

SAML V2.0 Errata

Errata Committee Draft 04

4 20 October 2009

5	Specification URIs:
6	This Version:
7 8 9	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04.odt http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04.pdf
10	Previous Version:
11 12 13	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0-cd-02.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0-cd-02.odt http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0-cd-02.pdf
14	Latest Version:
15 16 17	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.odt http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf
18	Technical Committee:
19	OASIS Security Services TC
20	Chair(s):
21 22	Hal Lockhart, Oracle Corporation Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.
23	Editor:
24	Scott Cantor, Internet2
25	Related Work:
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-authn-context-2.0-os.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-sec-consider-2.0-os.pdf
33	Abstract:
34	This document lists approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard.
35	Status:
36 37	This document was last revised or approved by the SSTC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the current location noted above for possible later revisions

of this document. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule.

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04
 Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved.

39	TC members should send comments on this specification to the TC's email list. Others
40	should send comments to the TC by using the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's
41	web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security.
42	For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to
43	implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the IPR
44	section of the TC web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/ipr.php.
45	The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at http://www.oasis-
46	open.org/committees/security.

Notices

- 48 Copyright © OASIS® 1993–2009. All Rights Reserved.
- 49 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
- 50 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.
- This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
- 52 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published,
- and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice
- and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may
- not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as
- 56 needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical
- 57 Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be
- followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.
- The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
- 60 or assigns.
- This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
- 62 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
- 63 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY
- 64 OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
- 65 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
- OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would
- 67 necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard,
- to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to
- such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that
- 70 produced this specification.
- 71 OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of
- any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent
- holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR
- 74 Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such
- claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.
- 76 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
- 77 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
- the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it
- 79 represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with
- 80 respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be
- found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any
- 82 assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license
- 83 or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee
- 84 Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no
- 85 representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or
- that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.
- The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be
- used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and
- implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against
- misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php for above guidance.

Table of Contents

92	1 Introduction	6
93	1.1 Normative References	6
94	2 Approved Errata	8
95	E0: Incorrect Section Reference	8
96	E1: Relay State for HTTP Redirect	8
97	E2: Metadata Clarifications for HTTP Artifact Binding	8
98	E4: No Role for SAML V1.1 Artifacts in SAML V2.0	8
99	E6: Clarify Constraints on Encrypted NameID	9
100	E7: Metadata for Agreeing to Sign Authentication Requests	9
101	E8: SLO and NameID Termination	9
102	E10: Logout Request Reason Mismatch with Schema	10
103	E11: Improperly Labeled Feature	10
104	E12: Clarification on ManageNameIDRequest	10
105	E13: Inaccurate Description of Authorization Decision	11
106	E14: AllowCreate	11
107	E15: NameID Policy Adherence	13
108	E17: Authentication Response IssuerName vs. Assertion IssuerName	13
109	E18: Reference to Identity Provider Discovery Service in ECP Profile	14
110	E19: Clarification on Error Processing	14
111	E20: ECP SSO Profile and Metadata	14
112	E21: PAOS Version	15
113	E22: Error in Profile/ECP	15
114	E24: HTTPS in URI Binding	15
115	E25: Metadata Feature in Conformance	15
116	E26: Ambiguities Around Multiple Assertions and Statements in the SSO Profile	
117	E27: Incorrect Step Number in ECP Profile	19
118	E28: Profile Labeling in Conformance	19
119	E29: Incomplete Listing of Features in Conformance	19
120	E30: Key Replacement	19
121	E31: Various Minor Errors in Binding	19
122	E32: Missing Required Information in Profiles.	20
123	E33: References to Assertion Request Protocol	20
124	E34: RequestedAttribute Section Heading.	
125	E35: Response Consumer URL Rules and Example	20
126	E36: Clarification on Action Element	21
127	E37: Clarification in Metadata on Indexed Endpoints	21
128	E38: Clarification Regarding Index on <logoutrequest></logoutrequest>	21
129	E39: Error in SAML Profile Example	22
130	E40: Holder of Key	22
131	E41: EndpointType ResponseLocation Clarification in Metadata	22
132	E42: Match Authorities to Queries in Conformance.	23
133	E43: Key Location in saml:EncryptedData	23

134		E45: AuthnContext Comparison Order.	26
135		E46: AudienceRestriction Clarifications.	26
136		E47: Clarification on SubjectConfirmation.	27
137		E48: Clarification on Encoding for Binary Values in LDAP Profile	28
138		E49: Clarification on Attribute Name Format	.28
139		E50: Clarification on SSL Ciphersuites	. 28
140		E51: Schema Type of Contents of <attributevalue></attributevalue>	.29
141		E52: Clarification on NotOnOrAfter Attribute for Subject Confirmation	29
142		E53: Correction to LDAP/X.500 Profile Attribute.	.29
143		E54: Corrections to ECP URN	. 29
144		E55: Language Cleanup Around Name Identifier Management	.30
145		E56: Confirmation Method Typo	31
146		E57: SAMLmime Reference	31
147		E58: KeyDescriptor Typos in Profiles	32
148		E59: SSO Response When Using HTTP-Artifact.	32
149		E60: Incorrect URI for Unspecified NameID Format.	. 32
150		E61: Reference to Non-Existent Element.	32
151		E62: TLS Keys in KeyDescriptor.	33
152		E63: IdP Discovery Cookie Interpretation.	. 33
153		E64: Liberty Moniker Used Inappropriately	.33
154		E65: Second-level StatusCode	.33
155		E66: Metadata and DNSSEC	34
156		E68: Use of Multiple <keydescriptor> Elements</keydescriptor>	.34
157		E69: Semantics of <ds:keyinfo> in <keydescriptor></keydescriptor></ds:keyinfo>	35
158		E70: Obsolete reference to UUID URN namespace	.35
159		E71: Missing namespace definition in Profiles	.35
160		E74: Update XML Signature Reference.	.35
161		E75: Clarify Handling of SubjectConfirmation in AuthnRequest	.36
162		E76: Clarify nested validUntil/cacheDuration	.36
163		E77: Generalize scope of Metadata specification.	.36
164		E78: Reassignment of persistent identifiers.	37
165		E79: Clarification of SessionNotOnOrAfter	.37
166		E81: Algorithm statement in XML Signature profile	.37
167		E82: Empty <contactperson> element</contactperson>	37
168		E83: Weaken claim made about Exclusive C14N	.37
169	3	Acknowledgments	.39

1 Introduction

171

187

188

194

201

202

203

- 172 This document lists the approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard. Each one has been given an
- 173 Enn designation. Numbers in the sequence are missing wherever a reported problem (a "proposed
- erratum", or PE) resulted in a TC decision not to issue an erratum to any V2.0 specification text, or where
- an issue has not yet been disposed.
- 176 This document is ultimately intended to be confirmed as a formal Approved Errata document. To see the
- full list of reported problems and additional background on the approved errata, see the Errata Working
- 178 Document for SAML V2.0 [SAMLErrWork].
- As required by the OASIS Technical Committee Process, the approved errata represent changes that are
- not "substantive". The changes focus on clarifications to ambiguous or conflicting specification text, where
- different compliant implementations might have reasonably chosen different interpretations. The intent of
- the Security Services TC has been to resolve such issues in service of improved interoperability based on
- implementation and deployment experience.
- In this document, errata change instructions are presented with surrounding context as necessary to
- make the intent clear. Original specification text is often presented as follows, with problem text
- 186 highlighted in bold:
 - This is an original specification sentence. The second sentence needs to be changed, removed, or replaced.
- New specification text is typically presented as follows, with new or changed text highlighted in bold:
- This is a **highly** original specification sentence. **This is the wholly new content to replace the old second** sentence. It runs on and on and on.
- In a few cases, text needs only to be struck, in which case the change is shown as follows, with text to be removed both highlighted in bold and struck through:
 - This is yet another original specification sentence which contains an inappropriately long description.
- In addition to this normative document, non-normative "errata composite" documents may be provided
- that combine the prescribed corrections with the original specification text, illustrating the changes with
- margin change bars, struck-through original text, and highlighted new text. These documents, if available, will be found at the same location as this approved form.
- All cited line numbers refer to the PDF forms of the original OASIS Standard specifications in question, not to line numbers in this document or in the errata composite documents.

1.1 Normative References

In general, the latest revisions of all errata-related documents will be linked from the TC home page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc home.php?wg abbrev=security.

204 205 206	[SAMLAuthCtx]	J. Kemp et al. Authentication Context for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-authn-context-2.0-os.pdf.
207 208 209	[SAMLBind]	S. Cantor et al. <i>Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language</i> (SAML) V2.0. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf.
210 211 212	[SAMLConf]	P. Mishra et al. Conformance Requirements for the OASIS Security Assertion Mark Markup Language (SAML) V2.0. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf.
213 214 215	[SAMLCore]	S. Cantor et al. Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf.

216 217 218	[SAMLErrWork]	S Cantor. <i>Errata Working Document for SAML V2.0.</i> OASIS SSTC, October 2009. Revision 51 corresponds to this Working Draft; see http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/34737/sstc-saml-errata-2.0-draft-51.pdf.
219 220 221	[SAMLMeta]	S. Cantor et al. <i>Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language</i> (SAML) V2.0. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf.
222 223 224	[SAMLProf]	J. Hughes et al. <i>Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language</i> (SAML) V2.0. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf.
225 226 227	[SAMLSec]	F. Hirsch et al. Security Considerations for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-sec-consider-2.0-os.pdf.

2 Approved Errata

Following are the approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard.

E0: Incorrect Section Reference

Change [SAMLCore] at line 2660 to refer to section 3.7.3 rather than 3.6.3 for Reason codes. This was a typographical error.

E1: Relay State for HTTP Redirect

- Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.4.3 at lines 551-553 to reflect the fact that, indeed, the RelayState parameter is covered by the query string signature described in Section 3.4.4.1 (DEFLATE encoding).
- Note that Section 3.5.3, which has similar original wording, remains correct for its case.
- 237 Original:

228

230

233

RelayState data MAY be included with a SAML protocol message transmitted with this binding. The value
MUST NOT exceed 80 bytes in length and SHOULD be integrity protected by the entity creating the
message. Signing is not realistic given the space limitation, but because the value is exposed to
third-party tampering, the entity SHOULD insure that the value has not been tampered with by using
a checksum, a pseudo-random value, or similar means.

243 New:

247

RelayState data MAY be included with a SAML protocol message transmitted with this binding. The value
MUST NOT exceed 80 bytes in length and SHOULD be integrity protected by the entity creating the
message, either via a digital signature (see Section 3.4.4.1) or by some independent means.

