anchored. An Interval is Anchored when it has a specific starting date and time (`dtstart`). A Sequence may become Anchored when a Designated Interval becomes Anchored through Binding. A Gluon may reference a Designated Interval through an external reference, i.e., through referring to a resolvable Uid. A given Sequence may remain Unanchored while being incorporated into many Anchored Sequences through multiple Gluon references each creating a different Bound `dtStart`.

**Example 3-6: An Anchored Sequence**

```xml
<xcal:vcalendar>
  <xcal:components>
    <xcal:interval>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>eae50c774f82</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
        <xcal:duration>PT15M</xcal:duration>
      </xcal:properties>
    </xcal:interval>
    <xcal:interval>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>a23308610247</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
        <xcal:related-to>
          <xcal:parameters>
            <xcal:reltype>FS</xcal:reltype>
          </xcal:parameters>
        </xcal:related-to>
        <xcal:duration>PT2H</xcal:duration>
        <xcal:dtstart>
          <xcal:parameters>
            <xcal:tzid>America/New_York</xcal:tzid>
          </xcal:parameters>
        </xcal:dtstart>
      </xcal:properties>
    </xcal:interval>
    <xcal:interval>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>2da2c6db43ef</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
      </xcal:properties>
    </xcal:interval>
  </xcal:components>
</xcal:vcalendar>
```
3.1.5 State Changes

A common service interaction is to request that, at a certain time, a discrete state change will occur. It
could be that the price will rise. It could be that a report will be run. Such a communication has no
inherent Duration.

While this specification extends iCalendar through the use of Intervals in Sequences, the pre-existing
elements of iCalendar remain in place, and more are defined periodically. State Changes can be handled
in one of two ways today. As iCalendar is continually extended, other ways may become available
tomorrow. Specifications that claim conformance to WS-Calendar SHALL state how they will
communicate state changes.

[RFC5545] specified the use of a VEVENT with a start date and time, but no end date and time and no
duration. WS-Calendar introduces the communication of state changes through use of an Interval with the
Duration explicitly set to zero time “P”. Because the Duration is explicit, it is not be over-ridden through
inheritance.

Example 3-7 State Change communication using Zero Duration Interval

```
<xcal:interval>
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:uid>
      04998c75-86fb-429b-8206-0a95559feb96
    </xcal:uid>
  </xcal:properties>
  <xcal:duration>PT0M</xcal:duration>
  <xcal:dtstart>
    2011-05-28T16:15:00
  </xcal:dtstart>
</xcal:interval>
```

Example 3-8 State Change communication using Event without Duration or End

```
<xcal:vevent>
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:dtstart>2011-05-28T16:15:00</xcal:dtstart>
  </xcal:properties>
</xcal:vevent>
```
3.2 Attachments and Tolerance

While iCalendar expresses time and intervals, WS-Calendar associates those intervals with specific services and service performance characteristics. In iCalendar Components, the Attachment is used to include information outside the scope of traditional Calendar services. WS-Calendar extends the Attachment to support payloads developed in other specifications. WS-Calendar also defines a new Property for iCalendar Components that specifies the Tolerance for variation in temporal performance that still results in successful delivery of service.

3.2.1 Attachment and the Artifact

Each Interval contains an Attachment to provide a container for delivering a payload or for referencing an external service. This payload is transported within the Interval either because it describes a service that is or can be provided over an Interval, or whose service qualities vary over several Intervals in a Sequence. As the Technical Committee cannot know all the specifications that may incorporate WS-Calendar, this specification cannot discuss the contents of this payload. WS-Calendar does expect, however, that these payloads will respect and extend the inheritance and conformance rules herein specified.

The payload may be in-line, i.e., contained within the WS-Calendar Attach, or it may be found by reference. WS-Calendar supports references either to another section of the same XML document sharing the same message as WS-Calendar element, or to an external service or specification. The WS-Calendar Attach can be thought of as having three options: “perform as described here”, or “perform as described below”, or “perform as described elsewhere.”

Table 3-3: Elements of a WS-Calendar Attachment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment Element</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artifact</td>
<td>Unevaluated (by WS-Calendar) container for payload describing service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uri</td>
<td>Points to external XML, or XML located elsewhere in the document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>The use of text in an Attachment is allowed by but not defined in this specification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specifications that incorporate WS-Calendar may wish to restrict these choices through conformance requirements.

Example 3-9: Use of an Attachment with inline XML artifact

```
<xcal:interval xmlns:payload="urn:not:a:real:artifact">
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:uid>
      <xcal:text>9c829c35-061a-466e-98f5-eclfe7b49d6a</xcal:text>
    </xcal:uid>
  </xcal:properties>
</xcal:vevent>
```
The Artifact is any element derived from the Attach, allowing compliant XML from any namespace to be submitted as a payload. As per the rules of any specification claiming conformance, the payload should be Fully Bound before evaluation for completeness.

**Example 3-10: Use of an Attachment with external reference**

```xml
<xcal:interval>
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:uid>
      <xcal:text>ad289a5e-44b0-4e28-9cbc-d61b715f5427</xcal:text>
    </xcal:uid>
    <xcal:duration>PT10H</xcal:duration>
    <xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
      <payload:payload>
        <payload:units>furlongs</payload:units>
        <payload:quantity>11</payload:quantity>
      </payload:payload>
    </xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
  </xcal:properties>
</xcal:interval>
```

### 3.2.2 Tolerance: What is Timely Performance

The Tolerance parameter in WS-Calendar makes interaction requirements explicit. In human schedule interactions, different organizations have their own expectations. Meetings may start on the hour or within 5 minutes of the hour. As agents scheduled in those organizations, people learn the expected precision. For services, that precision must be explicit to prevent interoperation problems.

Action coordination between systems requires precise communication about expectations for the timeliness of performance. The Tolerance parameter added to any iCalendar Component makes explicit the tolerance for time imprecision within a scheduled event. Tolerance can be applied to each Interval or to an entire Sequence.

The Tolerance Property refines the meaning of time-related communication between services. All elements of the Tolerance Property use the Duration element as defined in [RFC5545].

