The SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile describes the issuing and processing of holder-of-key SAML assertions. Specifically, we show how a SAML issuer binds X.509 data to a <ds:Keyinfo> element and how a relying party confirms that a <ds:KeyInfo> element
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1 Introduction

The SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile describes the issuing and processing of a holder-of-key SAML assertion, that is, an assertion containing a `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element whose Method attribute is set to urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key. Specifically, we describe the structural characteristics of a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element with bound X.509 data and show how a relying party confirms that such a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element matches given X.509 data. The binding material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data used by the relying party are obtained from an X.509 certificate.

This profile involves a SAML issuer and a SAML relying party, each with an X.509 certificate in its possession. The SAML issuer uses its certificate to produce a holder-of-key SAML assertion. The relying party consumes the assertion, confirming the attesting entity by comparing the X.509 data in the assertion with the X.509 data in its possession.

1.1 Notation

This specification uses normative text. The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]:

…they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperability or to limit behavior which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)…

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>XML Namespace</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>saml:</td>
<td>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion</td>
<td>This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#</a></td>
<td>This is the XML Signature namespace [XMLSig].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xs:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
<td>This is the XML Schema namespace [Schema1].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xs:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance</a></td>
<td>This is the XML Schema namespace for schema-related markup that appears in XML instances [Schema1].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: `<SAMLElement>`, `<ns:ForeignElement>`, Attribute, Datatype, OtherCode.
1.2 Terminology

In this specification, a SAML issuer is a producer of holder-of-key assertions. Similarly, a relying party is a consumer of holder-of-key assertions.

A presenter transmits a holder-of-key assertion to the relying party. An attesting entity is a presenter who is able to satisfy the subject confirmation requirements of the holder-of-key assertion.

Usually the attesting entity is the subject of the assertion (hence the terms "subject confirmation" and "confirming the subject"). In general, however, the attesting entity may not be the subject, in which case the previous phrases are misnomers. Thus the terms "attestation" and "confirming the attesting entity" are more technically correct than "subject confirmation" and "confirming the subject," respectively. We will use the term "attesting entity" exclusively in this document.

1.3 Normative References


1.4 Non-normative References


2 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

2.1 Required Information

Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:holder-of-key

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

SAML Confirmation Method Identifiers: The SAML V2.0 holder-of-key confirmation method identifier (urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key) is associated with every <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element issued under this profile.

Description: Given below.

Updates: Supplements the holder-of-key confirmation method described in section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof].

2.2 Profile Description

This specification profiles a type of assertion called a holder-of-key assertion. By definition, a holder-of-key SAML assertion contains a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element whose Method attribute is set to urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key. This specification describes how the SAML issuer binds selected X.509 data from an X.509 certificate to the <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of a holder-of-key assertion. The complementary process involves a relying party who confirms that the X.509 data bound to the assertion matches the data in a given X.509 certificate.

Suppose a SAML response issued by a SAML issuer contains one or more holder-of-key assertions (otherwise this specification is not applicable). At the time the assertion is issued, the issuer possesses an X.509 certificate known to be associated with the attesting entity (who may or may not be present when the assertion is issued). The SAML issuer binds some (or all) of the X.509 data in the certificate to the holder-of-key assertion.

Subsequently, the attesting entity presents the holder-of-key assertion and an X.509 certificate to the relying party. The attesting entity proves possession of the private key corresponding to the public key bound to the certificate, the details of which are out of scope with respect to this profile. The relying party compares the X.509 data in the certificate to the X.509 data bound to the assertion, thereby confirming the attesting entity.

Precisely how the issuer comes to possess a certificate known to be associated with attesting entity and how the assertion and the certificate are presented to the relying party are all out of scope with respect to this profile. On the other hand, the issuing of the holder-of-key assertion itself and the ultimate confirmation of the attesting entity are in scope.

We assume that the relying party trusts the SAML issuer to issue holder-of-key assertions. The SAML issuer, on the other hand, may not even know the intended relying party, so there is no underlying assumption that the SAML issuer trusts the relying party.