E2: Metadata Clarifications for HTTP Artifact Binding

- Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.7 at lines 1188-1191 to clarify metadata requirements on profiles using the HTTP Artifact binding.
- 250 Original:
- Support for the HTTP Artifact binding SHOULD be reflected by indicating URL endpoints at which requests and responses for a particular protocol or profile should be sent. Either a single endpoint or distinct request and response endpoints MAY be supplied. One or more indexed endpoints for processing <a href="mailto:sample:
- 255 New:
- Support for receiving messages using the HTTP Artifact binding SHOULD be reflected by indicating URL endpoints at which requests and responses for a particular protocol or profile should be sent. Support for sending messages using this binding SHOULD be accompanied by one or more indexed receiver-messages. receiver-messages.

E4: No Role for SAML V1.1 Artifacts in SAML V2.0

- Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.4 at line 1067 to clarify that SAML V1.1 artifacts have no role in SAML V2.0.
- 263 New:

260

The following describes the single artifact type defined by SAML V2.0. Although the general artifact structure resembles that used in prior versions of SAML and the type code of the single format described below does not conflict with previously defined formats, there is explicitly no correspondence between SAML V2.0 artifacts and those found in any previous specifications, and artifact formats not defined specifically for use with SAML V2.0 MUST NOT be used with this binding.

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved.

E6: Clarify Constraints on Encrypted NameID

Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1 at line 2139 to clarify that, if encrypted name identifiers are chosen, no further description of the type of name identifier will be available in SAML messages..

272 New:

The special Format value urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted indicates that the resulting assertion(s) MUST contain <EncryptedID> elements instead of plaintext. The underlying name identifier's unencrypted form can be of any type supported by the identity provider for the requested subject. It is not possible for the service provider to specifically request that a particular kind of identifier be returned if it asks for encryption. The <md:NameIDFormat> metadata element (see [SAMLMeta]) or other out-of-band means MAY be used to determine what kind of identifier to encrypt and return.

E7: Metadata for Agreeing to Sign Authentication Requests

Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.3 at line 710, 741-742, and 744-747 to remove ambiguity about how to accomplish signing when the IdP SSO descriptor includes the setting WantAuthnRequestsSigned and the SP SSO descriptor includes the setting AuthnRequestsSigned.

284 New at line 710:

The WantAuthnRequestsSigned attribute is intended to indicate to service providers whether or not they can expect an unsigned <AuthnRequest> message to be accepted by the identity provider. The identity provider is not obligated to reject unsigned requests nor is a service provider obligated to sign its requests, although it might reasonably expect an unsigned request will be rejected. In some cases, a service provider may not even know which identity provider will ultimately receive and respond to its requests, so the use of this attribute in such a case cannot be strictly defined.

Furthermore, note that the specific method of signing that would be expected is binding dependent. The HTTP Redirect binding (see [SAMLBind]) requires that the signature be applied to the URL-encoded value rather than placed within the XML message, while other bindings generally permit the signature to be within the message in the usual fashion.

The following schema fragment defines the <IDPSSODescriptor> element and its IDPSSODescriptorType complex type:

New at lines 741-742:

Optional attribute that indicates whether the <samlp:AuthnRequest> messages sent by this service provider will be signed. If omitted, the value is assumed to be false. A value of false (or omission of this attribute) does not imply that the service provider will never sign its requests or that a signed request should be considered an error. However, an identity provider that receives an unsigned <samlp:AuthnRequest> message from a service provider whose metadata contains this attribute with a value of true MUST return a SAML error response and MUST NOT fulfill the request.

New at lines 744-747:

Optional attribute that indicates a requirement for the <saml:Assertion> elements received by this service provider to be signed. If omitted, the value is assumed to be false. This requirement is in addition to any requirement for signing derived from the use of a particular profile/binding combination. Note that an enclosing signature at the SAML binding or protocol layer does not suffice to meet this requirement, for example signing a <samlp:Response> containing the assertion(s) or a TLS connection.

E8: SLO and NamelD Termination

Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3 at lines 2479-2480 to clarify the rules around SP single logout behavior when a name identifier has been terminated.

315 Original:

The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated. It can choose to invalidate the active session(s) of a principal for whom a relationship has been terminated.

New:

316

317 318

319

320

321

322

323 324

325

326 327

328

329

330

331

332

333

335

336

337

338

350

354

355 356

357

358

359 360

361

The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated. In general it SHOULD NOT invalidate any active session(s) of the principal for whom the relationship has been terminated. If the receiving provider is an identity provider, it SHOULD NOT invalidate any active session(s) of the principal established with other service providers. A requesting provider MAY send a <LogoutRequest> message prior to initiating a name identifier termination by sending a <ManageNameIDRequest> message if that is the requesting provider's intent (e.g., the name identifier termination is initiated via an administrator who wished to terminate all user activity). The requesting provider MUST NOT send a <LogoutRequest> message after the <ManageNameIDRequest> message is sent.

E10: Logout Request Reason Mismatch with Schema

Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1 at line 2540 to resolve an apparent conflict between the specification text and the schema. (Note that although in this case the schema could have been more specific, text in SAML specifications is allowed to impose further restrictions on syntactic constraints imposed by a schema, and this technique has been used here to resolve the issue without a substantive change.)

334

An indication of the reason for the logout, in the form of a URI reference. The Reason attribute is specified as a string in the schema. This specification further restricts the schema by requiring that the Reason attribute MUST be in the form of a URI reference.

E11: Improperly Labeled Feature

Change [SAMLConf] in Section 3.2 (Table 2) to make the labels in feature rows 6 through 9 consistent. 339

Original labels: 340

- Name Identifier Management, HTTP Redirect (IdP-initiated) 341 Name Identifier Management, SOAP (IdP-initiated) 342 Name Identifier Management, HTTP Redirect 343
- 344 Name Identifier Management, SOAP

New labels: 345

Name Identifier Management (IdP-Initiated), HTTP Redirect 346 Name Identifier Management (IdP-Initiated), SOAP 347 Name Identifier Management (SP-Initiated), HTTP Redirect 348 Name Identifier Management (SP-Initiated), SOAP 349

E12: Clarification on ManageNameIDRequest

Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.6 at lines 2412-2413 and 2438, and change [SAMLProf] Section 4.5 at 351 lines 1320-1321, to remove incorrect implications that the name identifier format can be changed in the 352 course of the protocol. 353

New [SAMLCore] at lines 2412-2413:

After establishing a name identifier for a principal, an identity provider wishing to change the value and/orformat of the identifier that it will use when referring to the principal, or to indicate that a name identifier will no longer be used to refer to the principal, informs service providers of the change by sending them a <ManageNameIDRequest> message.

New [SAMLCore] at line 2438:

If the requester is the identity provider, the new value will appear in subsequent <NameID> elements as the element's content. In either case, if the <NewEncryptedID> is used, its encrypted content is just a

19 Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved. 20

362 <NewID> element containing only the new value for the identifier (format and qualifiers cannot be changed once established). 363

364 New [SAMLProf] at lines 1320-23121:

> Subsequently, the identity provider may wish to notify the service provider of a change in the format and/orvalue that it will use to identify the same principal in the future.

E13: Inaccurate Description of Authorization Decision

Change [SAMLCore] Section 2 at lines 357-358 to complete the list of potential results from an 368 authorization decision. 369

370 New:

365

366

367

371

372

373

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387 388

389

390

391

392

393 394 395

396

397 398

399

400 401

402

403 404 405

406

407 408

409

410 411

Authorization Decision: A request to allow the assertion subject to access the specified resource has been granted or denied or is indeterminate.

E14: AllowCreate

374 Change [SAMLCore] at lines 2123-2129, 2130, 2143-2147, 2419-2420, and 2480, and change [SAMLProf] at lines 521-524, to clarify the semantics of AllowCreate. 375

Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1, lines 2123-2129: 376

> A Boolean value used to indicate whether the identity provider is allowed, in the course of fulfilling the request, to create a new identifier to represent the principal. Defaults to "false". When "false", the requester constrains the identity provider to only issue an assertion to it if an acceptable identifier for the principal has already been established. Note that this does not prevent the identity provider from creating such identifiers outside the context of this specific request (for example, in advance for a large number of principals).

New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1, lines 2123-2129:

A Boolean value used to indicate whether the requester grants to the identity provider, in the course of fulfilling the request, permission to create a new identifier or to associate an existing identifier representing the principal with the relying party. Defaults to "false" if not present or the entire element is omitted.

New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1, line 2130 (just after the above changes):

The AllowCreate attribute may be used by some deployments to influence the creation of state maintained by the identity provider pertaining to the use of a name identifier (or any other persistent, uniquely identifying attributes) by a particular relying party, for purposes such as dynamic identifier or attribute creation, tracking of consent, subsequent use of the Name Identifier Management protocol (see Section 3.6), or other related purposes.

When "false", the requester tries to constrain the identity provider to issue an assertion only if such state has already been established or is not deemed applicable by the identity provider to the use of an identifier. Thus, this does not prevent the identity provider from assuming such information exists outside the context of this specific request (for example, establishing it in advance for a large number of principals).

A value of "true" permits the identity provider to take any related actions it wishes to fulfill the request, subject to any other constraints imposed by the request and policy (the IsPassive attribute, for example).

Generally, requesters cannot assume specific behavior from identity providers regarding the initial creation or association of identifiers on their behalf, as these are details left to implementations or deployments. Absent specific profiles governing the use of this attribute, it might be used as a hint to identity providers about the requester's intention to store the identifier or link it to a local value.

A value of "false" might be used to indicate that the requester is not prepared or able to do so and save the identity provider wasted effort.

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04

412 413 414

Requesters that do not make specific use of this attribute SHOULD generally set it to "true" to maximize interoperability.

415 416

417

The use of the AllowCreate attribute MUST NOT be used and SHOULD be ignored in conjunction with requests for or assertions issued with name identifiers with a Format of

418 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:transient (they preclude any such state in and of themselves). 419

Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6, lines 2419-2420: 420

> A service provider also uses this message to register or change the SPProvidedID value to be included when the underlying name identifier is used to communicate with it, or to terminate the use of a name identifier between itself and the identity provider.

423 424 425

421

422

Note that this protocol is typically not used with "transient" name identifiers, since their value is not intended to be managed on a long-term basis.

426 427

New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6, lines 2419-2420:

428 429 430 A service provider also uses this message to register or change the SPProvidedID value to be included when the underlying name identifier is used to communicate with it, or to terminate the use of a name identifier between itself and the identity provider.

431 432

This protocol MUST NOT be used in conjunction with the urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameidformat:transient <NameID> Format.

433 434

New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3, line 2480 (note that E8 and E55 specify additional changes to the original text shown here):

435 436 437

> 438 439

If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in the case of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the case of an identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider about the principal. The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated. It can choose to invalidate the active session(s) of a principal for whom a relationship has been terminated.

444

445

If the receiving provider is maintaining state associated with the name identifier, such as the value of the identifier itself (in the case of a pair-wise identifier), an SPProvidedID value, the sender's consent to the identifier's creation/use, etc., then the receiver can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated.