**Table 3-4: Tolerance Elements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tolerance Element</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start Before Tolerance</strong></td>
<td>Indicates how far before the requested start time the requested service may commence, for example if a service that begins at 1:57 is compliant with a request to start at 2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start After Tolerance</strong></td>
<td>Indicates how far after the requested start time the requested service may commence, for example, if a service that begins at 2:01 is compliant with a request to start at 2:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tolerance Element

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tolerance Element</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>End Before Tolerance</strong></td>
<td>Indicates how far before scheduled end time may end, for example, if a service that ends at 1:57 is compliant with a request to end at 2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End After Tolerance</strong></td>
<td>Indicates how far after the scheduled end time the requested service may commence, for example, if a service that ends at 2:01 is compliant with a request to end at 2:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration Long Tolerance</strong></td>
<td>Indicates by how much the performance Duration may exceed the Duration specified in the information exchange. Duration Long Tolerance SHALL NOT be used when Start and End Tolerances are both specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration Short Tolerance</strong></td>
<td>Indicates by how much the performance Duration may exceed the Duration specified in the information exchange. Duration Short Tolerance SHALL NOT be used when Start and End Tolerances are both specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Granularity</strong></td>
<td>Whatever the time tolerance above, there is some minimum time that is considered significant. When used in Tolerance, Granularity defines the tracking and reporting requirements for a service.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tolerance is part of the core WS-Calender service definition. Similar products or services, identical except for different Tolerance characteristics may appear in different markets. The ability to perform within Tolerance influences the price offered and the service selected. Note that Tolerance parameter does not indicate time, but only Duration. A Tolerance parameter associated with an Unscheduled Interval does not change when that Interval is scheduled.

In the example, the service can start as much as 1 minute earlier than the scheduled time, and must start no later than the scheduled time. Whenever the service starts, the service must execute for exactly the Duration indicated.

Generally, the implementer should refrain from expressing unnecessary or redundant Tolerance characteristics.

**Example 3-11: Interval with inline XML artifact and optional specified Performance**

```xml
<xcal:interval xmlns:xcal="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:calendar"
               xmlns:payload="urn:not:a:real:artifact">
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:uid>
      <xcal:text>030c603c-9e06-4dd4-8354-69dc3fa4d253</xcal:text>
    </xcal:uid>
    <xcal:duration>
      <xcal:duration>PT3H30M</xcal:duration>
    </xcal:duration>
    <xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
      <payload:payload>
        <payload:units>furlongs</payload:units>
        <payload:quantity>11</payload:quantity>
      </payload:payload>
    </xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
    <xcal:tolerance>
      <xcal:startbefore>PT10M</xcal:startbefore>
      <xcal:startafter>PT0M</xcal:startafter>
    </xcal:tolerance>
  </xcal:properties>
</xcal:interval>
```


3.3 Using Sequences: referencing, modifying, and remote access

Sequences can define specific progressions of performance or state within a wide range of services and specifications. They become more useful as they can be re-used or modified. A Sequence that is not fully specified can be adapted and re-used without re-statement. An abstract Sequence can become a service through iterative referencing.

An entire Sequence can become scheduled by scheduling a single Interval in a Sequence. A single Sequence can become scheduled multiple times by repeated reference through different Gluons. The terminology describing this was introduced in Table 1-6.

As a Sequence is reified through reference, WS-Calendar specifies how additional information is applied or not applied to each Interval through a chain of references. We refer to this process as inheritance.

Derivative specification can take advantage of inheritance by defining specific rules that conform to the WS-Calendar inheritance pattern.

This section describes how to create References to Sequences, including remote References, the rules that allow schedule-related information to become more complete through those references, and how to specify conforming rules in derivative specifications.

3.3.1 References and Inheritance.

Sequences are composed of Intervals for which a set of temporal relations have been defined. In WS-Calendar, we refer to a Sequence by creating a Relation of type “CHILD” that references the UID of any Interval in the Sequence. As defined in Table 1-6, the Interval within a Sequence that is the target of this reference is the Designated Interval. The referring Component is named the Parent.

Wherever the Designated Interval, it can inherit that information from the referring Component. These references may be local or remote. Some, but not all, of the information can be inherited by the other Intervals in the Sequence.

Adding additional references can further specify information in the Sequence through inheritance; these additional references are created by specifying an additional Gluon that has a Relation that references the previous referring Component as a CHILD. In this way, we can create a grand-parent and a great-grand-parent.

A Remote Reference is a Relation to a Component external to the conveying message. A Component in a message may reference a component already known to the receiving system. In this way, a remote Sequence can be invoked (and scheduled) without re-definition or re-transmission.

Each Parent bequeaths information to its Child. A Child inherits this information in accord with the inheritance rules. If the child is itself a parent, it bequeaths its information, the Bound result of its internal information and its inheritance, to its child. Information to complete the specification of a Sequence flows in this way from parent to child, from the outer reference to the inner Sequence.

Inheritance by the Designated Interval is governed by slightly different inheritance rules than the other Intervals in the Sequence. In particular, only the Designated Interval can inherit the start date and time from its parent. The starting date and times if other Intervals in a Sequence are computed using the temporal relationships within the Sequence. Other information can be inherited by all Intervals in a Sequence. The semantics used for inheritance is in Table 1-5: Semantics: Inheritance and conformance rules for Inheritance are found in Section 4.

3.3.1.1 Introducing the Gluon

The referring Components described in 3.3.1 are named Gluons. In physics, gluons are particles that affect the exchanges of force between quarks, but are not themselves quarks. By analogy, Gluons affect the referencing and binding of Intervals in a Sequence, but are not themselves Intervals or part of Sequences. Because Intervals can inherit almost any property from a Gluon, Gluons contain most of the same information elements as Intervals. Because Intervals can contain information payloads for specifications that use WS-Calendar, Gluons can contain information payloads from those specifications as well.
Gluons reference and bind the Intervals in a Sequence, but are not themselves Intervals or part of Sequences. Gluons can contain payloads, or portions of payloads, which are not defined in this specification. Information from Gluons is inherited by their Children as described in section 4 Conformance and Rules for WS-Calendar and Referencing Specifications.

The Gluon is in essence an the Interval Component profiled down to minimal elements for which inheritance rules defined, and able to carry a conforming informational payload. (See Appendix Overview of WS-Calendar, its Antecedents and its Use) Gluons use iCalendar relations to apply service information to Sequences.