2.3 X.509 Certificate Usage

There are no explicit requirements with respect to the X.509 certificate(s) possessed by the SAML issuer and the relying party. If, however, a certificate contains a Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension, then the certificate MUST be an X.509 v3 certificate [RFC5280]. Other than that, the specific characteristics of these certificates are wholly out of scope with respect to this specification. In particular, there is no expectation that either the SAML issuer or the relying party trusts the issuer of the certificate, and...
therefore all portions of the certificate, apart from the X.509 data specified in the following sections, are unspecified.

The only exception to the above rule is the case where the `<ds:X509Data>` element specified in section 2.4.1 contains a `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element or a `<ds:X509SerialIssuer>` element. In these two cases, the relying party MUST trust the X.509 issuer in order to confirm the attesting entity. This is discussed more fully in section 2.5 below.

### 2.4 Issuing Holder-of-Key Assertions

Every assertion containing a holder-of-key `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element MUST conform to [SAML2Core] (see section 2.4.1 of Core, especially section 2.4.1.3) and section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof]. Where this specification conflicts with the SAML V2.0 specification, the former takes precedence.

Suppose a SAML issuer wishes to issue a response containing one or more holder-of-key assertions. As a prerequisite, the SAML issuer MUST possess an X.509 certificate known to be associated with the attesting entity. The SAML issuer binds some or all of the X.509 data in the certificate to the `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element of a SAML assertion.

Briefly, the SAML issuer binds a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element to the `<saml:SubjectConfirmationData>` element of a holder-of-key assertion. The `<ds:KeyInfo>` element contains one or more of the following elements: `<ds:X509Certificate>`, `<ds:X509SKI>`, `<ds:X509SubjectName>`, or `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>`. A `<ds:X509Certificate>` element contains a base64 encoding of the certificate possessed by the SAML issuer. A `<ds:X509SKI>` element contains the base64 encoding of the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension (if there is one) bound to the certificate. A `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element contains the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the certificate. A `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element contains the issuer DN and the issuer serial number bound to the certificate. In each case, the content of the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element conforms to the XML Signature specification [XMLSig]. These requirements are spelled out more clearly in the next section.

If the SAML issuer has reason to believe that the relying party trusts the certificate issuer, the SAML issuer MAY include `NotBefore` or `NotOnOrAfter` XML attributes on the `<saml:SubjectConfirmationData>` element. If so, the values bound to the assertion MUST be consistent with the values in the certificate. In particular, the value of the `NotBefore` attribute (resp., the `NotOnOrAfter` attribute) MUST be greater than or equal to (resp., less than or equal to) the `NotBefore` field (resp., the `NotOnOrAfter` field) of the certificate.

The `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element MAY contain a `<saml:NameID>` element. If it does, the latter identifies an attesting entity different from the subject of the assertion. If the `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element does not contain a `<saml:NameID>` element, then the attesting entity and the subject are one and the same.

If the `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element contains a `<saml:NameID>` element, the attesting entity is presumably acting on behalf of the subject. To more strongly signal such a delegation scenario, a `<saml:Condition>` element MAY be used (cf. [SAML2ConDel]).

### 2.4.1 KeyInfo Usage

According to the SAML V2.0 specification, a holder-of-key assertion MUST contain at least one `<ds:KeyInfo>` element within the `<saml:SubjectConfirmationData>` element and that the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element MUST conform to the XML Signature specification. This SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile requires that the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element MUST conform to the Second Edition of the XML Signature specification [XMLSig] and further constrains the content of each `<ds:KeyInfo>` element to contain exactly one `<ds:X509Data>` element. The `<ds:X509Data>` element MUST NOT
contain a `<ds:X509CRL>` element. Instead, the following content options are specified, at least one of which MUST be satisfied:

- The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509Certificate>` element. If it does, the `<ds:X509Certificate>` element MUST contain a base64 encoding of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.

- The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509SKI>` element. If it does, the `<ds:X509SKI>` element MUST contain the base64 encoding of the plain (i.e., not DER-encoded) value of the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension (as specified in [XMLSig]) of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer. If the certificate does not contain an SKI extension, the `<ds:X509Data>` element MUST NOT contain a `<ds:X509SKI>` element.

- The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element. If it does, the `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element MUST contain the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.

- The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element. If it does, the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element MUST contain the issuer DN and the issuer serial number (as specified in [XMLSig]) bound to the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.

