

Schedule Signals and Streams Version 1.0

Committee Specification Draft ~~0102~~ /
Public Review Draft ~~0102~~

~~30 November 2012~~

24 May 2013

Specification URIs

This version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd02/streams-v1.0-csprd02.pdf>
(Authoritative)

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd02/streams-v1.0-csprd02.html>

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd02/streams-v1.0-csprd02.doc>

Previous version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd01/streams-v1.0-csprd01.pdf>
(Authoritative)

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd01/streams-v1.0-csprd01.html>

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd01/streams-v1.0-csprd01.doc>

Previous version:

N/A

Latest version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/streams-v1.0.pdf> (Authoritative)

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/streams-v1.0.html>

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/streams-v1.0.doc>

Technical Committee:

OASIS Web Services Calendar (WS-Calendar) TC

Chair:

Toby Considine (toby.considine@unc.edu), University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Editor:

Toby Considine (toby.considine@unc.edu), University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Additional artifacts:

This prose specification is one component of a Work Product ~~which~~that also includes:

• ~~XML schemas:~~ <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd01/xsd/>

• XML schemas: <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd02/xsd/>

Related work:

This specification is related to:

• ~~WS-Calendar Version 1.0. Latest version:~~

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ws-calendar/v1.0/ws-calendar-1.0-spec.html>

- [WS-Calendar Version 1.0. 30 July 2011. OASIS Committee Specification 01.](http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ws-calendar-spec/v1.0/cs01/ws-calendar-spec-v1.0-cs01.html)
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ws-calendar-spec/v1.0/cs01/ws-calendar-spec-v1.0-cs01.html>

Declared XML namespaces:

- <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ns/streams>

Abstract:

There is a common need to communicate information linked to repetitive intervals of time, for history, for telemetry, for projections, for bids. Much of the information in each interval can be inferred from the surrounding intervals. The document defines a normative structure for conveying time-series of information that is conformant with WS-Calendar. We term these conveyances “Streams”.

Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the OASIS Web Services Calendar (WS-Calendar) TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the “Latest version” location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee’s email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the “Send A Comment” button on the Technical Committee’s web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-calendar/><http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-calendar/>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-calendar/ipr.php>).

Citation format:

When referencing this specification the following citation format should be used:

[streams-v1.0]

Schedule Signals and Streams Version 1.0. ~~30 November 2012~~ 24 May 2013. OASIS Committee Specification Draft ~~0102~~ / Public Review Draft ~~0102~~. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/streams/v1.0/csprd012/streams-v1.0-csprd012.html>.

Notices

Copyright © OASIS Open 2013. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full [Policy](#) may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS" is a trademark of [OASIS](#), the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see <http://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/trademark> for above guidance.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Terminology	5
1.2	Normative References	5
1.3	Non-Normative References	6
1.4	Namespace	6
1.5	Naming Conventions	6
	<code><element name="componentType" type="strm:ComponentType"/></code>	6
	<code><complexType name="ComponentServiceType"></code>	6
1.6	Editing Conventions	7
2	WS-Calendar in Streams	8
2.1	Schedule Semantics from WS-Calendar (Non-Normative)	8
2.2	Schedules and Inheritance	9
3	Streams	11
3.1.1	UML Diagram of Stream	12
3.1.2	Conformance of Streams to WS-Calendar	12
3.1.2.1	Stream expression of Intervals expressed as Durations	12
3.1.2.2	Observational Data expressed as Streams	13
3.1.3	Payload Optimization in Streams	13
3.1.4	Other elements in Stream Payloads	13
4	Conformance	15
4.1	Conformance with the Semantic Models of WS-Calendar	15
4.1.1	Recapitulation of Requirements from WS-Calendar	15
4.1.1.1	Specific Attribute Inheritance within Schedules	15
4.1.1.2	Time Zone Specification	16
4.1.1.3	Specific Rules for Optimizing Inheritance	16
Appendix A.	Acknowledgments	17
Appendix B.	Revision History	18
1	Introduction	6
1.1	Terminology	6
1.2	Normative References	6
1.3	Non-Normative References	7
1.4	Namespace	7
1.5	Naming Conventions	7
1.6	Editing Conventions	8
2	WS-Calendar in Streams	9
2.1	Schedule Semantics from WS-Calendar PIM (Non-Normative)	9
2.2	Schedules and Inheritance	10
3	Streams	13
3.1	New Semantic Elements in Streams	13
3.2	Intervals and Unique Identifiers	14
3.3	UML Diagram of Stream	15
3.4	Stream expression of Intervals expressed as Durations	16
3.4.1	Observational Data expressed as Streams	16

3.5 Payload Optimization in Streams	17
3.6 Other elements in Stream Payloads	17
4 Conformance	18
4.1 Conformance with the Semantic Models of WS-Calendar-PIM.....	18
4.2 Inheritance within Streams	18
4.2.1 Conformance of Streams to WS-Calendar-PIM.....	18
4.2.2 Conformance of Streams to WS-Calendar.....	19
4.3 Claiming Conformance to Streams.....	20
4.3.1 Conformance to Lineage	20
4.3.2 Construction of Referenceable Identifier	20
Appendix A. Acknowledgments	21
Appendix B. Revision History	22

1 Introduction

[All text is normative unless otherwise labeled]

There is a common need to communicate information ~~linked to~~ a repetitive intervals of time, for history, for telemetry, for projections, for bids. Such communications ~~will~~ benefit from a common model for conveying these series of information.