Table 3-5: Gluon Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gluon Element</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DtStamp</td>
<td>Time and date that Gluon artifact was created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uid</td>
<td>Used to enable unambiguous referencing of each Gluon object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Text describing the Gluon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>A Gluon must have a link to at least one CHILD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>If specified, a Duration is potentially inherited by all Intervals in the referred-to Sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DtStart</td>
<td>A Gluon may either have a dtStart or a dtEnd, but may not have both. DtStart is inherited by the Designated Interval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DtEnd</td>
<td>A Gluon may have either a dtStart or a dtEnd, but may not have both. DtEnd is inherited by the Designated Interval, in which it is used with the Bound Duration to compute the Bound dtStart.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attach</td>
<td>The used as a base class for extension by conforming specifications. Each contains the informational payload defined in that specification. Defined in section 3.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Referred to as Availability, provides information as to when a process can be scheduled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is important to distinguish between the general model of the Gluon in WS-Calendar and the more specific requirements of an incorporating specification. At its minimum, a Gluon may be only a pointer to a sequence, containing only a link to its child. A Gluon may alternately include information completing (or partially completing) the information in a Sequence; that information may vary based on what is required to make the information payload actionable within any particular transaction.

Because the properties of the Gluon are bequeathed to the child Sequence, they can stand for the elements in any Interval in the Sequence, as defined in the Conformance Section. An inherited element can even serve as a substitute for an Interval mandatory element. For example, Duration is mandatory for all Intervals. Intervals are able to inherit Duration from a parent. A single Duration in the Parent can be inherited by each Interval in a Sequence.

In this way, a Sequence in which every Interval does not have a Duration, could be made complete through inheritance. If one of those Intervals does include a Duration, the Bound Duration would be its own, rather than that it inherited from a Parent of the Sequence.

There is a critical distinction between an individual Gluon, which may be only a pointer to a sequence, or may have information completing (or partially completing) the information in a Sequence, and what is required to make the information payload actionable within any particular transaction.
3.3.1.2 Availability

An additional use for gluons is to expose a Sequence for remote invocation. The service offered may be only sometimes available. WS-Calendar incorporates the iCalendar extension [Vavailability] to expose this schedule.

[Vavailability] offers a means to describe recurring temporal patterns, such as a weekdays from 9:00-5:00, Thursday mornings until July, and thereafter Tuesday evening as well. A Vavailability component is a collection of Availability components, each with its data boundaries and its recurrence patterns. The parameters and properties are those defined in iCalendar, the structure is defined in the referenced [Vavailability], and the artifact is an optional Component of a Gluon.

A requestor may not be aware of all aspects of the Sequence. A service requestor does know, however, the desired Start and Duration of the Designated Interval. [Vavailability] in a Gluon is interpreted as a filter only on the Designated Interval.

WS-Calendar adds a single optional parameter to the [Vavailability] component. When a Granularity component is applied, it further defines the acceptable service invocation. Granularity is discussed in the next section.

3.3.1.3 Granularity used as part of Availability

Granularity can be applied both to Availability (the collection) and to Availability (the individual rule). If Granularity is specified, then it communicates the expectation that services that invoke WS-Calendar conforming services should request only Start times that match the Granularity.

For example, the Designated Interval of a Sequence has a Duration of One Hour, and is available on weekdays from 8:30 until 11:00. Without Granularity, the Service can be Scheduled at any time that does not start before 8:30, nor end after 11:00. If a Granularity of 30 minutes “PT30M” is applied, the Scheduled Starts are limited to 8:30, 9:00, 8:30, and 10:00, i.e., integral multiples of the Duration of the Granularity beginning at the beginning of the available window.

3.3.2 Gluons and Sequences

WS-Calendar Gluons express common service requirements for an entire Sequence. If a Gluon is parent to an Interval in a Sequence, then the Gluon’s Attachment expresses service attributes inheritable by all Intervals in the Sequence.

In this example, the Sequence in the previous example is expressed using a Gluon.

Example 3-12: Sequence with Performance defined in the Gluon

```
<xcal:vcalendar>
  <xcal:components>
    <xcal:gluon>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>5ffaa487-206f-46e8-b3e5-958b37477cab</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
        <xcal:related-to>
          <xcal:parameters>
            <xcal:reltype>
              <xcal:text>CHILD</xcal:text>
            </xcal:reltype>
          </xcal:parameters>
        </xcal:related-to>
        <xcal:parameters>
          <xcal:dtstart>
            <xcal:parameters>
              <xcal:tzid>
                958b37477cab
              </xcal:tzid>
            </xcal:parameters>
          </xcal:dtstart>
          <xcal:parameters>
            <xcal:uid>2a7de3f0-54c5-4a31-9856-6a94e6c82902</xcal:uid>
            <xcal:related-to>
              <xcal:parameters>
                <xcal:reltype>
                  <xcal:text>CHILD</xcal:text>
                </xcal:reltype>
              </xcal:parameters>
            </xcal:related-to>
          </xcal:parameters>
        </xcal:parameters>
      </xcal:related-to>
    </xcal:properties>
  </xcal:gluon>
</xcal:components>
```

<xcal:text>America/New_York</xcal:text>
   <xcal:tzid>
     <xcal:parameters>
       <xcal:date-time>2011-05-28T08:45:00</xcal:date-time>
     </xcal:parameters>
   </xcal:tzid>
   <xcal:tolerance>
     <xcal:tolerate>
       <xcal:durationlong>PT5M</xcal:durationlong>
     </xcal:tolerate>
     <xcal:durationshort>PT0M</xcal:durationshort>
     <xcal:granularity>PT5S</xcal:granularity>
   </xcal:tolerance>
   <xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
     <payload:payload>
       <payload:units>furlongs</payload:units>
       <payload:quantity>11</payload:quantity>
     </payload:payload>
     <xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
     </xcal:gluon>
     <xcal:interval>
       <xcal:properties>
         <xcal:uid>
           <xcal:text>2a7de3f0-54c5-4a31-9856-9a64e6c82902</xcal:text>
         </xcal:uid>
       </xcal:-properties>
     </xcal:interval>
   </xcal:components/>
   <xcal:interval>
     <xcal:properties>
       <xcal:uid>
         <xcal:text>1886ebd8-a5a9-4aa8-9b6b-2869e4b711de</xcal:text>
       </xcal:uid>
     </xcal:interval>
   </xcal:components/>
   <xcal:interval>
     <xcal:properties>
       <xcal:uid>
         <xcal:text>62e518b4-65df-4fea-b64a-91a762ae173a</xcal:text>
       </xcal:uid>
     </xcal:interval>
   </xcal:components/>
Note that the performance expectations, identical for each Interval, have moved into the Gluon. Not also that while the duration for all Intervals in the partition is set in the Gluon, Interval 3 overrides that with a half-hour duration assigned locally. This Gluon happens to be related to the first Interval in the Sequence; there are specific use cases (discussed below) which require it to be linked to other Intervals.