Any subsequent operations performed by the receiver on behalf of the sender regarding the principal (for example, a subsequent <AuthnRequest>) SHOULD be carried out in a manner consistent with the absence of any previous state.

Termination is potentially the cleanup step for any state management behavior triggered by the use of the AllowCreate attribute in the Authentication Request protocol (see Section 3.4). Deployments that do not make use of that attribute are likely to avoid the use of the <Terminate> element or would treat it as a purely advisory matter.

455 456 457

458

454

Note that in most cases (a notable exception being the rules surrounding the SPProvidedID attribute), there are no requirements on either identity providers or service providers regarding the creation or use of persistent state. Therefore, no explicit behavior is mandated when the <Terminate> element is received. However, if persistent state is present pertaining to the use of an identifier (such as if an SPProvidedID attribute was attached), the <Terminate> element provides a clear indication that this state SHOULD be deleted (or marked as obsolete in some fashion).

463

Original at [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.1, lines 521-524:

464 465 466 If the identity provider cannot or will not satisfy the request, it MUST respond with a <Response> message containing an appropriate error status code or codes.

467 468

If the service provider wishes to permit the identity provider to establish a new identifier for the principal if none exists, it MUST include a <NameIDPolicy> element with the AllowCreate attribute

- set to "true". Otherwise, only a principal for whom the identity provider has previously established an identifier usable by the service provider can be authenticated successfully.
- 471 New at [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.1, lines 521-524:
- If the identity provider cannot or will not satisfy the request, it MUST respond with a <Response> message containing an appropriate error status code or codes.
- This profile does not provide any guidelines for the use of AllowCreate; see [SAMLCore] for normative rules on using AllowCreate.

E15: NameID Policy Adherence

- Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1 at line 2139 to clarify that the expressed name identifier policy must be adhered to.
- 480 New (note that E6 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

The special Format value urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted indicates that the resulting assertion(s) MUST contain <EncryptedID> elements instead of plaintext. The underlying name identifier's unencrypted form can be of any type supported by the identity provider for the requested subject.

When a Format defined in Section Error: Reference source not found8.3 other than urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:unspecified or urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted is used, then if the identity provider returns any assertions:

- the Format value of the <NameID> within the <Subject> of any <Assertion> MUST be identical to the Format value supplied in the <NameIDPolicy>, and
- if SPNameQualifier is not omitted in <NameIDPolicy>, the SPNameQualifier value of the <NameID> within the <Subject> of any <Assertion> MUST be identical to the SPNameQualifier value supplied in the <NameIDPolicy>.

E17: Authentication Response IssuerName vs. Assertion IssuerName

- Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.2 at lines 541-543 to accurately reflect the conditions under which issuer information is required and how issuer information at the different levels must correlate.
- 501 Original:

477

478

479

481 482

483 484

485 486

487

488 489

490 491

492 493 494

495

496

497

498

499

500

502

503

504

506

507

508 509

510

511

The <Issuer> element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.

505 New:

If the <Response> message is signed or if an enclosed assertion is encrypted, then the <Issuer> element MUST be present. Otherwise it MAY be omitted. If present it MUST contain the unique identifier of the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.

E18: Reference to Identity Provider Discovery Service in ECP Profile

- Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.2 at lines 725-726 to remove the incorrect implication that an ECP is a direct participant in the identity provider discovery profile.
- 514 New:

In step 3, the ECP obtains the location of an endpoint at an identity provider for the authentication request protocol that supports its preferred binding. The means by which this is accomplished is implementation-dependent. The ECP MAY use the SAML identity provider discovery profile described in Section 4.3.

E19: Clarification on Error Processing

Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.2.2.1 at lines 310-317 and Section 3.2.3.3 at line 378 to clarify SAML error processing and its relationship to SOAP error processing.

Original at Section 3.2.2.1, lines 310-317:

The SAML responder MUST return either a SAML response element within the body of another SOAP message or generate a SOAP fault. The SAML responder MUST NOT include more than one SAML response per SOAP message or include any additional XML elements in the SOAP body. If a SAML responder cannot, for some reason, process a SAML request, it MUST generate a SOAP fault. SOAP fault codes MUST NOT be sent for errors within the SAML problem domain, for example, inability to find an extension schema or as a signal that the subject is not authorized to access a resource in an authorization query. (SOAP 1.1 faults and fault codes are discussed in [SOAP11] Section 4.1.)

New at Section 3.2.2.1, lines 310-317:

The SAML responder **SHOULD** return a **SOAP** message containing either a **SAML** response element in the body or a **SOAP** fault. The SAML responder MUST NOT include more than one SAML response per SOAP message or include any additional XML elements in the SOAP body. SOAP fault codes **SHOULD** NOT be sent for errors within the SAML problem domain, for example, inability to find an extension schema or as a signal that the subject is not authorized to access a resource in an authorization query. **See Section 3.2.3.3 for more information about error handling.** (SOAP 1.1 faults and fault codes are discussed in [SOAP11] Section 4.1.)

Original at Section 3.2.3.3, line 378:

In the case of a SAML processing error, the SOAP HTTP server MUST respond with "200 OK" and include a SAML-specified <samlp:Status> element in the SAML response within the SOAP body.

540 New at Section 3.2.3.3. line 378:

In the case of a SAML processing error, the SOAP HTTP server **SHOULD** respond with "200 OK" and include a SAML-specified <samlp:Status> element in the SAML response within the SOAP body.

E20: ECP SSO Profile and Metadata

Change [SAMLProf] at line 1081 to add a new subsection, Section 4.2.6, in order to add metadata considerations to the ECP profile.

546 New (small portion of previous subsection shown):

The ECP SHOULD be authenticated to the identity provider, such as by maintaining an authenticated session. Any HTTP exchanges subsequent to the delivery of the <AuthnRequest> message and before the identity provider returns a <Response> MUST be securely associated with the original request.

4.2.6 Use of Metadata

The rules specified in the browser SSO profile in Section 4.1.6 apply here as well. Specifically, the indexed endpoint element <md:AssertionConsumerService> with a binding of urn:oasis:namees:tc:SAML:2.0:bindings:PAOS MAY be used to describe the supported binding and location(s) to which an identity provider may send responses to a service provider using this profile. IN addition, the endpoint <md:SingleSignOnService> with a binding of urn:oasis:namees:tc:SAML:2.0:bindings:SOAP MAY be used to describe the supported binding and location(s) to which an service provider may send requests to an identity provider using this profile.

E21: PAOS Version

Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.3.3 at line 474 to clarify the PAOS version required. New: 562

• The HTTP PAOS Header field MUST be present and specify the PAOS version with "urn:liberty:paos:2003-08" at a minimum.

E22: Error in Profile/ECP

Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.4.1 at line 907 to refer to the **AssertionConsumerServiceURL** attribute 566 rather than the AssertionServiceConsumerURL attribute. This was a typographical error. 567

E24: HTTPS in URI Binding

569 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.7 at lines 1349-1351 to make the HTTP support requirements more appropriate in the context of the URI binding. 570

571 Original:

561

563 564

565

568

572

573

574

576

577

578

579

580

582 583 584

586 587 588

589

590 591

592 593 594

595 596

597

598 599

600

601

602

603 604

605

606

Like SOAP, URI resolution can occur over multiple underlying transports. This binding has transportindependent aspects, but also calls out the use of HTTP with SSL 3.0 [SSL3] or TLS 1.0 [RFC2246] as REQUIRED (mandatory to implement).

New: 575

> Like SOAP, URI resolution can occur over multiple underlying transports. This binding has protocolindependent aspects, but also calls out as mandatory the implementation of HTTP URIs.

E25: Metadata Feature in Conformance

Change [SAMLConf] in Section 3.2 (Tables 2 and 4) to add feature rows, and at line 231 to add two subsections, Sections 3.6 and 3.7, in order to reflect conformance aspects of the SAML metadata feature.

New in Table 2: 581

Feature	IdP	IdP Lite	SP	SP Lite	ECP
Metadata Structures	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT	N/A
Metadata Interoperation	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT	N/A

New in Table 4: 585

Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
Metadata Structures	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT
Metadata Interoperation	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT

New at line 231 (small portion of previous subsection shown):

If a SAML authority uses SSL 3.0 or TLS 1.0. it MUST use a server-side certificate.

3.6 Metadata Structures

Implementations claiming conformance to SAML V2.0 may declare each operational mode's conformance to SAML V2.0 Metadata [SAMLMeta] through election of the Metadata Structures option.

With respect to each operational mode, such conformance entails the following:

- Implementing SAML metadata according to the extensible SAML V2.0 Metadata format in all cases where an interoperating peer has the option, as stated in SAML V2.0 specifications, of depending on the existence of SAML V2.0 Metadata. Electing the Metadata Structures option has the effect of requiring that such metadata be available to the interoperating peer. The Metadata Interoperation feature, described below, provides a means of satisfying this requirement.
- Referencing, consuming, and adhering to the SAML metadata, according to [SAMLMeta], of an

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04

20 October 2009

interoperating peer when the known metadata relevant to that peer and the particular operation, and the current exchange, has expired or is no longer valid in cache, provided the metadata is available and is not prohibited by policy or the particular operation and that specific exchange.

3.7 Metadata Interoperation

Election of the Metadata Interoperation option requires the implementation to offer, in addition to any other mechanism, the well-known location publication and resolution mechanism described in the SAML metadata specification [SAMLMeta].

E26: Ambiguities Around Multiple Assertions and Statements in the SSO Profile

Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.2 at lines 541-572, Section 4.1.4.3 at lines 576-591, and Section 4.1.4.5 at lines 600-601 to resolve ambiguities around the usage of multiple assertions and multiple statements within an assertion in the SSO profile.

Original at Section 4.1.4.2, lines 541-572:

- The <Issuer> element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of the
 issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of
 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.
- It MUST contain at least one <assertion>. Each assertion's <Issuer> element MUST contain the
 unique identifier of the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value
 of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.
- The set of one or more assertions MUST contain at least one <AuthnStatement> that reflects
 the authentication of the principal to the identity provider.
- At least one assertion containing an <AuthnStatement> MUST contain a <Subject> element with at least one <SubjectConfirmation> element containing a Method of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer. If the identity provider supports the Single Logout profile, defined in Section 4.4, any such authentication statements MUST include a SessionIndex attribute to enable per-session logout requests by the service provider.
- The bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element described above MUST contain a <SubjectConfirmationData> element that contains a Recipient attribute containing the service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a NotOnOrAfter attribute that limits the window during which the assertion can be delivered. It MAY contain an Address attribute limiting the client address from which the assertion can be delivered. It MUST NOT contain a NotBefore attribute. If the containing message is in response to an <AuthnRequest>, then the InResponseTo attribute MUST match the request's ID.
- Other statements and confirmation methods MAY be included in the assertion(s) at the discretion of the identity provider. In particular, <a href="https://documents.org/length="https://
- The assertion(s) containing a bearer subject confirmation MUST contain an
 AudienceRestriction including the service provider's unique identifier as an Audience.
- Other conditions (and other <Audience> elements) MAY be included as requested by the service
 provider or at the discretion of the identity provider. (Of course, all such conditions MUST be understood
 by and accepted by the service provider in order for the assertion to be considered valid.) The identity
 provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of <Conditions> in the <AuthnRequest>, if any.
- The identity provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of <Conditions> in the <AuthnRequest>, if any.