The iCalendar model is almost infinitely malleable in the number and manner of intervals in time that it can communicate. Separate intervals exist as separate calendar information objects; a single communication can include any number of these objects. This model is verbose in that each of these calendar information objects must include all distinct information.

The WS-Calendar model adds to the underlying iCalendar model the notion of inheritance. Using inheritance, one or many of the calendar information objects can be “completed” by applying the inherited information to the information conveyed within the object. WS-Calendar specifies rules for how this inheritance is applied, and how to handle instances wherein the inherited information collides with information inside the calendar information object.

WS-Calendar also defines the Sequence, in which a set of temporally related calendar information objects, known as Intervals, are handled as a single entity. ~~The WS-Calendar defines a special purpose case of the~~ Sequence, the Partition ~~deals with, for~~ the special case wherein substantially all of the Intervals are of the same Duration. Sequences rely on Inheritance to convey the repetitive information in each interval of a Sequence.

[WS-Calendar] is a general specification and makes no assumptions about how its information model is used. **[WS-Calendar]** has specific rules which define Inheritance as a means to reduce the conveyance of repetitive information. As this specification constrains schedule communications to specific business interactions, these inheritance rules are extended to embrace rules of interaction and rules of process that further reduce the information that must be expressed in each interval.

Even so, WS-Calendar does not define a normative structure for the information conveyed. WS-Calendar is primarily an information model, and information models can be conveyed in a number of ways. High speed transaction processing requires more predictable means to convey structured information concerning time. Even legal and conformant conveyances of calendar information may fail to meet the requirements for basic interoperability requirements **[WSI-Basic]**.

The Platform Independent Model **[WS-Calendar PIM]** describes how to make use of the general model and semantics defined in **[WS-Calendar]** when defining information exchanges subject to specific constraints. Artifacts that are conformant with **[WS-Calendar PIM]** can be transformed into a form that is conformant to **[WS-Calendar]**, even while their expression may not support the general purpose expression required for **[WS-Calendar]**.

The document defines a normative structure for conveying time ~~-~~series of information that is conformant with **[WS-Calendar-~~PIM]~~**. We term these conveyances “Streams”.

1.1 Terminology

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in ~~Error! Reference source not found.~~ **RFC2119**.

1.2 Normative References

- | | |
|---------|--|
| ISO8601 | ISO (International Organization for Standardization). <i>Representations of dates and times, third edition</i> , December 2004, (ISO 8601:2004) |
| RFC2119 | S. Bradner, <i>Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels</i> , http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt , IETF RFC 2119, March 1997. |

46 **RFC5545** B. Desruisseaux *Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification*
 47 (*iCalendar*), <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5545.txt>, IETF RFC5545, proposed
 48 standard, September 2009

49 ~~**RFC6321** C. Daboo, M Douglass, S Lees *xCal: The XML format for iCalendar*,
 50 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6321>, IETF Proposed Standard, August 2011.~~

51 **SOA-RM** SOA-RM OASIS Standard, *OASIS Reference Model for Service Oriented*
 52 *Architecture 1.0*, October 2006 [http://docs.oasis-open.org/soa-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/soa-rm/v1.0/http://docs.oasis-open.org/soa-rm/v1.0/soa-rm.pdf)
 53 [rm/v1.0/http://docs.oasis-open.org/soa-rm/v1.0/soa-rm.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/soa-rm/v1.0/soa-rm.pdf)

54 **WS-Calendar** WS-Calendar OASIS Committee Specification ~~1.0~~, WS-Calendar Version 1.0,
 55 July 2011, [http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ws-calendar-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ws-calendar-spec/v1.0/cs01/ws-calendar-spec-v1.0-cs01.pdf)
 56 [spec/v1.0/cs01/ws-calendar-spec-v1.0-cs01.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ws-calendar-spec/v1.0/cs01/ws-calendar-spec-v1.0-cs01.pdf)

57 ~~**xCal** C. Daboo, M Douglass, S Lees *xCal: The XML format for iCalendar*,
 58 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-daboo-et-al-icalendar-in-xml-08>, IETF Internet Draft,
 59 April 2011. **WS-Calendar PIM** WS-Calendar OASIS Committee Working Draft,
 60 “*WS-Calendar Platform Independent Model (PIM) Version 1.0 WD05*”,
 61 [https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/48936/ws-calendar-pim-](https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/48936/ws-calendar-pim-v1.0-wd05.pdf)
 62 [v1.0-wd05.pdf](https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/48936/ws-calendar-pim-v1.0-wd05.pdf)~~

63 **XML NAMES** T Bray, D Hollander, A Layman, R Tobin, HS Thompson “Namespaces in XML
 64 1.0 (Third Edition)” <http://www.w3.org/TR/xml-names/> W3C Recommendation,
 65 December 2009

66 **XML SCHEMA** PV Biron, A Malhotra, XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition,
 67 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/> October 2004.

68 **XRD** OASIS XRI Committee Draft 01, Extensible Resource Descriptor (XRD) Version
 69 1.0, <http://docs.oasis-open.org/xri/xrd/v1.0/cd01/xrd-1.0-cd01.pdf> October 2009.

70 1.3 Non-Normative References

71 **[WSI-Basic]** R Chumbley, J Durand, G Pilz, T Rutt , *Basic Profile Version 2.0*,
 72 <http://ws-i.org/profiles/BasicProfile-2.0-2010-11-09.html>,
 73 The Web Services-Interoperability Organization, November 2010

74 1.4 Namespace

75 The XML namespace [XML-ns] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

```
76 http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/energyinterop  

  77 http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ns/streams
```

78 Dereferencing the above URI will produce the Resource Directory Description Language [RDDL 2.0]
 79 document that describes this namespace.