### 3.3.3 Inheritance rules for Gluons

In general, the rule is that anything specified in the Parent Gluon applies to each Child. The Parent of an Interval in a Sequence is parent to all Intervals in the Sequence. As a Sequence creates single temporal relationship, assigning a start time (dtstart) to any Interval allows computation of the starting time for each of them.

### Table 3-6 Gluon Inheritance rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Inheritance Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>A Interval or Gluon inherits its attributes through it’s the parent. Local specification of an attributes overrides any inheritance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Follows general rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Relation</td>
<td>Relationship Type and Gap only are inherited. Either may be overridden locally. To specify no gap when a parent specifies a gap, an explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zero duration gap must be specified. Related-to is not inherited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Performance is either inherited intact or overridden completely. There are no rules for recombining partial Performance objects through inheritance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artifacts</td>
<td>Artifacts hold payload from other specifications. Elements within Artifacts are inherited in accord with the rules in those specifications, which</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>must be consistent the inheritance rules in WS-Calendar. Artifacts are evaluated for completeness and conformance only after processing inheritance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>Schedule, i.e., the start date and time, are inherited only by the Designated Interval. The start date and times of other Intervals are computed by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reference to the Designated Interval. Between the Gluon bequeathing a schedule and the Designated Interval, an intervening Gluon may set Availability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It is up to the application or to the specification incorporating WS-Calendar to assert whether an Interval that is outside the Availability is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conforming or not.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Availability communicates restrictions on when a service is offered. Service availability is interpreted for the Designated Interval only. If there</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are two Availability objects, they are evaluated for the union of the two availabilities. For example, if I am available all week from 2:00 to 6:00 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>one, and available all day Tuesday in the other, then after inheritance, there remains only 2:00 to 6:00 on Tuesday,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.4 Optimizing the expression of a Partition

A Partition is a set of consecutive Intervals. The expressions of a Partition can be optimized by bringing the Relation and Duration into the Gluon. Notice that while the type of the relationship is defined in the Gluon, the Temporal Relation for each Interval must still be expressed within the Interval.

Example 3-13: Partition with Duration and Relationship defined in the Gluon

```xml
<xcal:vcalendar>
  <xcal:components>
    <xcal:gluon>
      <xcal:properties>
        <xcal:uid>
          <xcal:text>10795fba-5c0d-406e-b9a1-6e8448d8e125</xcal:text>
        </xcal:uid>
      </xcal:properties>
      <xcal:related-to>
        <xcal:parameters>
          <xcal:reltype>
            <xcal:text>CHILD</xcal:text>
          </xcal:reltype>
        </xcal:parameters>
        <xcal:uid>bfe0040e-a5e0-4558-bbe1-a9207004a4cc</xcal:uid>
        <xcal:gap>
          <xcal:duratio

The Partition above shows a school schedule in which classes start one hour apart. Each class is for 50 minutes, and there is a 10 minute gap between each as students move between classes. Classes may not begin before the schedule, but they may start up to five minutes late.

3.3.5 Notifying Partners of Process Availability

A Sequence has not been scheduled until it has a start date and time. Sometimes it is useful to limit the possible start-times. For example, consider a service that is only available at 9:00 AM each day. It has not yet been scheduled, so its dtStart is empty. The Vavailability object, expressed either in the Designated Interval, or in the lineage of Gluons, is used to restrict this offering.
Example 3-14: Vavailability

```xml
<xc:availability>
  <xc:properties>
    <xc:uid>
      9ae65e93-4c68-4811-a10-fcdbacb7ba79</xc:uid>
    </xc:properties>
    <xc:dtstart>
      <xc:parameters>
        <xc:tzid>
          America/New_York
        </xc:tzid>
      </xc:parameters>
      2011-03-01T00:00:00
    </xc:dtstart>
    <xc:dtend>
      <xc:parameters>
        <xc:tzid>
          America/New_York
        </xc:tzid>
      </xc:parameters>
      2011-03-01T11:00:00
    </xc:dtend>
  </xc:properties>
  <xc:available xs:type="xc:AvailableType">
    <xc:properties>
      <xc:dtstart>
        2011-03-01T09:00:00
      </xc:dtstart>
      <xc:dtend>
        2011-03-01T16:00:00
      </xc:dtend>
    </xc:properties>
  </xc:available>
</xc:availability>
```
The `vavailability` above describes service availability for the month of March, 2011, i.e., it has a start date of March 1 and an end date of March 31. Within that period, there are two schedules, described by the two `availability` artifacts. The first specifies that starting on March 1, there is a window of 9-11 am, Eastern Time, on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday each week. The second specifies another window of availability from 3:00 PM (15:00) to 4:00 PM (16:00) on Fridays. These schedules are each valid only through March 31, the `dtEnd` of the encompassing `vavailability`. If neither date nor duration were specified, then the end of the schedules would be indefinite.

The example above uses daily schedules with a weekly recurrence. The full breadth of recurrence rules is described in [iCalendar].

### 3.3.5.1 Combining a Gluon and Availability

Consider the school schedule in the partition example in Section 3.3.4 Optimizing the expression of a Partition that is used in several examples. The school has a single valid start time, at 8:00. The service can be refined by advertising its `availability` as beginning at 9:00 on the first day. `availability` re-occurs on a weekly schedule, only on the weekdays Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday. Furthermore, the schedule can only be invoked during the Fall semester, from September 1, to December 15.

With a Granularity of one hour set, the schedule can only begin on the time that the `availability` begins, or at one hour intervals thereafter. If the `availability` Window is only from 8:00 with a Duration of one hour, then the service is advertised only for a start at this hour.

The example below illustrates how to use the `vavailability` object contained in a gluon to publish `availability` on a pre-existing sequence.

**Example 3-15 Gluon publishing availability of pre-existing sequence**