New at Section 4.1.4.2, lines 541-572 (note that E17 specifies additional changes to the first bullet item shown here):

31 sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04

- The <Issuer> element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.
- It MUST contain at least one <assertion>. Each assertion's <Issuer> element MUST contain the unique identifier of the responding identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity. Note that this profile assumes a single responding identity provider, and all assertions in a response MUST be issued by the same entity.
- If multiple assertions are included, then each assertion's <Subject> element MUST refer to the same principal. It is allowable for the content of the <Subject> elements to differ (e.g. using different <NameID> or alternative <SubjectConfirmation> elements).
- Any assertion issued for consumption using this profile MUST contain a <Subject> element with at least one <SubjectConfirmation> element containing a Method of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer. Such an assertion is termed a bearer assertion. Bearer assertions MAY contain additional <SubjectConfirmation> elements.
- Assertions without a bearer <SubjectConfirmation> MAY also be included; processing of additional assertions or <SubjectConfirmation> elements is outside the scope of this profile.
- At lease one bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element MUST contain a
 <SubjectConfirmationData> element that itself MUST contain a Recipient attribute
 containing the service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a NotOnOrAfter
 attribute that limits the window during which the assertion can be [PE52]confirmed by the relying
 party. It MAY also contain an Address attribute limiting the client address from which the
 assertion can be delivered. It MUST NOT contain a NotBefore attribute. If the containing
 message is in response to an <AuthnRequest>, then the InResponseTo attribute MUST
 match the request's ID.
- The set of one or more bearer assertions MUST contain at least one <AuthnStatement> that
 reflects the authentication of the principal to the identity provider. Multiple <AuthnStatement>
 elements MAY be included, but the semantics of multiple statements is not defined by this profile.
- If the identity provider supports the Single Logout profile, defined in Section Error: Reference source not found, any authentication statements MUST include a SessionIndex attribute to enable per-session logout requests by the service provider.
- Other statements MAY be included in the bearer assertion(s) at the discretion of the identity provider. In
 particular, AttributeStatement elements MAY be included. The AuthnRequest MAY contain
 an AttributeConsumingServiceIndex XML attribute referencing information about desired or
 required attributes in [SAMLMeta]. The identity provider MAY ignore this, or send other attributes at its
 discretion.
- Each bearer assertion MUST contain an <AudienceRestriction> including the service provider's unique identifier as an <Audience>.
- Other conditions (and other <Audience> elements) MAY be included as requested by the service
 provider or at the discretion of the identity provider. (Of course, all such conditions MUST be understood
 by and accepted by the service provider in order for the assertion to be considered valid.) The identity
 provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of <Conditions> in the <AuthnRequest>, if any.
- The identity provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of <Conditions> in the <AuthnRequest>, if any.

Original at Section 4.1.4.3, lines 576-591:

- Verify that the Recipient attribute in any bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> matches the assertion consumer service URL to which the <Response> or artifact was delivered
- Verify that the NotOnOrAfter attribute in any bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> has not passed, subject to allowable clock skew between the providers

- 709 • Verify that the InResponseTo attribute in the bearer < SubjectConfirmationData > equals the ID of 710 its original <AuthnRequest> message, unless the response is unsolicited (see Section 4.1.5), in which case the attribute MUST NOT be present 711
 - · Verify that any assertions relied upon are valid in other respects.
- If any bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> includes an Address attribute, the service provider MAY 713 check the user agent's client address against it. 714
 - Any assertion which is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the principal.
 - If an <AuthnStatement> used to establish a security context for the principal contains a SessionNotOnOrAfter attribute, the security context SHOULD be discarded once this time is reached. unless the service provider reestablishes the principal's identity by repeating the use of this profile.

New at Section 4.1.4.3, lines 576-591: 720

712

715

716

717

718

719

721 722

723 724

725 726

727 728

729

730

731 732

733

734

736 737

738

739

740

741

742

744

746

747 748

749

752

- Verify that the Recipient attribute in the bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> matches the assertion consumer service URL to which the <Response> or artifact was delivered
- Verify that the NotOnOrAfter attribute in the bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> has not passed, subject to allowable clock skew between the providers
- Verify that the InResponseTo attribute in the bearer < SubjectConfirmationData > equals the ID of its original <AuthnRequest> message, unless the response is unsolicited (see Section 4.1.5), in which case the attribute MUST NOT be present
- Verify that any assertions relied upon are valid in other respects. Note that while multiple bearer <SubjectConfirmation> elements may be present, the successful evaluation of a single such element in accordance with this profile is sufficient to confirm an assertion. However, each assertion, if more than one is present, MUST be evaluated independently.
- If any the bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> includes an Address attribute, the service provider MAY check the user agent's client address against it. 735
 - · Any assertion which is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the principal.
 - If an <AuthnStatement> used to establish a security context for the principal contains a SessionNotOnOrAfter attribute, the security context SHOULD be discarded once this time is reached, unless the service provider reestablishes the principal's identity by repeating the use of this profile. Note that if multiple <AuthnStatement> elements are present, the SessionNotOnOrAfter value closest to the present time SHOULD be honored.
- Original at Section 4.1.4.5, lines 600-601: 743
 - If the HTTP POST binding is used to deliver the <Response>, the enclosed assertion(s) MUST be signed.
- 745 New at Section 4.1.4.5, lines 600-601:
 - If the HTTP POST binding is used to deliver the <Response>, each assertion MUST be protected by a digital signature. This can be accomplished by signing each individual <assertion> element or by signing the <Response> element.

E27: Incorrect Step Number in ECP Profile

Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.4.3 at line 947 to change the reference to the step number from 5 to 7. 750 751 This was a typographical error.

E28: Profile Labeling in Conformance

Change [SAMLConf] Section 2 at Table 1 to make its labeling and categorization of profiles more 753 consistent. 754

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04 35 Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved. 36

- 755 Combine the profile rows labeled Artifact Resolution, Authentication Query, Attribute Query, and
- 756 Authorization Decision Query into a single profile row labeled Assertion Query/Request in column 1,
- vith the breakdown of these four protocol types moved to column 2 (message flows) for that row.
- 758 Remove the profile rows labeled **SAML URI binding** and **Metadata**.

E29: Incomplete Listing of Features in Conformance

760 Change [SAMLConf] Section 3.2 at Table 2 to include missing feature rows. New:

761	Feature	IdP	IdP Lite	SP	SP Lite	ECP
762	Request for Assertion by Identifier	OPT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
763	SAML URI Binding	OPT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E30: Key Replacement

- 765 Change [SAMLCore] Section 6.1 at line 3110 to improve wording around key replacement. Original:
- Encrypted data and **optionally one** or more encrypted keys MUST replace the plaintext information in the same location within the XML instance.
- 768 New:

759

764

771

Encrypted data and **zero** or more encrypted keys MUST replace the plaintext information in the same location within the XML instance.

E31: Various Minor Errors in Binding

- 772 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.3.5 at line 511, Section 3.5.3 at line 785, and Section 3.6.5 at lines 1136
- and 1397 to clean up various minor wording errors.
- At Section 3.3.5, line 511, capitalize the word **RECOMMENDED**.
- 775 Original at Section 3.5.3, line 785:
- If no such **value** is included with a SAML request message, or if the SAML response message is being generated without a corresponding request ...
- 778 New at Section 3.5.3, line 785:
- If no such **RelayState data** is included with a SAML request message, or if the SAML response message is being generated without a corresponding request ...
- 781 Original at Section 3.6.5, line 1136:
- The SAML requester determines the SAML responder by examining the artifact, and issues a <samlp:ArtifactResolve> request containing the artifact to the SAML responder using a direct SAML binding, as in step 3.
- 785 New at Section 3.6.5. line 1136:
- The SAML requester determines the SAML responder by examining the artifact, and issues a <samlp:ArtifactResolve> request containing the artifact to the SAML responder using a synchronous SAML binding, as in step 3.
- 789 Original at Section 3.6.5, line 1397:
- Note that the use of wildcards is not allowed for on such queries.
- 791 New at Section 3.6.5, line 1397:
- Note that the URI syntax does not support the use of wildcards in such ID queries.

E32: Missing Required Information in Profiles

Change [SAMLProf] at line 1092. New subsection added at line 1092 as Section 4.3.1, incrementing the 794 subsection numbers of the existing Sections 4.3.1 through 4.3.3: 795

4.3.1 Required Information

797 Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:idp-discovery

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

Description: Given below.

Updates: None. 800

793

796

798

799

801

805

806

807

808

809

810

812

813

814

815

816

817 818

819

820

822

823

824

828

833

40

E33: References to Assertion Request Protocol

Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.3 at line 700, Section 2.4.5 at line 838, Section 2.4.6 at line 871, and 802 803

Section 2.4.7 at line 904 to change references to the Assertion Request protocol to Assertion

Query/Request. This is just a typographical error. 804

E34: RequestedAttribute Section Heading

Change [SAMLMeta] at line 809 to make the Section 2.4.4.2 heading be a level below, at 2.4.4.1.1, for consistency in reflecting element nesting in the document outline.

E35: Response Consumer URL Rules and Example

Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.4.1 at lines 906-908, and Section 4.2.4.3 at line 964, to make the example conform to the rules for a response consumer URL and explain these rules more clearly.

Original at Section 4.2.4.1, lines 906-908: 811

> Specifies where the ECP is to send an error response. Also used to verify the correctness of the identity provider's response, by cross checking this location against the AssertionServiceConsumerURL in the ECP response header block. This value MUST be the same as the AssertionServiceConsumerURL (or the URL referenced in metadata) conveyed in the <AuthnRequest>.

New at lines Section 4.2.4.1, 906-908:

Specifies where the ECP is to send an error response. Also used to verify the correctness of the identity provider's response, by cross checking this location against the AssertionConsumerServiceURL in the ECP response header block. This value MUST be the same as the AssertionServiceConsumerURL (or the URL referenced in metadata) conveyed in the <AuthnRequest> and SHOULD NOT be a relative URL.