80 Table 1 lists the XML namespaces that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix
 81 is arbitrary and not semantically significant.

82 *Table 1-1: Namespaces Used in this Specification*

Prefix	Namespace
xs	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema
strm xcal	urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:icalendar-2.0:stream
wsdl strm	http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-calendar/ns/energyinterop/201110/wsdlstreams

83 The normative schemas for STREAMS can be found linked from the namespace document that is located
 84 at the namespace URI specified above.

85 1.5 Naming Conventions

86 This specification follows some naming conventions for artifacts defined by the specification, as follows:

87 For the names of elements and the names of attributes within XSD files, the names follow the
88 lowerCamelCase convention, with all names starting with a lower case letter. For example,

```
89 | <element name="componentType" type="strm:ComponentType"/>
```

90 For the names of types within XSD files, the names follow the UpperCamelCase convention with all
91 names starting with a lower case letter prefixed by "type-". For example,

```
92 | <complexType name="ComponentServiceType">
```

93 For the names of intents, the names follow the lowerCamelCase convention, with all names starting with
94 a lower case letter, EXCEPT for cases where the intent represents an established acronym, in which
95 case the entire name is in upper case.

96 An example of an intent that is an acronym is the "SOAP" intent.

97 **1.6 Editing Conventions**

98 For readability, element names in tables appear as separate words. The actual names are
99 lowerCamelCase, as specified above, and as they appear in the XML schemas.

100 All elements in the tables not marked as "optional" are mandatory.

101 Information in the "Specification" column of the tables is normative. Information appearing in the note
102 column is explanatory and non-normative.

103 All sections explicitly noted as examples are informational and are not to be considered normative.

2 WS-Calendar in Streams

[WS-Calendar] defines how to use the semantics of the enterprise calendar communications within service communications. ~~[WS-Calendar PIM] defines how conformance to [WS-Calendar] is to be achieved on platforms that cannot themselves interact directly with traditional calendar servers.~~

Streams are conformant with the ~~[WS-Calendar PIM], the platform independent model (PIM) for [WS-Calendar]. Through conformance with the PIM, Streams are conformant with [WS-Calendar]~~ specification for communicating duration and time to define a Schedule. [WS-Calendar] itself extends the well-known semantics of ~~[RFC5545]. The communication of a commonly understood Schedule is essential to Energy Interoperation.~~

This entire section ~~is~~ informative, to assist the reader in understanding later sections.

2.1 Schedule Semantics from WS-Calendar ~~PIM~~ (Non-Normative)

Without an understanding of certain terms defined in ~~[WS-Calendar PIM]~~, the reader may have difficulty achieving complete understanding of their use in this standard. The table below provides summary descriptions of certain key terms from that specification. This specification does not redefine these terms; they are listed here solely as a convenience to the reader.

Table 2-1: Core Semantics from WS-Calendar

WS-Calendar Term	Description
Component	In [iCalendar], the primary information structure is a Component, also referred to as a "vcomponent." A Component is refined by Parameters and can itself contain Components. Several RFCs have extended iCalendar by defining new Components using the common semantics defined in that specification. In the list below, Interval, Gluon, and Availability are Components. Duration, Link, and Relationship are Parameters. A Sequence is set of Components, primarily Intervals and Gluons, but is not itself a Type.
Duration	Duration is the length of time for an event scheduled using iCalendar or any of its derivatives. The [XCAL] duration is a data type using the string representation defined in the iCalendar ([RFC5545]) Duration.
Interval	The Interval is a single discrete segment, an element of a Sequence, and expressed with a Duration. The Interval is derived from the common calendar Components. An Interval is part of a Sequence.
Sequence	A set of Intervals with defined temporal relationships. Sequences may have gaps between Intervals, or even simultaneous activities. A Sequence is relocatable, i.e., it does not have a specific date and time. A Sequence may consist of a single Interval, and can be scheduled by scheduling that single Interval in that Sequence.
Gluon	A Gluon influences the serialization of Intervals in a Sequence, through inheritance and through schedule setting. The Gluon is similar to the Interval, but has no service or schedule effects until applied to an Interval or Sequence.
Artifact	The placeholder in an Component that holds that thing that occurs during an Interval. [EMIX Product Descriptions populate Schedules as Artifacts inside Intervals. In Streams, this specification refers to the Payload conveyed by an Interval.