```xml
<xc:gluon>
  <xc:properties/>
  <xc:components>
    <xc:vavailability>
      <xc:properties>
        <xc:uid>
          <xc:parameters>
            <xc:tzid>America/New_York</xc:parameters>
            <xc:date-time>2011-09-01T00:00:00</xc:date-time>
          </xc:tzid>
        </xc:parameters>
        <xc:uid>
          <xc:parameters>
            <xc:tzid>America/New_York</xc:parameters>
            <xc:date-time>2011-09-01T00:00:00</xc:date-time>
          </xc:tzid>
        </xc:parameters>
      </xc:properties>
    </xc:vavailability>
  </xc:components>
</xc:gluon>
```
In the example above, the general classroom schedule has been referenced by a new gluon, and
established the availability for the Fall semester. The new gluon references the pre-existing gluon that
establishes the sequence as a partition.

This double inheritance, in which a Sequence inherits from a Gluon which inherits from a Gluon is a
useful pattern for advertising or scheduling a service.

### 3.3.6 Other Scheduling Scenarios

Sometimes, the invoker of a service is interested only in single Interval of the Sequence, but the entire
Sequence is required. In the example below, the second Interval is advertised, i.e., the Gluon points to
the second Interval. The first Interval might be a required ramp-period, during which the underlying
process is “warming up”, and which may bring some lesser service to market during that ramp time. The
ramp-down time at the end is similarly fixed. The entire Service offering is represented by the exposed (it
has a public URI) Gluon.

**Example 3-16: Standard Sequence with Ramp-Up and Ramp Down**
<xcal:tzid>
  <xcal:text>America/New_York</xcal:text>
</xcal:tzid>
<xcal:dtstart>2011-05-28T08:45:00</xcal:dtstart>
<xcal:duration>PT2H</xcal:duration>
<xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
  <payload:payload>
    <payload:units>fortnights</payload:units>
    <payload:quantity>34</payload:quantity>
  </payload:payload>
</xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
<xcal:properties>
  <xcal:components/>
</xcal:properties>
</xcal:gluon>
<xcal:interval>
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:uid>
      <xcal:text>c5473482-2ecb-4a9b-b53c-91ff5614dc40</xcal:text>
    </xcal:uid>
    <xcal:related-to>
      <xcal:parameters>
        <xcal:reltype><xcal:text>FS</xcal:text></xcal:reltype>
      </xcal:parameters>
      <xcal:uid>c5473482-2ecb-4a9b-b53c-91ff5614dc40</xcal:uid>
    </xcal:related-to>
    <xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
      <payload:payload>
        <payload:units>furlongs</payload:units>
        <payload:quantity>11</payload:quantity>
      </payload:payload>
    </xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
  </xcal:properties>
</xcal:interval>
<xcal:interval>
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:uid>
      <xcal:text>a4cde8b9-ed43-4ca7-9eb0-5a78a02d30b8</xcal:text>
    </xcal:uid>
    <xcal:related-to>
      <xcal:parameters>
        <xcal:reltype><xcal:text>FS</xcal:text></xcal:reltype>
      </xcal:parameters>
      <xcal:uid>c5473482-2ecb-4a9b-b53c-91ff5614dc40</xcal:uid>
    </xcal:related-to>
    <xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
      <payload:payload>
        <payload:units>furlongs</payload:units>
        <payload:quantity>11</payload:quantity>
      </payload:payload>
    </xcal:x-wsCalendar-attach>
  </xcal:properties>
</xcal:interval>
<xcal:interval>
  <xcal:properties>
    <xcal:uid>
      <xcal:text>de2aa95b-f930-44d5-bc2b-135ef8732879</xcal:text>
    </xcal:uid>
    <xcal:related-to>
      <xcal:parameters>
        <xcal:reltype>
The underlying sequence has a fixed warm up and cool down (intervals 1 and 3). The Gluon shares a payload with Interval 2, which has no duration. Interval 2 inherits the quantity (14) and the duration (2H) from the Gluon.

If expressed all at once, the Gluon merely provides a handle for the Sequence. A more useful expression would have the Gluon separate, or perhaps inheriting its information from a market agreement. This enables the service interaction to express that Start Time, Duration and Quantity. All three are inherited, in this case, only by the Designated Interval.

### 3.4 Time Stamps

Time stamps are used everywhere in inter-domain service performance analysis and have particular use to support event forensics. Time stamps may be assembled and collated from events across multiple time zones and from multiple systems.

Different systems may track time and therefore record events with different levels of Tolerance. It is not unusual for a time-stamped event from a domain with low Tolerance to appear to have occurred after one or more time-stamped events from a domain with high Tolerance. A fully qualified time-stamp includes the Granularity measure.

Table 3-7: Elements of Time Stamps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Stamp Element</th>
<th>Note (Non-Normative)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time Stamp</td>
<td>Fully qualified date and time of event.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Identifies whether an interval of a particular duration is indeed an interval of the mentioned duration plus or minus some number of milliseconds, seconds and minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Stamp Realm</td>
<td>Identifies the system where the TimeStamp value originated. A set of recordings originating from the same realm are reasonably synchronized. Within a realm, one can assume that time-stamped objects sorted by time are in the order of their occurrence. Between realms, this assumption is rebuttable. A system border is crossed in an interaction when the 2 communication partners are not synchronized based on the same time source. See the example below for more information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Stamp Element</td>
<td>Note (Non-Normative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leap Seconds Known</td>
<td>Indicates that the time source of the sending device support leap seconds adjustments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock Failure</td>
<td>Indicates that the time source of the sending device is unreliable. This may put in doubt the advisability of direct comparison of this timestamp information from this system and from foreign systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock Not Synchronized</td>
<td>Indicates that the time source of the sending device is not synchronized with the external UTC time source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Source Accuracy</td>
<td>Represents the time accuracy class of the time source of the sending device relative to the external UTC time source.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4.1 Time Stamp Realm Discussion

Within a single system, or synchronized system of systems, one can sort the temporal order of event by sorting them by TimeStamp. Determining the order of events is the first step of event forensics. This assumption does not apply when events are gathered across systems. Different systems may not have synchronized time, or may synchronize time against different sources. This means different system clocks may drift apart. It may be that a later timestamp from one system occurred before an earlier timestamp in another. As this drift is unknown, it cannot be automatically corrected for without additional information.

The TimeStampRealm element identifies which system created an event time stamp. The TimeStampRealm identifies a source system in inter-domain interactions (a system of systems). For example: http://SystemA.com and http://SystemB.com identify 2 systems. This example assumes SystemA and SystemB do not have a common time source.

The TimeStampRealm can also be used to identify sub-systems in intra-domain interactions (sub-systems of a system). For example: http://SystemA.com/SubSystem1 and http://SystemA.com/SubSystem2 identify 2 subsystems of the same higher level system. In cases where the upper level SystemA does not have a global time source for synchronizing all of its sub-systems, it can be useful to identify sub-systems in this manner.
4 Conformance and Rules for WS-Calendar and Referencing Specifications

4.1 Introduction

This section specifies conformance related to the information model if the implementer is merely using WS-Calendar as part of a larger business or service communication, they SHALL follow not only the semantic rules herein, but SHALL also conform to the rules for specifying inheritance in referencing standards.