Use of the `<ds:X509Certificate>` element or the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is most restrictive since each implies that the exact same certificate is used by both the SAML issuer and the relying party. Use of the `<ds:X509SKI>` element or the `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element is less restrictive since each permits a different certificate to be used by the relying party provided the certificate contains the same key or DN (resp.) as in the certificate used by the SAML issuer.

Use of the `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element or the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is warranted in those situations where the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate. The SAML issuer SHOULD NOT bind either of these elements to the `<ds:X509Data>` element unless it knows that such a trust relationship exists.

Note that the format of the DN contained in the `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element or the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is specified in [XMLSig]. In accordance with that specification, it is RECOMMENDED that the DN conform to [RFC4514] in all cases.

Since the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element is extensible [XMLSig], other fields or extensions from the X.509 certificate may be bound to the holder-of-key assertion. These are provided as a convenience to the relying party, so that the relying party need not have to decode and parse the certificate. All such extensions are out of scope with respect to this profile, however.

### 2.4.2 Example

Here is an example of a holder-of-key `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element illustrating three of the content options specified in section 2.4:

```xml
<saml:SubjectConfirmation
   xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
   Method="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key">
   <saml:SubjectConfirmationData
      xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
      xsi:type="saml:KeyInfoConfirmationDataType">
     <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"/>
     <ds:X509Data>
        <!-- a base64 encoding of an X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509Certificate>
           MIIDuDCCAqACCQCIU2K8wF0xYxgqkhkiG9w0BAQQQFADCBnTELMAkGA1UEBhMCQ1IXEzARBgNV
        </ds:X509Certificate>
     </ds:X509Data>
   </saml:SubjectConfirmationData>
</saml:SubjectConfirmation>
```
A relying party can confirm the attesting entity by the matching the available X.509 data to any of the above child elements of the `<ds:X509Data>` element.

### 2.5 Processing Holder-of-Key Assertions

The attesting entity presents a holder-of-key assertion and an X.509 certificate to the relying party. The attesting entity MUST prove possession of the private key corresponding to the public key bound to the certificate, the details of which are out of scope with respect to this profile. The relying party confirms the attesting entity by comparing the X.509 data in the certificate to the X.509 data bound to the assertion. If the X.509 data in the certificate matches the X.509 data bound to the assertion, the attesting entity is said to be **confirmed**.

Regardless of the protocol used, any assertions relied upon MUST be valid according to the processing rules specified in [SAML2Core]. In particular, the relying party MUST verify the signature (if any) on each assertion containing a holder-of-key `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element. Any assertion that is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met, SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the subject.
If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains multiple child elements, the relying party may choose to confirm
the attesting entity based on any one of them. Specifically, the relying party MUST confirm that the
certificate matches the content of the `<ds:X509Data>` element as follows:

- If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains a `<ds:X509Certificate>` element, and the relying
  party chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on this element, the relying party MUST
  ensure that the certificate bound to the assertion matches the X.509 certificate in its possession.
  Matching is done by comparing the base64-decoded certificates, or the hash values of the
  base64-decoded certificates, byte-for-byte.

- If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains a `<ds:X509SKI>` element, and the relying party
  chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that
  the value bound to the assertion matches the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension bound to the
  X.509 certificate. Matching is done by comparing the base64-decoded SKI values byte-for-byte.
  If the X.509 certificate does not contain an SKI extension, the attesting entity is not confirmed and
  the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

- If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains a `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element, and the relying
  party chooses to confirm the attesting entity based on this element, the relying party MUST
  ensure that the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the assertion matches the DN bound to
  the X.509 certificate. If, however, the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue
  such a DN, the attesting entity is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

In the case of a `<ds:X509Certificate>` element or a `<ds:X509SKI>` element, the matching process
is relatively straightforward. If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains a `<ds:X509SubjectName>
 element or a `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element, however, and the relying party chooses to confirm the
attesting entity based on one of these elements, the relying party MUST trust the issuer of the X.509
certificate before the attesting entity can be considered confirmed. If such a trust relationship between the
relying party and the certificate issuer does not exist, the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