WS-Calendar Term	Description
Link	A reference to an internal object within the same calendar, or an external object in a remote system. The Link is used by one [WS-Calendar] Component to reference another.
Relationship	Links between Components.
Availability	Availability in this specification refers to the Vavailability Component, itself a collection of recurring Availability parameters each of which expresses set of Availability Windows. In this specification, these Windows may indicate when an Interval or Sequence can be Scheduled, or when a partner can be notified, or even when it cannot be Scheduled.
Component	<u>In [iCalendar], the primary information structure is a Component, also referred to as a “vcomponent.” A Component is refined by Parameters and can itself contain Components. Several RFCs have extended iCalendar by defining new Components using the common semantics defined in that specification. In the list below, Interval, Gluon, and Availability are Components. Duration, Link, and Relationship are Parameters. A Sequence is set of Components, primarily Intervals and Gluons, but is not itself a Type.</u>
Duration	<u>Duration is the length of time for an event scheduled using iCalendar or any of its derivatives. The XCAL [RFC 6321] duration is a data type using the string representation defined in the iCalendar ([RFC5545]) Duration.</u>
Gluon	<u>A Gluon influences the serialization of Intervals in a Sequence, through inheritance and through schedule setting. The Gluon is similar to the Interval, but has no service or schedule effects until applied to an Interval or Sequence.</u>
Interval	<u>The Interval is a single discrete segment, an element of a Sequence, and expressed with a Duration. The Interval is derived from the common calendar Components. An Interval is part of a Sequence.</u>
Link	<u>A reference to an internal object within the same calendar, or an external object in a remote system. The Link is used by one [WS-Calendar] Component to reference another.</u>
Partition	<u>A Partition is a set of consecutive Intervals. The Partition includes the trivial case of a single Interval. Partitions are used to define a single service or behavior that varies over time.</u>
Relation Link	<u>Links between Components.</u>
Sequence	<u>A set of Intervals with defined temporal relationships. Sequences may have gaps between Intervals, or even simultaneous activities. A Sequence is re-locatable, i.e., it does not have a specific date and time. A Sequence may consist of a single Interval, and can be scheduled by scheduling that single Interval in that Sequence.</u>

120 Normative descriptions of the terms in the table above are in [WS-Calendar].

121 2.2 Schedules and Inheritance

122 Nearly every response, every event, and every interaction can have payloads with values that vary over
123 time, i.e., it a set of intervals can be using a Sequence of Intervals. Many market communications involve
124 information about or a request for power delivered over a single interval of time. Simplicity and parsimony
125 of expression must coexist with complexity and syntactical richness.

126 Consider a request to reduce power consumption in response to market conditions on a smart grid
 127 (Demand Response). The simplest demand response is to reduce power for a set interval.

Units:	KW	Quantity	10
--------	----	----------	----

128
 129 *Figure 2-1: Basic Power Object from EMIX*

130 At its simplest, though, WS-Calendar expresses repeating intervals of the same duration, one after the
 131 other, and something that changes over the course of the schedule

Start:	8:00	Duration:	1Hour		
		Duration:	1Hour		
		Duration:	1Hour		
		Duration:	1Hour		
		Duration:	1Hour		

132
 133 *Figure 2-2: WS-Calendar Partition, a simple sequence of 5 intervals*

134 The WS-Calendar specification defines how to spread an object like the first over the schedule. The
 135 information that is true for every interval is expressed once only. The information that changes during
 136 each interval, is expressed as part of each interval.*

Units	KW	Start:	8:00	Duration:	1Hour	Quantity	10
				Duration:	1Hour	Quantity	10
				Duration:	1Hour	Quantity	15
				Duration:	1Hour	Quantity	25
				Duration:	1Hour	Quantity	10*

137
 138 *Figure 2-3: Applying Basic Power to a Sequence*

139 Many communications communicate requirements for a single interval. When expressing market
 140 information about a single interval, the market object (Power) and the single interval collapse to a simple
 141 model:

Units	KW	Start:	8:00	Duration:	1Hour	Quantity	10
-------	----	--------	------	-----------	-------	----------	----

142
 143 *Figure 2-4: Simplifying back to Power in a Single Interval*

144 WS-Calendar calls this pattern Inheritance and specifies a number of rules that govern Inheritance. Table
 145 2-2 summarizes those terms defined in WS-Calendar to describe Inheritance that are used in this
 146 specification as well. This specification does not redefine these terms; they are listed here solely as a
 147 convenience to the reader.

148 *Table 2-2: WS-Calendar Semantics: Inheritance (non-normative)*

Streams Term	Definition
Lineage	The ordered set of Parents that results in a given inheritance or execution context for a Sequence.
Inherit	A Child Inherits attributes (Inheritance) from its Parent.
Inheritance	A pattern by which information in Sequence is completed or modified by information from a Gluon. Information specified in one informational object is considered present in another that is itself lacking expression of that information.
Bequeath	A Parent Bequeaths attributes (Inheritance) to its Children.

149 *Normative descriptions of the terms in the table above are in [WS-Calendar].*
 150 This specification extends the use of Inheritance as defined in WS-Calendar. *Most interactions specify a*
 151 *schedule, whether for price Quote or for Demand Response event. These schedules are expressed in*

152 | ~~Streams (see Section 3)~~ Each Interval in ~~the Schedule~~ Stream contains an information payload. Each of
153 | these payloads is completed through inheriting information from the Stream as if from a Gluon. The
154 | Stream itself inherits information from the context of the interaction, ~~especially from the Market Context or~~
155 | information, as if from Gluon.
156 | A higher-level object Bequeaths essential information to a Stream, which in turn its information to each
157 | Interval in the Stream. This specification uses this pattern of expression throughout.

3 Streams

158

159 Streams use WS-Calendar Sequences to convey a time sequence of prices, usage, demand, response,
160 or anything else that varies over time. Streams are used both for projections of the future and for reports
161 about the past; event signals and reports are each instances of Streams.

162 WS-Calendar specifies that Sequences that describe a Service be expressed as Duration within each
163 Interval, Temporal Relations between those intervals, and a single Start or End time for the Sequence.

164 WS-Calendar specifies that each Interval have a unique identifier (UID-) that can be externally
165 referenced. WS-Calendar further specifies that each Interval include a Temporal Relation, either direct or
166 transitive, with all other Intervals in a Sequence. A Temporal Relation consists of the Relationship, the
167 UID of the related Interval, and the optional Gap between Intervals.