4.2 Conformance Rules for WS-Calendar

There are five kinds of conformance that must be addressed for WS-Calendar and specifications that reference WS-Calendar.

- Conformance to the inheritance rules in WS-Calendar, including the direction of inheritance
- Specific attributes for each type that MUST or MUST NOT be inherited
- Conformance rules that Referencing Specifications MUST follow
- Description of Covarying attributes with respect to the Reference Specification
- Semantic Conformance for the information within the artifacts exchanged

We address each of these in the following sections

4.2.1 Inheritance in WS-Calendar

In this section we define rules that define inheritance including direction.

I1: Proximity Rule Within a given lineage, inheritance is evaluated though each Parent to the Child before what the Child bequeaths is evaluated.

I2: Direction Rule Intervals MAY inherit attributes from the nearest gluon subject to the Proximity Rule and Override Rule, provided those attributes are defined as Inheritable.

I3: Override Rule If and only if there is no value for a given attribute of a Gluon or Interval, that Gluon or Interval SHALL inherit the value for that attribute from its nearest Ancestor in conformance to the Proximity Rule.

I4: Comparison Rule Two Sequences are equivalent if a comparison of the respective Intervals succeeds as if each Sequence were fully Bound and redundant Gluons are removed.

I5: Designated Interval Inheritance [To facilitate composition of Sequences] the Designated Interval in the ultimate Ancestor of a Gluon is the Designated Interval of the composed Sequence. Special conformance rules for Designated Intervals apply only to the Interval linked from the Designator Gluon.

I6: Start Time Inheritance When a start time is specified through inheritance, that start time is inherited only by the Designated Interval; the start time of all other Intervals are computed through the durations and temporal; relationships within the Sequence. The Designated Interval is the Interval whose parent is at the end of the lineage.

4.2.2 Specific Attribute Inheritance

In WS-Calendar the following attributes MUST be inherited in conformance to the Rules (same for Gluons and Intervals):

- dtStart
4.2.3 General Conformance Issues

This specification is general purpose. Standards that claim conformance to this specification may need to restrict the variability inherent in the expressions of Date and Time to improve interoperability within their own interactions. Aspects of Date and Time that may reward attention and conformance statements include:

- **Precision** – Does the conforming specification express time in Hours or in milliseconds. Consider a standard format recommendation.

- **Time Zones and UTC** – Business interactions have a “natural” choice of local, time zone, or UTC based expression of time. Intents may be local, as they tie to the business processes that drive them. Tenders may be Time-zone based, as they are driven by the local business process, but may require future action across changes in time and in time zone. Transaction recording may demand UTC, for complete unambiguity. The specification cannot require one or another, but particular business processes may require appropriate conformance statements.

- **Business Purpose** – Because WS-Calendar is general purpose, it does not distinguish between different exchanges that may have different purposes. For example, a general indication of capability and/or timeliness may be appropriate for a market tender, and an unanchored Sequence may be appropriate. In the same specification, performance execution could require merely the Gluon to Anchor the Interval. If the distinction between Unanchored and Anchored Interval is critical for a set of interactions, the referencing specification SHALL indicate the proper form for a given exchange.

4.2.4 Covarying Elements

Some elements of WS-Calendar objects may be **covarying**, meaning that they change together. Such elements are treated as a single element for inheritance, they are either inherited together or the child keeps its current values intact. This becomes important if one or more of a covarying set have default values. In that case, if any are present, then inheritance should deem they are all present, albeit some perhaps in their default values.

4.2.5 Conformance of Intervals

4.2.5.1 Intervals

WS-Calendar Intervals SHALL have a Duration.

Intervals MAY have a Start Time.

Intervals SHALL have a Duration AND a dtStart OR a dtEnd. If a non-compliant Interval is received with both a dtStart and a dtEnd, then the dtEnd SHALL be ignored.

Within a Sequence, a maximum of a single Interval MAY have a dtStart or a dtEnd.

4.2.5.2 Other Elements

A Tolerance Property component SHALL NOT include Start, Stop, and Duration elements. Two out of the three elements is acceptable, but not three.
In Partitions, the Description, Summary and Priority of each Interval SHALL be excluded.

A Gluon may have either a dtStart or a dtEnd, but may not have both.

### 4.2.6 Conformance of Bound Intervals and Sequences

Actionable services require Bound Intervals as part of a Bound Sequence. Services may include Intervals that are not bound for informational or negotiation purposes. Some of these are modeled and described as constraints in the UML models that have been produced separately.

- Intervals SHALL have values assigned for dtStart and duration, either explicitly or through inheritance
- Intervals SHALL have no value assigned for dtEnd
- Within a Sequence at most the Designated Interval may have dtStart and duration with a value specified or inherited.
- If Sequences are composed to create other Sequences, then the Designated Intervals within the composing Sequence are ignored.
- Any specification claiming conformance to WS-Calendar MUST satisfy all of the following conditions:
  - Follow the same style of inheritance (per the Rules)
  - Specify attribute inheritability in the specification claiming conformance
  - Specify whether certain sets of elements must be inherited as a group or specify that all elements can be inherited or not on an individual basis

### 4.3 Conformance Rules for Specifications Claiming Conformance to WS-Calendar

Specifications that claim conformance to WS-Calendar SHALL specify inheritance rules for use within their specification. These rules SHALL NOT violate override the Proximity, Direction, or Override Rules. If the specification includes covariant elements, those elements SHALL be clearly designated in the specification.

Specifications that normatively reference and claim conformance with WS-Calendar SHALL define the business meaning of zero duration Intervals.

### 4.4 Security Considerations

Part 1 of WS-Calendar describes an informational model. Specifications claiming conformance with WS-Calendar may use the schedule and interval communication as but a small part of their overall communications.

Communications that claim conformance to this specification should select the communication and the well-known methods to secure that communication appropriate to the information exchanged and paying heed to the costs of both communication failure and of inappropriate disclosure. To the extent that normal schedule servers are used, the capabilities of security of those systems should be considered as well. Those concerns are out of scope for this specification.

Specifications which do not use the REST or SOAP interactions face similar concerns in designing the authentication, authorization, interactions, and storage of the information artifacts produced. Such concerns are out of scope within this general model.
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History

The iCalendar specification was first produced by the IETF in 1998 as RFC 2445 [1]. Since then it has become the dominant standard for calendar data interchange on the internet and between devices (desktop computers, mobile phones etc.). The specification was revised in 2009 as RFC 5545 [4].

Alongside iCalendar is the iTIP specification (RFC 2446 [2] and revised as RFC 5546[5]) that defines how iCalendar is used to carry out scheduling operations (for example, how an organizer can invite attendees to a meeting and receive their replies). This forms the basis for email-based scheduling using iMIP (the specification that describes how to use iTIP with email - RFC 6047 [3]).

iCalendar itself is a text-based data format. However, an XML format is also available, providing a one-to-one mapping to the text format (draft [7]).