If the `<saml:SubjectConfirmationData>` element includes `NotBefore` or `NotOnOrAfter`
attributes, and the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate, the relying party MUST confirm
that the current time is greater than or equal to (resp., less than or equal to) the value of the `NotBefore`
(resp., the `NotOnOrAfter`) attribute. If this requirement is not met, the attesting entity is not confirmed
and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

### 2.6 Security and Privacy Considerations

This profile assumes that both the SAML issuer and the relying party have access to an X.509 certificate.
For those deployments that wish to avoid or do not require an X.509-based public key infrastructure
(PKI), this may seem unnecessarily restrictive. In fact, the use of X.509 certificates is typical and provides
a number of advantages. First, observe that the SSL/TLS protocol [RFC4346] requires the use of X.509
certificates. Second, and most importantly, since there is no presumption of an underlying trust model for
X.509 certificates, the full range of possible content for the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element is avoided. Those
deployments that are in fact based on such a trust model, or wish to avoid X.509 certificates altogether,
may choose to profile additional child elements such as `<ds:KeyName>` or `<ds:KeyValue>`.

Deployments that rely on holder-of-key SAML assertions will no doubt impose their own requirements on
the X.509 certificates used to obtain those assertions. For example, some deployments will require the
418 certificate to be an X.509 end-entity certificate [RFC5280] issued by a trusted X.509 certification authority
419 (CA) or a certificate based on a trusted X.509 end-entity certificate (such as an X.509 proxy certificate
420 [RFC3820]). This specification imposes no such restrictions, however.

2.6.1 ASN.1 Encoding

For compatibility with the XML Signature specification [XMLSig], this profile intentionally avoids any
discussion of the ASN.1 encoding of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer and the relying
party. Indeed, in the case of the <ds:X509Certificate> element, the ASN.1 encoding of the
certificate doesn't matter. In this case, the SAML issuer simply base64-encodes the ASN.1-encoded
certificate in its possession and binds it to the <ds:X509Certificate> element. Later the relying party
base64-decodes the content of the <ds:X509Certificate> element and compares the resulting
certificate (byte-for-byte) with the ASN.1-encoded certificate in its possession.

In the case of the <ds:X509SKI>, <ds:X509SubjectName>, or <ds:X509IssuerSerial> elements,
however, the ASN.1 encoding of the certificates does matter. To produce these elements, the SAML
issuer must ASN.1-decode the certificate in its possession and parse the ASN.1 to obtain the X.509 data
to be bound to the assertion. Likewise the relying party must ASN.1-decode the certificate in its
possession, parsing the ASN.1 to obtain the required X.509 data, which it compares to the X.509 data
bound to the assertion.

The basic problem is that the ASN.1 encoding of an X.509 certificate is not guaranteed. While it is true
that an X.509 certificate is often DER-encoded, a robust implementation must be prepared to handle
other ASN.1 encodings besides DER, mainly BER and CER. Consequently it is anticipated that
deployments will prefer the <ds:X509Certificate> element for maximum interoperability. In fact, this
preference is reflected in the conformance requirements of this profile (section 3).

2.6.2 X.509 Serial Number

Note that some CAs use large random numbers as serial numbers to prevent sequence guessing.
However, not all XML libraries are capable of dealing with large integers in the
<ds:X509IssuerSerial> element. The problem is that the <ds:X509SerialNumber> child element
of the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is typed as an arbitrary integer in [XMLSig] yet conforming
implementations are required to support only 18 decimal digits. Thus the <ds:X509IssuerSerial>
element should be used with care.
3 Conformance

3.0.1 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

Both the SAML issuer and the relying party MUST conform to section 2.3.

A SAML issuer MUST follow the issuing rules in section 2.4. In particular, a SAML issuer MUST produce <ds:KeyInfo> elements that conform to section 2.4.1. Likewise, a relying party MUST follow the processing rules in section 2.5.

To claim conformance to this specification, a SAML issuer implementation MUST support the <ds:X509Certificate> element specified in section 2.4.1. Support for the remaining child elements specified in section 2.4.1 is OPTIONAL for SAML issuers.

Likewise a conforming relying party implementation MUST support the <ds:X509Certificate> element specified in section 2.5. Support for the remaining child elements specified in section 2.5 is OPTIONAL for relying parties.
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