Original at Section 4.2.4.3, line 964: 821

```
<paos:Request xmlns:paos="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08"</pre>
  responseConsumerURL="http://identity-service.example.com/abc"
```

New at Section 4.2.4.3, line 964:

```
<paos:Request xmlns:paos="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08"</pre>
825
826
            responseConsumerURL="
         https://ServiceProvider.example.com/ecp assertion consumer"
827
```

E36: Clarification on Action Element

Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.7.4.2 at lines 1359-1363 to remove the incorrect specification text that 829 says the action namespace is optional (the schema mandates it, and in cases of diagreement, the 830 schema takes precedence). 831

Original: 832

Namespace [Optional]

A URI reference representing the namespace in which the name of the specified action is to be interpreted.

If this element is absent, the namespace urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwedc-negation
specified in Section 8.1.2 is in effect.

837 New:

838

839

840

843

844

846

847

848

849

854 855

856

857 858

859

860

862

863 864

865

866

867

868

869

Namespace [Required]

A URI reference representing the namespace in which the name of the specified action is to be interpreted.

E37: Clarification in Metadata on Indexed Endpoints

- Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.2.3 at line 272 to clarify what it means for two endpoints to be "like".
- 842 Original:
 - In any such sequence of **like** endpoints **based on this type**, the default endpoint is the first such endpoint with the isDefault attribute set to true.
- 845 New:

In any such sequence of indexed endpoints that share a common element name and namespace (i.e. all instances of <md:AssertionConsumerService> within a role), the default endpoint is the first such endpoint with the isDefault attribute set to true.

E38: Clarification Regarding Index on <LogoutRequest>

Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1 at line 2546 and [SAMLProf] Section 4.4.4.1 at lines 1302-1304 to clarify requirements around session indexes in logout requests.

Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1, line 2546:

853 <SessionIndex>[Optional]

The identifier that indexes this session at the message recipient.

New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1, line 2546:

<SessionIndex>[Optional]

The index of the session between the principal identified by the <saml:BaseID>, <saml:NameID>, or <saml:EncryptedID> element, and the session authority. This must correlate to the SessionIndex attribute, if any, in the <saml:AuthnStatement> of the assertion used to establish the session that is being terminated.

861 New at [SAMLProf] Section 4.4.4.1, lines 1302-1304:

If the requester is a session participant, it MUST include at least one SessionIndex> element in the
request. (Note that the session participant always receives a SessionIndex attribute in the <saml:AuthnStatement> elements that it receives to initiate the session, per Section 4.1.4.2 of the Web Browser SSO Profile.) If the requester is a session authority (or acting on its behalf), then it MAY omit any such elements to indicate the termination of all of the principal's applicable sessions.

E39: Error in SAML Profile Example

Note: E39 corrects text in a section that is affected by E53, which deprecates the entire section. Please see E53 for details.

Change [SAMLProf] Section 8.5.6 at lines 2095-2098 to move the ldapprof: Encoding attribute to the correct location.

872 Original:

41 sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-0442 Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved.

882 **New**:

```
883
         <saml:Attribute</pre>
884
          xmlns:xacmlprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:XACML"
          xmlns:ldapprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:LDAP"
885
          xacmlprof:DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
886
          NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
887
888
          Name="urn:oid:2.5.4.42" FriendlyName="givenName">
           <saml:AttributeValue xsi:type="xs:string"</pre>
889
          ldapprof:Encoding="LDAP">By-Tor</saml:AttributeValue>
890
891
         </saml:Attribute>
```

E40: Holder of Key

Change [SAMLProf] Section 3.1 at lines 335-337 to align the description of Holder of Key in the profiles specification with the language in the core specification.

895 Original:

892

893

894

896

897

898

900

901 902

903 904

905

906 907

908

909 910

911

912 913

914

As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables an application to obtain a key. The holder of a specified key is considered to be **the subject of** the assertion by the asserting party.

899 New (note that E47 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables an application to obtain a key. The holder of a specified key is considered to be an acceptable attesting entity for the assertion by the asserting party.

E41: EndpointType ResponseLocation Clarification in Metadata

Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.2.2 at line 242 to clarify correct behavior when the response location is omitted from the metadata.

New:

The ResponseLocation attribute is used to enable different endpoints to be specified for receiving request and response messages associated with a protocol or profile, not as a means of load-balancing or redundancy (multiple elements of this type can be included for this purpose). When a role contains an element of this type pertaining to a protocol or profile for which only a single type of message (request or response) is applicable, then the ResponseLocation attribute is unused. If the ResponseLocation attribute is omitted, any response messages associated with a protocol or profile may be assumed to be handled at the URI indicated by the Location attribute.

E42: Match Authorities to Queries in Conformance

Change [SAMLConf] Section 3.2 at Table 4 to indicate more precisely the relationship between SAML authorities and queries for types of assertion statements that those authorities do not specialize in producing.

918 Original:

919	Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
920	Authentication Query, SOAP	MUST	OPT	OPT	OPT
921	Attribute Query, SOAP	OPT	MUST	OPT	OPT
922	Authorization Decision Query, SOAP	OPT	OPT	MUST	OPT

New:

924	Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
925	Authentication Query, SOAP	MUST	N/A	N/A	OPT
926	Attribute Query, SOAP	N/A	MUST	N/A	OPT
927	Authorization Decision Query, SOAP	N/A	N/A	MUST	OPT

E43: Key Location in saml:EncryptedData

Change [SAMLCore] at line 3116 by replacing the existing Section 6.2 with new Sections 6.2 and 6.3 to reflect correct application and usage of the XML Encryption standard and to add several examples to fully demonstrate this.

Original:

6.2 Combining Signatures and Encryption

Use of XML Encryption and XML Signature MAY be combined. When an assertion is to be signed and encrypted, the following rules apply. A relying party MUST perform signature validation and decryption in the reverse order that signing and encryption were performed.

- When a signed <assertion> element is encrypted, the signature MUST first be calculated and placed within the <assertion> element before the element is encrypted.
- When a <BaseID>, <NameID>, or <Attribute> element is encrypted, the encryption MUST be performed first and then the signature calculated over the assertion or message containing the encrypted element.

New:

6.2 Key and Data Referencing Guidelines

If an encrypted key is NOT included in the XML instance, then the relying party must be able to locally determine the decryption key, per [XMLEnc].

Implementations of SAML MAY implicitly associate keys with the corresponding data they are used to encrypt, through the positioning of xenc:EncryptedData> element, within the enclosing SAML parent element. However, the following set of explicit referencing guidelines are suggested to facilitate interoperability.

Within the <xenc:EncryptedData> element, the <ds:KeyName> can be thought of as an "alias" that is used for backwards referencing from the <xenc:CarriedKeyName> element in each individual <xenc:EncryptedKey> element. While this accommodates a "multicast" approach, each recipient must be able to understand (at least one) <ds:KeyName>. The Recipient attribute is used to provide a hint as to which key is meant for which recipient.

The SAML implementation has the discretion to accept or reject a message where multiple Recipient attributes or <ds:KeyName> elements are understood. It is RECOMMENDED that implementations simply use the first key they understand and ignore any additional keys.

```
6.3 Examples
972
973
         In the following example, the parent element (<EncryptedID>) contains <xenc:EncryptedData>
974
         and (referenced) <xenc: EncryptedKey> elements as siblings (note that the key can in fact be
975
         anywhere in the same instance, and the key references the xenc:EncryptedData> element):
976
                              xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
977
           <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"</pre>
978
              Id="Encrypted DATA ID"
              Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
979
980
              <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
981
             Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
982
              <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
983
                <ds:RetrievalMethod URI="#Encrypted KEY ID"</pre>
984
                Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#EncryptedKey"/>
985
              </ds:KeyInfo>
986
              <xenc:CipherData>
987
                <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...
988
              </xenc:CipherData>
989
           </re></re>
990
991
           <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"</pre>
992
              Id="Encrypted KEY ID">
993
              <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
994
             Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1 5"/>
995
             <xenc:CipherData>
996
              <xenc:CipherValue>PzA5X...
997
              </xenc:CipherData>
998
              <xenc:ReferenceList>
999
                <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted DATA ID"/>
1000
              </re>
1001
           </re>
1002
         In the following <EncryptedAttribute> example, the <xenc: EncryptedKey> element is contained
         within the <xenc: EncryptedData> element, so there is no explicit referencing:
1003
1004
         <saml:EncryptedAttribute</pre>
1005
           xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
1006
           <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"</pre>
1007
              Id="Encrypted DATA ID"
1008
              Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
1009
              <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
1010
                Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
1011
              <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
1012
                <xenc:EncryptedKey Id="Encrypted KEY ID">
1013
                  <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
1014
                    Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1 5"/>
1015
                  <xenc:CipherData>
1016
                    <xenc:CipherValue>SDFSDF... </xenc:CipherValue>
1017
                  </xenc:CipherData>
1018
                </re>
1019
              </ds:KeyInfo>
1020
              <xenc:CipherData>
1021
                <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...
1022
              </xenc:CipherData>
1023
           </re></re>
         </saml:EncryptedAttribute>
1024
         The final example shows an assertion encrypted for multiple recipients, using the
1025
1026
         <xenc:CarriedKeyName> approach:
1027
         <saml:EncryptedAssertion</pre>
1028
           xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
1029
           <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"</pre>
1030
              Id="Encrypted DATA ID"
```

```
Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
1031
1032
             <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
1033
               Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
1034
             <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
1035
               <ds:KeyName>MULTICAST_KEY_NAME</ds:KeyName>
1036
             </ds:KeyInfo>
             <xenc:CipherData>
1037
1038
               <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...
1039
             </xenc:CipherData>
1040
           </re></re>
1041
           <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"</pre>
1042
1043
             Id="Encrypted KEY ID 1" Recipient="https://sp1.org">
1044
             <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
1045
               Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
1046
             <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
1047
               <ds:KeyName>KEY NAME 1</ds:KeyName>
             </ds:KeyInfo>
1048
1049
             <xenc:CipherData>
1050
               <xenc:CipherValue>xyzABC...</xenc:CipherValue>
1051
             </xenc:CipherData>
1052
             <xenc:ReferenceList>
               <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted_DATA_ID"/>
1053
1054
             </re>
1055
1056
             <xenc:CarriedKeyName>MULTICAST KEY NAME</xenc:CarriedKeyName>
1057
           </re>
1058
1059
           <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"</pre>
1060
             Id="Encrypted KEY ID 2" Recipient="https://sp2.org">
1061
             <xenc:EncryptionMethod</pre>
               Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1 5"/>
1062
1063
             <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
1064
               <ds:KeyName>KEY NAME 2</ds:KeyName>
1065
             </ds:KeyInfo>
             <xenc:CipherData>
1066
1067
               <xenc:CipherValue>abcXYZ...
1068
             </xenc:CipherData>
1069
             <xenc:ReferenceList>
1070
               <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted DATA ID"/>
1071
             </re>
1072
1073
             <xenc:CarriedKeyName>MULTICAST KEY NAME</xenc:CarriedKeyName>
           </re>
1074
1075
         </saml:EncryptedAssertion>
```

E45: AuthnContext Comparison Order

1077 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.3.2.2.1 at lines 1815-1819 and 1826 to clarify the lack of orderedness in the comparison of a set of authentication contexts.