iCalendar data files typically have a .ics file name extension. Most desktop calendar clients can import or export iCalendar data, or directly access such data over the Internet using a variety of protocols.

Data model

The iCalendar data format has a well defined data model. "iCalendar objects" encompass a set of "iCalendar Components" each of which contains a set of "iCalendar properties" and possibly other sub-Components. An iCalendar property consists of a name, a set of optional parameters (specified as "key-value" pairs) and a value.

iCalendar Components include:

"VEVENT" which represents an event
"VTODO" which represents a task or to-do
"VJOURNAL" which represents a journal entry
"VFREEBUSY" which represents periods of free or busy time information
"VTIMEZONE" which represents a timezone definition (timezone offset and daylight saving rules)
"VALARM" is currently the only defined sub-Component and is used to set alarms or reminders on events or tasks.

Properties include:

"DTSTART" which represents a start time for a Component
"DTEND" which represents an end time for a Component
"SUMMARY" which represents a title or summary for a Component
"RRULE" which can specify rules for repeating events or tasks (for example, every day, every week on Tuesdays, etc.)
"ORGANIZER" which represents the calendar user who is organizing an event or assigning a task
"ATTENDEE" which represents calendar users attending an event or assigned a task

In addition to this data model and the pre-defined properties, the specification defines how all those are used together to define the semantics of calendar objects and scheduling. The semantics are basically a set of rules stating how all the Components and properties are used together to ensure that all iCalendar products can work together to achieve good interoperability. For example, a rule requires that all events...
must have one and only one "DTSTART" property. The most important part of the iCalendar specification is the semantics of the calendaring model that it represents. The use of text or XML to encode those is secondary.

Scheduling

The iTIP specification defines how iCalendar objects are exchanged in order to accomplish the key task needed to schedule events or tasks. An example of a simple workflow is as follows:

1. To schedule an event, an organizer creates the iCalendar object representing the event and adds calendar users as attendees.
2. The organizer then sends an iTIP "REQUEST" message to all the attendees.
3. Upon receipt of the scheduling message, each attendee can decide whether they want to attend the meeting or not.
4. Each attendee can then respond back to the organizer using an iTIP "REPLY" message indicating their own attendance status.

iTIP supports other types of scheduling messages, for example, to cancel meetings, add new instances to a repeating meeting, etc.

Extensibility

iCalendar was designed to be extensible, allowing for new Components, properties and parameters to be defined as needed. A registry exists to maintain the list of standard extensions with references to their definitions to ensure anyone can use them and work well with others.

Calendar data access and exchange protocols

Internet Calendar Subscriptions

An Internet calendar subscription is simply an iCalendar data file made available on a web server. Users can use this data in two ways:

- The data can be downloaded from the web server and then imported directly into an iCalendar aware client. This solution works well for calendar data that is not likely to change over time (for example the list of national holidays for the next year).
- Calendar clients that support "direct" subscriptions can use the URL to the calendar data on the web server to download the calendar data themselves. Additionally, the clients can check the web server on a regular basis for updates to the calendar data, and then update their own cached copy of it. This allows calendar data that changes over time to be kept synchronized.

CalDAV

CalDAV is a calendar access protocol and is defined in RFC 4791 [6]. The protocol is based on WebDAV which is an extension to HTTP that provides enhanced capabilities for document management on web servers.

CalDAV is used in a variety of different environments, ranging from very large internet service providers, to large and small corporations or institutions, and to small businesses and individuals.

CalDAV clients include desktop applications, mobile devices and browser-based solutions. It can also be used by "applets", for example, a web page panel that displays a user's upcoming events.

One of the key aspects of CalDAV is its data model. Simply put, it defines a "calendar home" for each calendar user, within which any number of "calendars" can be created. Each "calendar" can contain any number of iCalendar objects representing individual events, tasks or journal entries. This data model ensures that clients and servers can interoperate well.
In addition to providing simple operations to read, write and delete calendar data, CalDAV provides a querying mechanism to allow clients to fetch calendar data matching specific criteria. This is commonly used by clients to do “time-range” queries, i.e., find the set of events that occur within a given start/end time period.

CalDAV also supports access control allowing for features such as delegated calendars and calendar sharing.

CalDAV also specifies how scheduling operations can be done using the protocol. Whilst it uses the semantics of the iTIP protocol, it simplifies the process by allowing simple calendar data write operations to trigger the sending of scheduling messages, and it has the server automatically process the receipt of scheduling messages. Scheduling can be done with other users on the CalDAV server or with calendar users on other systems (via some form of "gateway").

ActiveSync/SyncML

ActiveSync and SyncML are technologies that allow multiple devices to synchronize data with a server, with calendar data being one of the classes of data supported. These have typically been used for low-end and high-end mobile devices.

CalWS

CalWS is a web services calendar access API developed by The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium and the OASIS organization, to be used as part of the Oasis WS-Calendar standard. It provides an API to access and manipulate calendar data stored on a server. It follows a similar data model to CalDAV and has been designed to co-exist with a CalDAV service offering the same data.

iSchedule

iSchedule is a protocol to allow scheduling between users on different calendaring systems and across different internet domains. It transports iTIP scheduling messages using HTTP between servers. Servers use DNS and various security mechanisms to determine the authenticity of messages received.

It has been specifically designed to be independent of any calendar system in use at the endpoints, so that it is compatible with many different systems. This allows organizations with different calendar systems to exchange scheduling messages with each other, and also allows a single organization with multiple calendar systems (for example due to mergers, or different departmental requirements) to exchange scheduling messages between users of each system.
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Overview of WS-Calendar, its Antecedents and its Use

iCalendar has long been the predominant message format for an Internet user to send meeting requests and tasks to other Internet users by email. The recipient can respond to the sender easily or counter propose another meeting date/time. iCalendar support is built into all major email systems and email clients. While SMTP is the predominant means to transport iCalendar messages, protocols including WebDAV and SyncML are used to transport collections of iCalendar information. No similar standard for service interactions has achieved similar widespread use.

The Calendar and Scheduling Consortium (CalConnect), working within the IETF, updated the iCalendar standard in the summer of 2009 to support extension ([RFC5545]). In 2010, the same group defined [XCAL], a canonical XML serialization for iCalendar, currently (08/21/2008) on the recommended standards track within the IETF. This specification supports extensions, including handling non-standard, i.e., non-iCalendar, data during message storage and retrieval.