168 **[WS-Calendar]** defines a Partition as a Sequence of consecutive Intervals. Streams are a parsimonious
169 expression of a Partition that conforms to [WS-Calendar] by conforming to [WS-Calendar PIM].

3.1 New Semantic Elements in Streams


170

171 Streams may contain Intervals, each containing an informational payload. Intervals MAY contain any
172 property defined in WS-Calendar. Streams also introduce their own semantic elements.

173 *Table 3-1: Core Semantics and their derivations from WS-Calendar*

<u>Streams Term</u>	<u>Description</u>
<u>Payload Base</u>	<u>Payload Base is an abstract class that acts as the Artifact in each Interval. A Specification that conforms to Streams must specify both the Payload and inheritance rules for the Payload.</u>
<u>Relationship</u>	<u>In [WS-Calendar PIM], Relationships are defined by Relation Links and define how Intervals are connected for Binding. In Streams, there is always an implied Relationship binding the Stream Base to the first Interval in each Sequence.</u>
<u>Stream Base</u>	<u>The Stream Base is an abstract element that contains the “header” information for a Stream. The Stream Base specifies recurring information that applies to each Interval in the Stream. A Stream Base may be related to a context from which the recurring information is inherited as if the context were a Gluon.</u>
<u>Uid</u>	<u>In WS-Calendar, each Interval MUST be uniquely addressable by the UID, to support reference by an external system. In Streams, the Uid is degenerate, requiring only enough Uniqueness to indicate processing order between intervals. If it is necessary to reference a particular Interval in a Stream, a unique reference is created by concatenating the Stream Uid and the Uids of any artifacts acts as a Gluon, including that of the Stream Base.</u>

174 All Streams follow the Gluon-Sequence pattern from WS-Calendar, i.e., the Stream Base acts a Gluon
175 that optionally contains a degenerate Sequence. Information valid for the entire stream is indicated in the
176 Gluon, i.e., external to the Intervals of the Sequence. Only information that changes over time is
177 contained within each interval. This changing information is referred to herein as the Payload.




178
179 *Figure 3-1: Stream as Gluon and Sequence*

180 For example, an associated transaction or even a service definition MAY establish a context-, which
 181 context acts as a Gluon to the Stream Base. The Stream Base MAY inherit information in the Context-as
 182 an. Each Interval or Gluon in the Stream inherits information from a Gluon the Stream base. WS-Calendar
 183 calls this the *lineage* of the information.

184 **3.2 Again, following Intervals and Unique Identifiers**

185 XML processing rules do not require that order is preserved when a collection is processed. For a stream,
 186 it is necessary that the receiver be able to order the intervals for proper interpretation. To this end, each
 187 Interval in a Stream contains a Uid.



188
189 *Figure 3-2: Interval, the components of a Sequence*


190 The Stream UID is a sortable element that can be used to order the Intervals after processing. The
 191 unique identifiers (UID) mandated by WS-Calendar can be verbose; as streams may contain hundreds or
 192 even thousands of intervals, the overhead for expressing a Uid for each interval could be considerable.
 193 Stream UIDs must only be unique within the Stream, each intervals is uniquely identified by a Stream
 194 UID.

195 Streams augment the inheritance pattern of [WS-Calendar] by extending it to the UID. Where each
 196 Interval in [WS-Calendar] MUST have a uniquely addressable UID, in Streams, an addressable UID MAY
 197 be constructed by concatenation with inherited UIDs.

198 If it is necessary to instantiate an Interval in the Sequence inherits as a WS-Calendar Interval, the UID for
 199 each Interval is derived by appending the Sequence ID to the Stream's UID. If it is necessary to further
 200 differentiate the UID of a particular instance of a Stream, it MAY be concatenated with the UIDs of
 201 whatever references and context information is acting as a Gluon for that Stream. In this way, Unique
 202 Identifiers for each interval in each instance of a stream can be created concatenation of UIDs from the
 203 Lineage described above each object acting as a Gluon.

204 Specifications claiming conformance with Streams MUST specify the mechanism of this concatenation,
 205 i.e., concatenation could be by either pre-pending or by appending.

206 3.1.13.3 UML Diagram of Stream



207
 208 Figure 3-3: UML Class Diagram of abstract StreamBase class

209 ~~3.1.2 Conformance of Streams to WS-Calendar~~

210 ~~If it is necessary to process a Stream through standard Calendar communications, the Stream's GUID is~~
211 ~~the key and the Stream is processed as if a Gluon. All Sequence information MAY remain internal to that~~
212 ~~Gluon. If it is necessary to instantiate Interval in the Sequence as a WS-Calendar Interval, the GUID for~~
213 ~~each is derived by appending the Sequence ID to the Stream's GUID.~~

214 ~~3.1.2.13.4~~ Stream expression of Intervals expressed as Durations

215 While conformant ~~spec~~communications can include anything expressible in [WS-Calendar], this
216 specification further defines standard profiles of Sequences and Intervals for use in Streams.

217 Streams describe Partitions. Within a Stream expressed using Durations, a virtual UID for each Interval
218 MAY be constructed by concatenating the Stream Identifier, which may include the identity of the source
219 or recipient, and a sequence number. Within a Stream, this UID can be expressed within each interval by
220 the sequence number alone.

221 If the Designated Interval in a Sequence within a Stream omits a Temporal Relationship, then all Intervals
222 in the Sequence **MAYMUST** NOT include a Temporal Relation. Such intervals are sorted by increasing
223 sequence number (expressed in the UID), and each Interval is treated as if it contained an implied
224 FinishToStart relation to the next Interval with a Gap of zero Duration.