Original at Section 3.3.2.2.1, lines1815-1819:

Either a set of class references or a set of declaration references can be used. The set of supplied references MUST be evaluated as an ordered set, where the first element is the most preferred authentication context class or declaration. If none of the specified classes or declarations can be satisfied in accordance with the rules below, then the responder MUST return a <Response> message with a second-level <StatusCode> of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext.

New at Section 3.3.2.2.1, lines 1815-1819:

Either a set of class references or a set of declaration references can be used. **If ordering is relevant to the evaluation of the request, then the set of supplied references MUST be evaluated as an ordered set,**

1076

1079

1080 1081

1082 1083

1084

1085 1086

where the first element is the most preferred authentication context class or declaration. If none of the specified classes or declarations can be satisfied in accordance with the rules below, then the responder MUST return a <Response> message with a second-level <StatusCode> of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext. For example, ordering is significant when using this element in an <AuthnRequest> message but not in an <AuthnRequest> message.

1093 Original at Section 3.3.2.2.1, line 1826:

If Comparison is set to "better", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement MUST be stronger (as deemed by the responder) than **any** of the authentication contexts specified.

1096 New at Section 3.3.2.2.1, line 1826:

If Comparison is set to "better", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement MUST be stronger (as deemed by the responder) than **one** of the authentication contexts specified.

E46: Audience Restriction Clarifications

1100 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.5.1.4 at lines 924-925 to clarify the logical sense with respect to individual 1101 audience elements within an audience-restriction condition grouping.

1102 Original

1094

1095

1097 1098

1099

1103

1104

1105

1106

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1123

1124

1126

1127

1128

1131

1132

1133

Note that multiple <AudienceRestriction> elements MAY be included in a single assertion, and each MUST be evaluated independently. The effect of this requirement and the preceding definition is that within a given **condition**, the **audiences** form a disjunction (an "OR") while multiple **conditions** form a conjunction (an "AND").

1107 New:

Note that multiple <AudienceRestriction> elements MAY be included in a single assertion, and each MUST be evaluated independently. The effect of this requirement and the preceding definition is that within a given <AudienceRestrictions>, the <Audience> elements form a disjunction (an "OR") while multiple <AudienceRestrictions> elements form a conjunction (an "AND").

E47: Clarification on SubjectConfirmation

1113 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.4.1.1 at line 698, and change [SAMLProf] Section 3.1 at lines 336 and 341 and Section 3.3 at lines 361-363, in order to clarify behavior around the subject confirmation element and the intent of the embedded secondary identifier.

New at [SAMLCore] Section 2.4.1.1, line 698 (add text just before the schema listing introduction):

If the <subjectConfirmation> element in an assertion subject contains an identifier the issuer authorizes the attesting entity to wield the assertion on behalf of that subject. A relying party MAY apply additional constraints on the use of such an assertion at its discretion, based upon the identities of both the subject and the attesting entity.

If an assertion is issued for use by an entity other than the subject, then that entity SHOULD be identified in the <SubjectConfirmation> element.

The following schema fragment defines the <SubjectConfirmation> element and its SubjectConfirmationType complex type:

Original at [SAMLProf] Section 3.1, line 336:

As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables an application to obtain a key. The holder of **a specified key** is considered to be the subject of the assertion by the asserting party.

New at [SAMLProf] Section 3.1, line 336 (note that E40 specified additional changes to the original text shown here):

As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables an application to obtain a key. The holder of **one or more of the specified keys** is considered to be the subject of the assertion by the asserting party.

51 sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04 20 October 2009
52 Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved. Page 26 of 38

- New at [SAMLProf] Section 3.1, line 341 (add text just before the example):
- 1135 If the <SubjectConfirmation> element in an assertion subject contains an identifier the issuer
- authorizes the attesting entity to wield the assertion on behalf of that subject. A relying party MAY apply additional constraints on the use of such an assertion at its discretion, based upon the
- identities of both the subject and the attesting entity.
- If an assertion is issued for use by an entity other than the subject, then that entity SHOULD be
- identified in the <SubjectConfirmation> element.
- Example: The holder of the key named "By-Tor" or the holder of the key named "Snow Dog" can confirm itself as the subject.
- Original at [SAMLProf] Section 3.3, lines 361-363:
- The subject of the assertion is **the bearer of** the assertion, subject to optional constraints on confirmation using the attributes that MAY be present in the <SubjectConfirmationData> element, as defined by [SAMLCore].
- New at [SAMLProf] Section 3.3, lines 361-363:
- The subject of the assertion is **considered to be an acceptable attesting entity for** the assertion **by the**asserting party, subject to optional constraints on confirmation using the attributes that MAY be present in
 the <SubjectConfirmationData> element, as defined by [SAMLCore].
- If the intended bearer is known by the asserting party to be an entity other than the subject, then the asserting party SHOULD identify that entity to the relying party by including a SAML identifier representing it in the enclosing <subjectConfirmation> element.
- If multiple attesting entities are to be permitted to use the assertion based on bearer semantics, then multiple <SubjectConfirmation> elements SHOULD be included.

E48: Clarification on Encoding for Binary Values in LDAP Profile

Note: E48 corrects text in a section that is affected by E53, which deprecates the entire section. Please see E53 for details.

1159 Change [SAMLProf] at line 1762. Original:

For all other LDAP syntaxes, the attribute value is encoded, as the content of the AttributeValue element, by base64-encoding [RFC2045] the **encompassing** ASN.1 OCTET STRING-encoded LDAP attribute value. The xsi:type XML attribute MUST be set to xs:base64Binary. The profile-specific Encoding XML attribute is provided, with a value of "LDAP".

1164 New:

1156

1157

1158

1160 1161

1162

1163

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

For all other LDAP syntaxes, the attribute value is encoded, as the content of the AttributeValue element, by base64-encoding [RFC2045] the contents of the ASN.1 OCTET STRING-encoded LDAP attribute value (not including the ASN.1 OCTET STRING wrapper). The xsi:type XML attribute MUST be set to xs:base64Binary. The profile-specific Encoding XML attribute is provided, with a value of "LDAP".

E49: Clarification on Attribute Name Format

- 1171 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.7.3.1 at line 1217 to clarify the relationship between an attribute's NameFormat setting and its syntax.
- 1173 New (add text to the end of the definition of <attributeValue>):
- 1174 <AttributeValue> [Anv Number]
- 1175 Contains a value of the attribute. If an attribute contains more than one discrete value, it is
- 1176 RECOMMENDED that each value appear in its own <attributeValue> element. If more than one
- 1177 AttributeValue element is supplied for an attribute, and any of the elements have a datatype
- assigned through xsi:type, then all of the <attributeValue> elements must have the identical
- 1179 datatype assigned.

53 sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04

- Attributes are identified/named by the combination of the NameFormat and Name XML attributes described above. Neither one in isolation can be assumed to be unique, but taken together, they ought to be unambiguous within a given deployment.
- The SAML profiles specification [SAMLProf] includes a number of attribute profiles designed to improve the interoperability of attribute usage in some identified scenarios. Such profiles typically include constraints on attribute naming and value syntax. There is no explicit indicator when an attribute profile is in use, and it is assumed that deployments can establish this out of band, based on the combination of NameFormat and Name.

E50: Clarification on SSL Ciphersuites

1189 Change [SAMLConf] Section 4 at line 235 and Section 5 at line 257 to clarify that the named ciphersuites are not the only ones that can be supported.

1191 New at Section 4, line 235:

SAML V2.0 uses XML Signature [XMLSig] to implement XML signing and encryption functionality for integrity, and source authentication. SAML V2.0 uses XML Encryption [XMLEnc] to implement confidentiality, including encrypted identifiers, encrypted assertions, and encrypted attributes. The algorithms listed below as being required for SAML V2.0 conformance are based on the mandated algorithms in the W3C recommendations for XML Signature and for XML Encryption, but modified by the SSTC to ensure interoperability of conformant SAML implementations. While the SAML-defined set of algorithms is a minimal set for conformance, additional algorithms supported by XML Signature and XML Encryption MAY be used. Note, however, that the use of non-mandated algorithms may introduce interoperability issues if those algorithms are not widely implemented. As additional algorithms become mandated for use in XML Signature and XML Encryption, the set required for SAML conformance may be extended.

New at Section 5, line 257:

In any SAML V2.0 use of SSL 3.0 [SSL3] or TLS 1.0 [RFC 2246], servers MUST authenticate to clients using a X.509 v3 certificate. The client MUST establish server identity based on contents of the certificate (typically through examination of the certificate's subject DN field). The set of algorithms required for SAML V2.0 conformance is equivalent to that defined in SAML V1.0 and SAML V1.1. These mandated algorithms were chosen by the SSTC because of their wide implementation support in the industry. While the algorithms defined below are the minimal set for SAML conformance, additional algorithms supported by SSL 3.0 and TLS 1.0 MAY be used.

E51: Schema Type of Contents of <AttributeValue>

Change [SAMLProf] Section 8.1.4 at line 1670 to change the reference from **Section 3.3** to **Section 3**, in order to fix a typographical error that would have improperly restricted the valid types for attribute values to derived types, rather than the larger category of built-in types.

E52: Clarification on NotOnOrAfter Attribute for Subject Confirmation

1217 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.2 at line 557 to correctly reflect the type of validity period that applies to subject confirmation.

1219 Original:

The bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element described above MUST contain a <SubjectConfirmationData> element that contains a Recipient attribute containing the service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a NotOnOrAfter attribute that limits the window during which the assertion can be delivered. It MAY contain an Address attribute limiting the client address from which the assertion can be delivered.

New (note that E26 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

The bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element described above MUST contain a
SubjectConfirmationData> element that contains a Recipient attribute containing the service

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved.

provider's assertion consumer service URL and a NotonorAfter attribute that limits the window during
which the assertion can be **confirmed by the relying party**. It MAY contain an Address attribute limiting
the client address from which the assertion can be delivered.

E53: Correction to LDAP/X.500 Profile Attribute

- 1232 Deprecate [SAMLProf] Section 8.2 at lines 1677-1799 by adding a notice after line 1677.
- 1233 New:

1231

1240

- 8.2 X.500/LDAP Attribute Profile Deprecated
- NOTE: This attribute profile is deprecated because of a flaw that makes it schema-invalid. The SSTC has replaced it with a separately published SAML V2.0 X.500/LDAP Attribute Profile specification that removes this flaw.
- Directories based on the ITU-T X.500 specifications [X.500] and the related IETF Lightweight Directory
 Access Protocol specifications [LDAP] are widely deployed....