WS-Calendar builds on this work, and consists of extensions to the vocabulary of iCalendar, along with standard services to extend calendaring and scheduling into service interactions. iCalendar consists of a number of fields that support the delivery, update, and synchronization of calendar messages and a list of Components. The Components can specify defined relationships between each other.

iCalendar object
address & organizer
attendees & roles
other info

Components

Figure 1: iCalendar overview

WS-Calendar defines the Interval, a profile of the VTODO Component requiring only a duration and an artifact to define service delivery and performance. WS-Calendar also defines the CalendarGluon Component, a container for holding only a service delivery and performance artifact, to associate with a Component or group of Components.

Figure 2: WS-Calendar and EMIX
A set of Intervals that have defined temporal relationships is a Sequence. Temporal relationships express how the occurrence of one Interval is related to another. For example, Interval B may begin 10 minutes after Interval A completes, or Interval D may start 5 minutes after Interval C starts. A Gluon linked to a Sequence defines service performance for all Intervals in the Sequence. Because each Interval has its own service performance contract, specifications built on WS-Calendar can define rules for inheritance and over-rides with a Sequence.

The Partition is a sub-class of a Sequence in which all Intervals follow consecutively with no lag time. Intervals in a Partition normally have the same Duration, but WS-Calendar does support overriding the duration on an individual basis.

**Scheduling Sequences**

A Sequence is a general pattern of behaviors and results that does not require a specific schedule. A publishing service may advertise a Sequence with no schedule, i.e., no specific time for performance. When the Sequence is invoked or contracted, a specific performance time is added. In the original iCalendar Components, this would add the starting date and time (dtStart) to the Component. In WS-Calendar, we add the starting date and time only to the first Interval of a Sequence; the performance times for all other Intervals in the Sequence are derived from that one start time.

**Academic Scheduling example**

A college campus uses two schedules to schedule its buildings. In Schedule 1, classes start on the hour, and follow one after another; each class starts on the hour. In the second schedule, each class lasts an hour and a quarter, and there is a fifteen minute gap between classes; classes start on the half hour. On many campuses, the Sequence in Schedule 1 may describe classes taught on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday. Schedule 2 may describe classes taught on Tuesday and Thursday.

The registrar’s office knows some key facts about each classroom, including whether it hosts a class during a particular period, and the number of students that will be in that class. The college wishes to optimize the provision of building services for each class. Such services may include adequate ventilation and comfortable temperatures to assure alert students. Other services may ensure that the classroom projection systems and A/V support services are warmed up in advance of a class, or powered off when a classroom is vacant.

Although most classes meet over typical schedule for the week (M-W-F or Tu-Th), some classes may not meet on Friday, or may have a tutorial section one day a week. The registrar’s system, ever mindful of student privacy, shares only minimal information with the building systems such as how many students will be supported.
The Registrar’s system schedule building systems using the Gluon (registrar’s information) and the student counts for each Interval, and schedules the Sequence in classroom schedule 1 three days a week for the next 10 weeks. The Registrar’s system also schedules the Sequence in classroom schedule 2 two days a week, also for 10 weeks.

This example demonstrates a system (A) that offers services using either of two Sequences. Another business system (B) with minimal knowledge of how (A) works determines the performance requirements for (A). The business system (B) communicates what these expectations are by scheduling the Sequences offered by (A).

**Market Performance schedule**

A factory relies on an energy-intensive process which is performs twice a year for eight weeks. The factory has some flexibility about scheduling the process; it can perform the work in either the early morning or the early evening; it avoids the afternoon when energy costs are highest. The factory works up a detailed profile of when it will need energy to support this process.

![Industrial Load Profile](image)

**Figure 4: Daily Load Profile for Market Operations Example**

Factory management has decided that they want to use only renewable energy products for this process. They approach two regional wind farms with the intent of making committed purchases of wind energy. The wind farms consider their proposals taking into account the seasonal weather forecasts they use to project their weather capacity, and considering the costs that may be required to buy additional wind energy on the spot market to make up any shortfalls.

Each energy supplier submits of the same Sequence, a schedule, i.e. a daily starting time, and a price for the season’s production. After considering the bids, and other internal costs of each proposal, the factory opts to accept a contract for the purchase of a fixed load profile (Partition), using the evening wind generation from one of the suppliers. This contract specifies Schedules of load purchases (starting data and time for the Sequence) for each day.
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<td>Pulled more definitions into Terminology Section, re-factored into multiple tables, Added Availability. Have not updated examples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD 15</td>
<td>2011-01-29</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>Re-added footers to document (?!?) Added disclaimers on completeness prior to committee spec draft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD16</td>
<td>2011-02-07</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>Minor changes to prepare for CSD as directed by TC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD17</td>
<td>2011-03-01</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>Reworked all examples, responded to numerous Jira editorial comments, eliminated “Mixed Inheritance of Schedule”, introduced Vavailability, eliminated UML chapter which confused more than enlightened.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD18</td>
<td>2011-03-16</td>
<td>Toby Considine William Cox</td>
<td>Tightened language, spelling and grammar, consolidated chapters into “larger sections” Corrected to use CHILD link instead of PARENT in conformance with RFC5545. Replaced LINK language that was leftover from earlier schemas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD19</td>
<td>2011-03-19</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>Changes to namespace to prepare for CSD, PR02, as directed by TC vote on 3/18/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD20</td>
<td>2011-05-12</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>Mechanical edits. Rebuilt document to remove cross-reference corruption (table and example lists), applied grammatical and punctuation changes from PR02, simple global replaces of terms. Reference checks. Refinement of logic of Duration/DtStart. Eliminated redefinition of VAVAILABILITY.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD21</td>
<td>2011-05-16</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>More Jira edits, especially unscrambling dtStart, dtEnd, and Duration, Vavailability, and many reference checks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD22</td>
<td>2011-05-20</td>
<td>William Cox</td>
<td>Eliminated Parts two and Three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD23</td>
<td>2011-05-23</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>Minor edits for clarity, final Jira issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD24</td>
<td>2011-05-26</td>
<td>Toby Considine</td>
<td>Examples updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 WD25</td>
<td>2011-05-26</td>
<td>William Cox</td>
<td>Eliminated remaining references to Parts Two and Three, corrected internal links</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>