225 Partitions expressed in this way consist of Intervals containing only a Sequence Number, the Duration of
226 the Interval (if not inherited), and the ~~Market Signal~~ Payload. The effect of this is that Stream Intervals are
227 ordered as a Partition in order of increasing UID.

228 WS-Calendar inheritance defines a Lineage whereby Intervals inherit information from Gluons. In Energy
229 Interoperation, Streams are contained in larger messages. A Stream MAY inherit information from its
230 containing message as if from a Gluon. A Stream-derived Type may contain information external to the
231 Sequence. This information inherits acts as if it were a Gluon, inheriting from the containing message,
232 and Bequeathing information to the designated interval in the Sequence.

233 The first (in time and in sequence number) Interval in the Sequence in a Stream is the Designated
234 Interval unless another Interval is explicitly so designated in the Stream Event. Signals, Reports, and
235 many other messages use this pattern of expression. For example, the Active Period of an Event
236 Bequeaths its start date and time to an Event Signal which Bequeaths that to the Designated Interval in
237 the sequence. These terms are defined below.

238 ~~3.1.2.23.4.1~~ Observational Data expressed as Streams

239 Observed information may be best communicated as raw data without interpretation. A single set of
240 Observations may be re-purposed or re-processed for multiple uses. For example, a measurement
241 recorded at 3:15 may be a point in both a 5 minute series and a 15 minute series. Observational data
242 may have known errors that can be lost in processing. Low-end sensor systems may not update instantly.
243 For example, a reading taken at 4:30 may be known to actually have been recorded at 4:27. Streams
244 expressing a series of observations MAY use the date and times rather than the duration as their primary
245 temporal element.

246 When the boundaries of Intervals in a Stream are expressed with Date and Time, then all Intervals in that
247 Sequence SHALL be expressed with a Date and Time and that boundary selected SHALL be the Same,
248 i.e., all Intervals MAY be expressed with a Begin Date and Time OR with an End Date and Time. For
249 observations, use the End Date and Time.

250 Within a Stream expressed using Dates and Times, a virtual UID for each Interval MAY be constructed by
251 concatenating the Signal Identifier, the and a unique ID (which may be the service ID), and the Date and
252 Time. Within an Observational Stream, this UID can be expressed within each interval by the End Date
253 and Time alone. Intervals in a Sequence expressed this way are treated as if each contains an implied
254 FinishToStart relation to the next Interval with a Gap of zero duration. The Duration of each Interval can
255 be computed by using the Date(s) and Time(s) of adjacent Intervals.

256 | **3.1.33.5 Payload Optimization in Streams**

257 | As defined in WS-Calendar, each Interval in a Sequence potentially contains any artifact that
258 | inherits/extends the WS-Calendar artifact as a payload. As used in Streams, ~~the~~ this Artifact is expressed
259 | once or inherited from the service Ccontext. Each Interval in a Stream expresses only the common subset
260 | of facts that varies within the context of the Stream. For efficient communication and processing, Streams
261 | use these explicit processing rules:

262 | 1. Unless each interval includes a full payload, each Interval in a Stream expresses only the defined
263 | subset of the payload that varies over time.

264 | 2. Each Interval in a Stream uses the same payload subset as all other intervals in that stream.

265 | All streams in this specification share a common Payload base. This commonality is derived from the
266 | commonality of a request for performance (Signal), a report of performance (Report and Delivery),
267 | projections of performance (Projection), and a baseline of performance (Baseline).

268 | **3.1.43.6 Other elements in Stream Payloads**

269 | It may be necessary to qualify information about intervals in the future, i.e. indicate the probability of
270 | accuracy or some other information. This specification does not address this information requirement.

271 | It may be necessary to qualify measurements delivered in a report. Devices have known accuracies.
272 | Several Measurements MAY be added together to create a single quantity. To support these
273 | uncertainties different payloads are defined for different services.

274 | ~~WS-Calendar~~Streams does not limit the Payload, but only indicates that the payload be derived from the
275 | Payload Base.

276 4 Conformance

277 ~~The last numbered section in the specification must be the Conformance section. Conformance~~
278 ~~Statements/Clauses go here. [Remove # marker]~~

279 4.1 Conformance with the Semantic Models of WS-Calendar-PIM

280 This section specifies conformance with the semantic models of ~~[WS-Calendar]-PIM~~. ~~This specification~~
281 ~~requires that specifications claiming conformance also conform to the specific conformance requirements~~
282 ~~of [WS-Calendar-PIM] are described in section 5.3 of that specification, "Conformance Rules for WS-~~
283 ~~Calendar PIM".~~

284 4.2 Inheritance within Streams are strongly dependent upon the [WS- 285 Calendar]

286 Streams are a means of conveying informational payloads that vary over time, optimized for concise
287 expression. It may be desirable for those payloads themselves to be optimized by reducing the
288 expression of redundant information ~~model~~.