E54: Corrections to ECP URN

- 1241 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.3.1 at lines 757 and 763-764 to correct the usage of quotation marks in HTTP headers.
- New at line 757 (add double quotation marks around the URN):
- Furthermore, support for this profile MUST be specified in the HTTP PAOS Header field as a service value, with the value "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp".
- Original at lines 763-764 (single quotation marks are problematic):

```
1247 GET /index HTTP/1.1
1248 Host: identity-service.example.com
1249 Accept: text/html; application/vnd.paos+xml
1250 PAOS: ver='urn:liberty:paos:2003-08';
1251 'urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp'
```

New at lines 763-764 (double quotation marks used instead):

```
1253 GET /index HTTP/1.1
1254 Host: identity-service.example.com
1255 Accept: text/html; application/vnd.paos+xml
1256 PAOS: ver="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08";
1257 "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp"
```

E55: Language Cleanup Around Name Identifier Management

Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3 at lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487, and Section 8.3.7 at lines 3337-3339, and change [SAMLProf] Section 4.5 at lines 1319 and 1323 to clear up ambiguities around name identifier management and its application to various name identifier formats and differing identities for a principal.

1263 Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3, lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487:

If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in the case of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the case of an identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider about the principal. The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated.

If the service provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the identity provider MUST include the element's content as the SPProvidedID when subsequently communicating to the service provider regarding this principal.

1258

1259

1260

1272 If the identity provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the service provider MUST use the element's content as the 1273 <saml:NameID> element content when subsequently communicating with the identity provider regarding 1274 this principal. 1275

New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3, lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487 (note that E8 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in the case of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the case of an identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider using that identifier. The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated.

If the service provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the identity provider MUST include the element's content as the SPProvidedID when subsequently communicating to the service provider using the primary identifier.

If the identity provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the service provider MUST use the element's content as the <saml: NameID> element content when subsequently communicating with the identity provider in any case where the identifier being changed would have been used.

New at [SAMLCore] Section 8.4.7, lines 3337-3339:

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285 1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291 1292

1293 1294

1295

1296 1297

1298

1299 1300

1301

1302 1303

1304

1305 1306

1307

1308 1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316 1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

The element's SPNameQualifier attribute, if present, MUST contain the unique identifier of the service provider or affiliation of providers for whom the identifier was generated (see Section 8.3.6). It MAY be omitted if the element is contained in a message intended only for consumption directly by the service provider, and the value would be the unique identifier of that service provider.

The element's SPProvidedID attribute MUST contain the alternative identifier of the principal most recently set by the service provider or affiliation, if any (see Section 3.6). If no such identifier hasbeen established, then the attribute MUST be omitted.

Original at [SAMLProf] Section 4.5. lines 1319 and 1323:

In the scenario supported by the Name Identifier Management profile, an identity provider has exchanged some form of persistent identifier for a principal with a service provider, allowing them to share a common identifier for some length of time. Subsequently, the identity provider may wish to notify the service provider of a change in the format and/or value that it will use to identify the same principal in the future. Alternatively the service provider may wish to attach its own "alias" for the principal in order to ensure that the identity provider will include it when communicating with it in the future about the principal. Finally, one of the providers may wish to inform the other that it will no longer issue or accept messages using a particular identifier. To implement these scenarios, a profile of the SAML Name Identifier Management protocol is

New at [SAMLProf] Section 4.5, lines 1319 and 1323 (note that E12 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

In the scenario supported by the Name Identifier Management profile, an identity provider has exchanged some form of long-term identifier (including but not limited to identifiers with a Format of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:persistent) for a principal with a service provider, allowing them to share a common identifier for some length of time. Subsequently, the identity provider may wish to notify the service provider of a change in the format and/or value that it will use to identify the same principal in the future. Alternatively the service provider may wish to attach its own "alias" for the principal in order to ensure that the identity provider will include it when communicating with it in the future using that identifier. Finally, one of the providers may wish to inform the other that it will no longer issue or accept messages using a particular identifier. To implement these scenarios, a profile of the SAML Name Identifier Management protocol is used.

E56: Confirmation Method Typo

Change [SAMLProf] Section 3 at line 326 to change the reference from < Confirmation Method> (an element that no longer exists) to Method (an attribute, used instead of the element beginning in V2.0 of SAML).

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04

E57: SAMLmime Reference

Change [SAMLBind] Section 4 at lines 1468-1469 to replace a reference to an expired IETF I-D for the 1325 SAMLmime definition to a persistent reference for the same definition. 1326

Original: 1327

1328	[SAMLmime]	application/saml+xml Media Type Registration, IETF Internet-Draft,
1329		http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-hodges-saml-mediatype-01.txt.

New: 1330

1324

1336

1337

1338

1340

1341

1342

1343

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1364

61

1331	[SAMLmime]	OASIS Security Services Technical Committee (SSTC),
1332		"application/samlassertion+xml MIME Media Type Registration", IANA
1333		MIME Media Types Registry application/samlassertion+xml, December
1334		2004. See http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-
1335		types/application/samlassertion+xml.

E58: KeyDescriptor Typos in Profiles

Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.6 at lines 626 and 627 to expand the keyword sign to signing and to expand the keyword **encrypt** to **encryption**. These were typographical errors.

1339 Original:

The providers MAY document the key(s) used to sign requests, responses, and assertions with <md: KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of sign. When encrypting SAML elements, <md: KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of encrypt MAY be used to document supported encryption algorithms and settings, and public keys used to receive bulk encryption keys.

New: 1344

The providers MAY document the key(s) used to sign requests, responses, and assertions with <md:KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of signing. When encrypting SAML elements, <md:KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of encryption MAY be used to document supported encryption algorithms and settings, and public keys used to receive bulk encryption keys.

E59: SSO Response When Using HTTP-Artifact

Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.5.2 at line 1173 to observe for clarity's sake that particular message delivery mechanisms are not mandated for the "nested" message exchange that takes place as part of the HTTP-Artifact binding.

1353 New:

Note also that there is no mechanism defined to protect the integrity of the relationship between the artifact and the "RelayState" value, if any. That is, an attacker can potentially recombine a pair of valid HTTP responses by switching the "RelayState" values associated with each artifact. As a result, the producer/consumer of "RelayState" information MUST take care not to associate sensitive state information with the "RelayState" value without taking additional precautions (such as based on the information in the SAML protocol message retrieved via artifact).

Finally, note that the use of the Destination attribute in the root SAML element of the protocol message is unspecified by this binding, because of the message indirection involved.

E60: Incorrect URI for Unspecified NamelD Format

Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.2.2 at line 460 to change the name identifier format from 1363

urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:nameid-format:unspecified to

urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:unspecified. This was a typographical error. 1365

E61: Reference to Non-Existent Element

- Change [SAMLCore] Section 7.1.2 at lines 3160. 1367
- Original: 1368

1366

- 1369 The following SAML protocol **elements** are intended specifically for use as extension points in an extension schema; their types are set to abstract, and are thus usable only as the base of a derived type: 1370
- <Request> and RequestAbstractType 1371
- <SubjectQuery> and SubjectQueryAbstractType 1372
- New: 1373

1379

- The following SAML protocol constructs are intended specifically for use as extension points in an 1374 extension schema; the types listed are set to abstract, and are thus usable only as the base of a derived 1375 1376
- RequestAbstractType 1377
- 1378 <SubjectQuery> and SubjectQueryAbstractType

E62: TLS Keys in KeyDescriptor

- Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.1.1 at line 624 to specify more clearly how to interpret the 1380 KeyDescriptor element's use attribute. 1381
- New (just after the conclusion of the definition list for **KevDescriptorType**): 1382
- A use value of "signing" means that the contained key information is applicable to both signing 1383 1384 and TLS/SSL operations performed by the entity when acting in the enclosing role.
- A use value of "encryption" means that the contained key information is suitable for use in 1385 wrapping encryption keys for use by the entity when acting in the enclosing role. 1386
- If the use attribute is omitted, then the contained key information is applicable to both of the above 1387 1388
- 1389 The following schema fragment defines the <KeyDescriptor> element and its KeyDescriptorType 1390 complex type:

E63: IdP Discovery Cookie Interpretation

- Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.3.1 at line 1105 to clear up confusion over interpretation of the contents of 1392 an IdP Discovery cookie. (Note that E32 specifies changes to Section 4 that result in a new Section 4.3.1 1393 being inserted before the original one; E63 applies to the original Section 4.3.1.) 1394
- New: 1395

1391

1396

1397 1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

Cookie syntax should be in accordance with IETF RFC 2965 [RFC2965] or [NSCookie]. The cookie MAY be either session-only or persistent. This choice may be made within a deployment, but should apply uniformly to all identity providers in the deployment. Note that while a session-only cookie can be used, the intent of this profile is not to provide a means of determining whether a user actually has an active session with one or more of the identity providers stored in the cookie. The cookie merely identifies identity providers known to have been used in the past. Service providers MAY instead rely on the IsPassive attribute in their <samlp: AuthnRequest> message to probe for active sessions.

E64: Liberty Moniker Used Inappropriately

- 1404 Change [SAMLSec] Section 7.1.1.9. Impersonation without Reauthentication to replace an accidental use of the moniker "Liberty" in place of "SAML V2.0". 1405
- 1406 New:

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04 63 Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved.

1407 1408	Cookies posted by identity providers MAY be used to support this validation process, though LibertySAML V2.0 does not mandate a cookie-based approach.
1409	E65: Second-level StatusCode
1410 1411	Change various sections as follows in [SAMLCore] to constrain the optional second-level <statuscode and="" clarify="" codes="" element="" is="" of="" optional.<="" second-level="" td="" that="" use="" used,=""></statuscode>
1412	Change section 3.3.2.2.1, lines 1817-1819.
1413	New:
1414 1415 1416 1417	If none of the specified classes or declarations can be satisfied in accordance with the rules below, then the responder MUST return a <response> message with a top-level <statuscode> value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:Responder and MAY return a second-level <statuscode> of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext.</statuscode></statuscode></response>
1418	Change section 3.4.1.2, lines 2172-2173.
1419	New:
1420	In profiles specifying an active intermediary, the intermediary MAY examine the list and return a
1421	<pre><response> message with an error <status> and optionally a second-level <statuscode> of</statuscode></status></response></pre>
1422 1423	Change section 3.4.1.5.1, lines 2282-2285. Original:
1424 1425 1426 1427	An identity provider MUST NOT proxy a request where <proxycount> is set to zero. The identity provider MUST return an error <status> containing a second-level <statuscode> value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:ProxyCountExceeded, unless it can directly authenticate the presenter.</statuscode></status></proxycount>
1428	New:
1429 1430 1431 1432	Unless the identity provider can directly authenticate the presenter, it MUST return a <response> message with a top-level <statuscode> value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:Responder and MAY return a second-level <statuscode> value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:ProxyCountExceeded.</statuscode></statuscode></response>
1433	Change section 3.8.3, lines 2729-2731.
1434	New:
1435 1436 1437	If the responder does not recognize the principal identified in the request, it MAY respond with an error <status>, optionally containing a second-level <statuscode> of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:UnknownPrincipal.</statuscode></status>
1438	E66: Metadata and DNSSEC
1439	Change [SAMLMeta] to update the DNSSEC reference from RFC 2535 to RFC 4035.
1440	Updated line 1253:
1441 1442	It is RECOMMENDED that entities publish their resource records in signed zone files using [RFC2535] [RFC4035]
1443	Original at lines 1447-1448:
1444 1445	[RFC2535] D. Eastlake. <i>Domain Name System Security Extensions</i> . IETF RFC 2535, March 1999. See http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2535.txt .
1446	New at lines 1447-1448:
1447 1448	[RFC4035] R. Arends et al. <i>Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions</i> . IETF RFC 4035, March 2005. See http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4035.txt.