289 ~~[WS-Calendar] is a general specification. Specifications claiming conformance SHALL use a similar~~
290 ~~pattern of inheritance, and makes no assumptions about how its MUST make explicit what the Gluon~~
291 ~~equivalent for their specification is, including defining the inheritance rules for the payloads.~~

292 ~~Conforming Streams MAY inherit from structures external to any particular Streams instance, so long as~~
293 ~~the specification requires that the information model is used. [be conveyed by a discoverable artifact or~~
294 ~~chain of artifacts acting as Gluons. Such Gluons are considered to enter the Lineage of the Stream, and~~
295 ~~are inherited by each Interval.~~

296 4.2.1 Conformance of Streams to WS-Calendar] has specific rules which 297 define Inheritance as a means to reduce the conveyance of repetitive 298 -PIM

299 ~~If it is necessary to process a Stream through standard Calendar communications, the Stream's GUID is~~
300 ~~the key and the Stream is processed as if a Gluon. All Sequence information. As MAY remain internal to~~
301 ~~that Gluon. If it is necessary to instantiate Interval in the Sequence as a WS-Calendar Interval, the GUID~~
302 ~~for each is derived by appending the Sequence ID to the Stream's GUID.~~

303 4.2.1.1 Stream expression of Intervals expressed as Durations

304 ~~While conformant communications can include anything expressible in [WS-Calendar], this specification~~
305 ~~constrains schedule communications to specific business interactions, these inheritance rules are~~
306 ~~extended to embrace rules of interaction and rules of process that further reduce the defines standard~~
307 ~~profiles of Sequences and Intervals for use in Streams.~~

308 ~~Streams describe Partitions. Within a Stream expressed using Durations, a virtual UID for each Interval~~
309 ~~MAY be constructed by concatenating the Stream Identifier, which may include the identity of the source~~
310 ~~or recipient, and a sequence number. Within a Stream, this UID can be expressed within each interval by~~
311 ~~the sequence number alone.~~

312 ~~If the Designated Interval in a Sequence within a Stream omits a Temporal Relationship, then all Intervals~~
313 ~~in the Sequence MAY NOT include a Temporal Relation. Such intervals are sorted by increasing~~
314 ~~sequence number (expressed in the UID), and each Interval is treated as if it contained an implied~~
315 ~~FinishToStart relation to the next Interval with a Gap of zero Duration.~~

316 ~~Partitions expressed in this way consist of Intervals containing only a Sequence Number, the Duration of~~
317 ~~the Interval (if not inherited), and the Market Signal Payload. The effect of this is that Stream Intervals are~~
318 ~~ordered as a Partition in order of increasing UID.~~

319 ~~[WS-Calendar-PIM] inheritance defines a Lineage whereby Intervals inherit information that must be~~
320 ~~expressed in each interval from Gluons. In Energy Interoperation, Streams are contained in larger~~
321 ~~messages. A Stream MAY inherit information from its containing message as if from a Gluon. A Stream-~~
322 ~~derived Type may contain information external to the Sequence. This information inherits acts as if it were~~
323 ~~a Gluon, inheriting from the containing message, and Bequeathing information to the designated interval~~
324 ~~in the Sequence.~~

325 ~~Implementations of Streams SHALL conform to the rules of [WS-Calendar]. These rules include the~~
326 ~~following conformance types:~~

- 327 ~~• Conformance to the *inheritance rules* in [WS-Calendar], including the direction of inheritance~~
- 328 ~~• *Specific attributes* for each type that MUST or MUST NOT be inherited.~~
- 329 ~~• *Conformance rules* that Referencing Specifications MUST follow~~
- 330 ~~• Description of *Covarying attributes* with respect to the Reference Specification~~
- 331 ~~• *Semantic Conformance* for the information within the Artifacts exchanged.~~

332 ~~4.1.1 Recapitulation of Requirements from WS-Calendar~~

333 ~~[WS-Calendar] uses the term Sequence to refer to one or more Intervals with Temporal Relations~~
334 ~~defined between them that may inherit from zero or more Gluons. Streams recapitulate these rules with~~
335 ~~specific addenda as they include both Gluon and Sequence.~~

336 ~~4.1.1.1 Specific Attribute Inheritance within Schedules~~

337 ~~The rules that define inheritance, including direction in-~~ The first (in time and in sequence number) Interval
338 in the Sequence in a Stream is the Designated Interval unless another Interval is explicitly so designated
339 in the Stream Event. Signals, Reports, and many other messages use this pattern of expression. For
340 example, the Active Period of an Event Bequeaths its start date and time to an Event Signal which
341 Bequeaths that to the Designated Interval in the sequence. These terms are defined below.

342 ~~4.2.1.2 Observational Data expressed as Streams~~

343 Observed information may be best communicated as raw data without interpretation. A single set of
344 Observations may be re-purposed or re-processed for multiple uses. For example, a measurement
345 recorded at 3:15 may be a point in both a 5 minute series and a 15 minute series. Observational data
346 may have known errors that can be lost in processing. Low-end sensor systems may not update instantly.
347 For example, a reading taken at 4:30 may be known to actually have been recorded at 4:27. Streams
348 expressing a series of observations MAY use the date and times rather than the duration as their primary
349 temporal element.

350 When the boundaries of Intervals in a Stream are expressed with Date and Time, then all Intervals in that
351 Sequence SHALL be expressed with a Date and Time and that boundary selected SHALL be the Same,
352 i.e., all Intervals MAY be expressed with a Begin Date and Time OR with an End Date and Time. For
353 observations, use the End Date and Time.

354 Within a Stream expressed using Dates and Times, a virtual UID for each Interval MAY be constructed by
355 concatenating the Signal Identifier, and an inherited context ID and the Date and Time. Within an
356 Observational Stream, this UID can be expressed within each interval by the End Date and Time alone.
357 Intervals in a Sequence expressed this way are treated as if each contains an implied FinishToStart
358 relation to the next Interval with a Gap of zero duration. The Duration of each Interval can be computed
359 by using the Date(s) and Time(s) of adjacent Intervals.