E68: Use of Multiple <KeyDescriptor> Elements 1449 Add text to section 2.4.1.1 of [SAMLMeta] to clarify the meaning of identically-purposed

1450 <KeyDescriptor> elements within a role.

- 1451
- 1452 New at line 625:

The inclusion of multiple <KeyDescriptor> elements with the same use attribute (or no such 1453 attribute) indicates that any of the included keys may be used by the containing role or affiliation. A 1454 relying party SHOULD allow for the use of any of the included keys. When possible the signing or 1455

encrypting party SHOULD indicate as specifically as possible which key it used to enable more 1456

1457 efficient processing.

The following schema fragment defines the <KeyDescriptor> element and its KeyDescriptorType

complex type: 1459

1458

1460

1464

1465 1466

1467

1468 1469

1470

1471 1472

1473

E69: Semantics of <ds:KeyInfo> in <KeyDescriptor>

Add text to section 2.4.1.1 of [SAMLMeta] to clarify the limitations of the specification regarding the 1461 semantics of various kinds of common key representations. 1462

New at line 625 (this change should appear after E68 above): 1463

> The <ds: KeyInfo> element is a highly generic and extensible means of communicating key material. This specification takes no position on the allowable or suggested content of this element, nor on its meaning to a relying party. As a concrete example, no implications of including an X.509 certificate by value or reference are to be assumed. Its validity period, extensions, revocation status, and other relevant content may or may not be enforced, at the discretion of the relying party. The details of such processing, and their security implications, are out of scope; they may, however, be addressed by other SAML profiles.

The following schema fragment defines the <KeyDescriptor> element and its KeyDescriptorType complex type:

E70: Obsolete reference to UUID URN namespace

- Change [SAMLProf] to update the Internet Draft reference for the UUID URN namespace to RFC 4122. 1474
- Updated Section 8.3.3.1, line 1836: 1475
- values are equal in the sense of [http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-mealling-uuid-urn-05.txt][RFC4122]. 1476 The 1477
- 1478 Updated Section 8.4.3.1, line 1885:
- 1479 values are equal in the sense of [http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-mealling-uuid-urn-05.txt][RFC4122]. The 1480
- Original at lines 2111-2112: 1481
- [Mealling] P Leach et al. A UUID URN Namespace. IETF Internet-Draft, December 2004. See 1482 http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-mealling-uuid-urn-05.txt. 1483
- New at lines 2111-2112: 1484
- [RFC4122] P. Leach et al. A Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) URN Namespace. IETF RFC 4122, 1485 July 2005. See http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4122.txt. 1486

E71: Missing namespace definition in Profiles

- Change [SAMLProf] to add the "xs" namespace prefix to the table in Section 1. 1488
- New row of table in Section 1, between lines 267-268: 1489
- 1490 xs:

http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema

This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown. For clarity, the prefix is generally shown in

specification text when XML Schema-related constructs are mentioned.

E74: Update XML Signature Reference

1496 Update the XML Signature specification reference in [SAMLCore], [SAMLBind], [SAMLProf], [SAMLMeta],

[SAMLAuthCtx], [SAMLConf], [SAMLSec] to the "Second Edition". Also remove a stale non-normative

1498 reference in [SAMLCore].

1495

1500

1501

1511

1512

1513

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1524

1525

1499 Strike [SAMLCore], lines 3439-3440:

[RFC 3075] D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing*. IETF RFC 3075, March 2001. See http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3075.txt.

1502 Original at [SAMLCore] lines 3415-3416, [SAMLBind] lines 1489-1491, [SAMLProf] lines 2205-2206,

1503 [SAMLMeta] lines 1490-1491, [SAMLAuthCtx] lines 3926-3928, [SAMLConf] lines 410-412, [SAMLSec] lines 1504 1078-1079:

[XMLSig] D. Eastlake et al. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing*. World Wide Web Consortium, February 2002. See http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/. Note that this specification normatively

February 2002. See http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/. Note that this specification normatively references [XMLSig-XSD], listed below.

references [XMLSig-XSD], listed below.

1508 New at [SAMLCore] lines 3415-3416, [SAMLBind] lines 1489-1491, [SAMLProf] lines 2205-2206,

1509 [SAMLMeta] lines 1490-1491, [SAMLAuthCtx] lines 3926-3928, [SAMLConf] lines 410-412, [SAMLSec]

1510 lines 1078-1079:

[XMLSig] D. Eastlake et al. XML Signature Syntax and Processing, Second Edition. World

Wide Web Consortium, June 2008. See http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/.

E75: Clarify Handling of SubjectConfirmation in AuthnRequest

1514 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.4 to clarify an identity provider's obligation to return an error if it can't

honor the requirements of a <SubjectConfirmation> element in an <AuthnRequest> message.

1516 New at line 2247:

In such a case, the identifier's physical content MAY be different, but it MUST refer to the same principal. If

the identity provider cannot or will not produce assertions with a strongly matching subject, then it MUST return a <Response> with an error <Status>, and MAY return a second-level <StatusCode>

that reflects the reason for the failure.

E76: Clarify nested validUntil/cacheDuration

1522 Add text to [SAMLMeta] to clarify the processing of nested validUntil or cacheDuration attributes.

1523 New in Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2, before lines 336 and 409:

When not used as the root element of a metadata instance, a validUntil or cacheDuration attribute MAY be used to impose a shorter expiration or cache duration than that of the parent or root element, but

never a longer one; the smaller value takes precedence.

1527 New in Sections 2.4.1 and 2.5, before lines 589 and 972:

A validUntil or cacheDuration attribute MAY be used to impose a shorter expiration or cache duration

than that of the parent or root element, but never a longer one; the smaller value takes precedence.

E77: Generalize scope of Metadata specification 1530 Change [SAMLMeta] to address inadvertent language appearing to restrict use of SAML metadata to only 1531 SAML profiles. 1532 New in Section 1, before line 137: 1533 A variety of extension points are also included to allow for the use of SAML metadata in non-SAML 1534 1535 specifications, profiles, and deployments, and such use is encouraged. Updated Section 2, lines 153-154: 1536 SAML metadata is organized around an extensible collection of roles representing common combinations of 1537 SAML (and potentially non-SAML) protocols and profiles supported by system entities. 1538 Remove the word "SAML" from lines 226, 230, 311, 323, 332, 360, 372, 397, 403, 444, 478, 531, and 1539 1540 E78: Reassignment of persistent identifiers 1541 Add text to [SAMLCore] Section 8.3.7, at line 3325, to clarify that non-reassignment to different principals 1542 is a required property of "persistent" name identifiers. 1543 New: 1544 Persistent name identifier values MUST NOT exceed a length of 256 characters. A given value, once 1545 associated with a principal, MUST NOT be assigned to a different principal at any time in the future. 1546 E79: Clarification of SessionNotOnOrAfter 1547 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.7.2, lines 1062-1065 to loosen wording around the 1548 SessionNotOnOrAfter attribute and defer more explicitly to profiles. 1549 Original: 1550 Specifies a time instant at which the session between the principal identified by the subject and the SAML 1551 authority issuing this statement MUST be considered ended. The time value is encoded in UTC, as 1552 described in Section 1.3.3. There is no required relationship between this attribute and a NotonorAfter 1553 condition attribute that may be present in the assertion. 1554 New: 1555 Indicates an upper bound on sessions with the subject derived from the enclosing assertion. The 1556 time value is encoded in UTC, as described in Section 1.3.3. There is no required relationship between this 1557 1558 attribute and a NotOnOrAfter condition attribute that may be present in the assertion. It's left to profiles to provide specific processing rules for relying parties based on this attribute. 1559 E81: Algorithm statement in XML Signature profile 1560 Change [SAMLCore] Section 5.4.1, lines 2926-2927, and [SAMLMeta] Section 3.1.1, lines 1182-1183, to 1561 relax the implication that RSA with SHA1 is the only supported algorithm. 1562 Original:

1563

SAML processors SHOULD support the use of RSA signing and verification for public key operations in accordance with the algorithm identified by http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1.

1566 New:

1564

1565

1567

1568

Any algorithm defined for use with the XML Signature specification MAY be used.

E82: Empty <ContactPerson> element

1569 Add text to [SAMLMeta] Section 2.3.2.2, before line 500, to clarify that child elements should be included.

sstc-saml-errata-2.0-cd-04 71

At least one child element SHOULD be present in a <ContactPerson> element. 1571 E83: Weaken claim made about Exclusive C14N 1572 Change [SAMLCore] Section 5.4.3, lines 2939-2940, and [SAMLMeta] Section 3.1.3, lines 1196-1197, to 1573 better explain the purpose of using exclusive canonicalization. 1574 Original: 1575 1576 Use of Exclusive Canonicalization ensures that signatures created over SAML messages embedded in an XML context can be verified independent of that context. 1577 1578 New: Use of Exclusive Canonicalization facilitates the verification of signatures created over SAML messages 1579 when placed into a different XML context than present during signing. 1580 Note that use of this algorithm alone does not guarantee that a particular signed object can be moved from 1581 one context to another safely, nor is that a requirement of signed SAML objects in general, though it MAY be 1582 required by particular profiles. 1583

New:

3 Acknowledgments

The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

- Rob Philpott, EMC Corporation
- Richard Franck, IBM

1584

1587

1588

- John Bradley, Individual
- Scott Cantor, Internet2
- Nate Klingenstein, Internet2
- Bob Morgan, Internet2
- Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.
- Tom Scavo, National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA)
- Frederick Hirsch, Nokia Corporation
- Paul Madsen, NTT Corporation
- Ari Kermaier, Oracle Corporation
- Hal Lockhart, Oracle Corporation
- Anil Saldhana, Red Hat
- Kent Spaulding, Skyworth TTG Holdings Limited
 - Duane DeCouteau, Veterans Health Administration
- David Staggs, Veterans Health Administration
- The editors also would like to gratefully acknowledge **Jahan Moreh** of Sigaba and **Eve Maler** of PayPal,
- who during their tenures on the TC were editors of the errata working document and made major
- substantive contributions to all of the errata materials.