360 ~~4.2.2 Conformance of Streams to WS-Calendar~~

361 ~~Specifications that conform to [WS-Calendar], are recapitulated.~~

362 ~~**H: Proximity Rule** Within a given lineage, inheritance is evaluated though each Parent to the Child~~
363 ~~before what the Child bequeaths is evaluated.~~

364 ~~**I2: Direction Rule** Intervals MAY inherit attributes from the nearest Gluon subject to the Proximity Rule~~
365 ~~and Override Rule, provided those attributes are defined as Inheritable.~~

366 ~~**I3: Override Rule** If and only if there is no value for a given attribute of a Gluon or Interval, that Gluon or~~
367 ~~Interval SHALL inherit the value for that attribute from its nearest Ancestor in conformance to the~~
368 ~~Proximity Rule.~~

369 ~~**I4: Comparison Rule** Two Sequences are equivalent if a comparison of the respective Intervals~~
370 ~~succeeds as if each Sequence were fully Bound and redundant Gluons are removed.~~

371 ~~**I5: Designated Interval Inheritance** [To facilitate composition of Sequences] the Designated Interval in~~
372 ~~the ultimate Ancestor of a Gluon is the Designated Interval of the composed Sequence. Special~~
373 ~~conformance rules for Designated Intervals apply only to the Interval linked from the Designator Gluon.~~

374 ~~**I6: Start Time Inheritance** When a start time is specified through inheritance, that start time is inherited~~
375 ~~only by the Designated Interval; the start time of all other Intervals are computed through the durations~~
376 ~~and temporal relationships within the Sequence. The Designated Interval is the Interval whose parent is~~
377 ~~at the end of the lineage. In Events, the Active Interval is the Designated Interval~~

378 ~~4.1.1.2~~ **Time Zone Specification**

379 ~~The time zone MUST be explicitly known in any conforming Energy Interoperation artifact.~~

380 ~~This may be accomplished in two ways:~~

381 ~~• The time, date, or date and time MUST be specified using [ISO8601] utc-time (also called~~
382 ~~zulu time)~~

383 ~~• The PIM] also conform to [WS-Calendar] Time Zone Identifier, TZID, MUST be in the Lineage of~~
384 ~~the artifact, as extended by the Market Context. Generally, the Market Context acts as a Gluon~~
385 ~~bequeathing the TZID. See Section **Error! Reference source not found.** below as described in Section~~
386 ~~5.1 "Relationship to WS-Calendar" of [WS-Calendar-PIM].~~

387 ~~If neither expression is included, the Artifact does not conform to this specification and its attempted use~~
388 ~~in information exchanges MUST result in an error condition.~~

389 ~~4.1.1.34.2.2.1~~ **Specific Rules for Optimizing Inheritance**

390 ~~If the Designated Interval in a Series has a single element of the Payload only, all Intervals in the~~
391 ~~Sequence convey only that payload element ~~only~~.~~

392 **4.3 Claiming Conformance to Streams**

393 ~~Specifications claiming conformance to Streams must specify inheritance rules.~~

394 **4.3.1 Conformance to Lineage**

395 ~~A specification claiming conformance to Streams must specify what artifacts act as Gluons and specify~~
396 ~~any special rules of inheritance. For example, telemetry would tend to measure one thing again in each~~
397 ~~interval. That one thing MAY be specified in the Stream Base, enabling a Stream Artifact to be fully~~
398 ~~understood on its own. Alternately, there may be some artifact that describes the measured element,~~
399 ~~which acts as a Gluon to the Stream Base.~~

400 ~~A specification claiming conformance to Streams must make explicit the inheritance rules the define the~~
401 ~~lineage, i.e., that disambiguate the payload in the Stream.~~

402 **4.3.2 Construction of Referenceable Identifier**

403 ~~WS-Calendar requires that each interval be uniquely referenceable by an entity external to the system.~~
404 ~~Identifiers within Intervals of a Stream must only be unique within that sequence. A Stream may contain~~
405 ~~more than one Sequence. A Stream itself may only be identifiable within a specific context.~~

406 ~~A specification claiming conformance to Streams MUST specify how a unique identifier can be~~
407 ~~constructed using the inheritance of each Sequence.~~

408 Appendix A. Acknowledgments

409 The following individuals have participated in the creation of this specification and are gratefully
410 acknowledged:

411 **Participants:**

412 ~~[Participant Name, Affiliation]~~ David Thewlis, CalConnect
413 William Cox, Individual ~~Member~~
414 ~~[Participant Name, Affiliation]~~ Gershon Janssen, Individual ~~Member~~
415 Benoit Lepeuple, LonMark International
416 Michael Douglass, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
417 Toby Considine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
418 Chris Bogen, US Department of Defense (DoD)

419
420 Streams were originally developed in the OASIS Energy Interoperation. We are grateful for their
421 contribution to WS-Calendar.

422

Appendix B. Revision History

423

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
WD01	8-November-2012	Toby Considine	Initial Draft
WD02	27-March-2013	Toby Considine	Editing issues per comments Removed spurious references to Energy Interoperation
WD03	13-May 2013	Toby Considine	Added references to WS-Calendar PIM Re-wrote conformance to rely on PIM Clarified issues with building GUIDs from sequence through Inheritance
WD04	20-May-2013	Toby Considine	Numerous consistency issues from TC comments

424