



# SAML v2.0 Metadata Profile for Algorithm Support Version 1.0

## Committee Specification Draft 02 / Public Review Draft 02

**2 November 2010**

### Specification URIs:

#### This Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport-v1.0-csprd02.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport-v1.0-csprd02.odt>  
(Authoritative)  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport-v1.0-csprd02.pdf>

#### Previous Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport-cd-01.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport-cd-01.odt>  
(Authoritative)  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport-cd-01.pdf>

#### Latest Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport.odt>  
(Authoritative)  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sssc-saml-metadata-algsupport.pdf>

### Technical Committee:

[OASIS Security Services TC](#)

### Chair(s):

Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.  
Nate Klingenstein, Internet2

### Editor(s):

Scott Cantor, Internet2

### Related Work:

This specification defines an extension for use with SAML V2.0 Metadata [SAML2Meta].

### Declared XML Namespace(s):

urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:algsupport

**Abstract:**

The SAML V2.0 Metadata specification [SAML2Meta] includes an element allowing entities to describe the XML Encryption [XMLEnc] algorithms they support. This specification defines metadata extension elements to enable entities to describe the XML Signature [XMLSig] algorithms they support, and a profile for using both elements to enable better algorithm agility for profiles that rely on metadata.

**Status:**

This document was last revised or approved by the Security Services TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest Version" or "Latest Approved Version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/ipr.php>).

**Citation Format:**

When referencing this specification the following citation format should be used:

**SAML-Metadata-Algsupport-v1.0**      OASIS Committee Specification Draft 02, *SAML v2.0 Metadata Profile for Algorithm Support Version 1.0*, November 2010  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-metadata-algsupport-v1.0-csd02.odt>

---

# Notices

Copyright © OASIS® 2010. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The names "OASIS" and "SAML" are trademarks of [OASIS](http://www.oasis-open.org), the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php> for above guidance.

# Table of Contents

1 Introduction.....	5
1.1 Terminology and Notation.....	5
1.2 Normative References.....	6
1.3 Non-Normative References.....	6
2 SAML V2.0 Metadata Profile for Algorithm Support.....	7
2.1 Required Information.....	7
2.2 Profile Description.....	7
2.3 Expression of Encryption Capabilities.....	7
2.4 Expression of Signature Capabilities.....	8
2.5 Metadata Consumers.....	9
2.6 Security Considerations.....	9
2.7 Example.....	10
3 Conformance.....	11
3.1 SAML V2.0 Metadata Profile for Algorithm Support Version 1.0.....	11
Appendix A.Acknowledgements.....	12
Appendix B.Revision History.....	13

# 1 Introduction

The SAML V2.0 Metadata specification [SAML2Meta] includes an `<md:EncryptionMethod>` element intended to communicate the XML Encryption [XMLEnc] algorithms supported for use with the key described by a containing `<md:KeyDescriptor>` element. The use of this element is not completely defined by the original specification, and there is no comparable support for communicating the XML Signature [XMLSig] algorithms supported by an entity. This profile addresses both considerations to improve algorithm agility and interoperability for deployments that make use of metadata.

There are more general standards for the description of security requirements of communicating endpoints, such as [WS-SecPol]. This specification is not intended as a replacement for such mechanisms, but is directed at systems with fewer requirements that are already designed around SAML V2.0 Metadata.

## 1.1 Terminology and Notation

This specification uses normative text.

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]:

...they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperation or to limit behavior which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)...

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this. These listings are non-normative.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

Prefix	XML Namespace	Comments
saml:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion	This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace [SAML2Core].
samlp:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol	This is the SAML V2.0 protocol namespace [SAML2Core].
md:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata	This is the SAML V2.0 metadata namespace [SAML2Meta].
alg:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:algusupport	This is the SAML V2.0 metadata extension namespace defined by this document and its accompanying schema [AlgSup-XSD].
xenc:	http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#	This is the XML Encryption namespace [XMLEnc].
xsd:	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown.

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: <SAML*Element*>, <ns:Foreign*Element*>, Attribute, **Datatype**, OtherCode.

## 1.2 Normative References

- [AlgSup-XSD] OASIS Committee Specification Draft 02, *Metadata Extension Schema for SAML V2.0 Metadata Profile for Algorithm Support Version 1.0*, November 2010. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-metadata-algsupport-v1.0.xsd>
- [RFC2119] S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. IETF RFC 2119, March 1997. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- [SAML2Core] OASIS Standard, *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf>
- [SAML2Errata] OASIS Approved Errata, *SAML V2.0 Errata*, October 2009. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf>
- [SAML2Meta] OASIS Standard, *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf>
- [XMLEnc] D. Eastlake et al. *XML Encryption Syntax and Processing*. World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/>
- [Schema1] H. S. Thompson et al. *XML Schema Part 1: Structures*. World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/>
- [Schema2] Paul V. Biron, Ashok Malhotra. *XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes*. World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/>
- [XMLSig] D. Eastlake et al. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing, Second Edition*. World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, June 2008. <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlldsig-core/>

## 1.3 Non-Normative References

- [RFC4051] IETF RFC 4051, *Additional XML Security Uniform Resource Identifiers*, April 2005. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4051.txt>
- [WS-SecPol] OASIS Standard, *WS-SecurityPolicy 1.3*, February 2009. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-securitypolicy/v1.3/os/ws-securitypolicy-1.3-spec-os.pdf>

---

## 2 SAML V2.0 Metadata Profile for Algorithm Support

### 2.1 Required Information

**Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:algsupport

**Contact information:** [security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org)

**Description:** Given below.

**Updates:** None.

### 2.2 Profile Description

One of the interoperability challenges in large-scale, and long-term, SAML deployments is the selection of XML Signature [XMLSig] and XML Encryption [XMLEnc] algorithms at runtime when communicating with peer entities. In particular, accounting for software limitations that prevent support of newer algorithms, while supporting those algorithms where possible to gradually strengthen systems, is difficult to manage without knowledge of a peer's capabilities. This profile makes use of SAML metadata to enable deployments to document their algorithm capabilities and preferences. It also allows for future expansion to address the interoperability requirements of more complex algorithms.

This profile provides guidance on the use of the `<md:EncryptionMethod>` element defined in the SAML V2.0 Metadata specification [SAML2Meta], and defines extension elements, `<alg:SigningMethod>` and `<alg:DigestMethod>`, to address comparable requirements related to XML Signature usage.

### 2.3 Expression of Encryption Capabilities

The SAML V2.0 Metadata specification [SAML2Meta] permits zero or more `<md:EncryptionMethod>` elements to appear inside a `<md:KeyDescriptor>` element. This profile provides guidance for the use of this element only in enclosing elements whose `use` attribute is omitted or set to "encryption".

In the common case that a `<md:KeyDescriptor>` element contains an asymmetric encryption key, an `<md:EncryptionMethod>` element SHOULD be present for each of a Block or Stream Encryption, and a Key Transport or Key Agreement algorithm. The Key Transport or Key Agreement algorithm(s) listed MUST be compatible with the associated encryption key.

If the `<md:KeyDescriptor>` element contains or identifies by reference a symmetric key (e.g., a name referring to a shared master secret or password), then an `<md:EncryptionMethod>` element SHOULD be present for a Block or Stream Encryption algorithm, and MAY be present for other algorithm types such as Symmetric Key Wrap or Key Derivation.

Per [XMLEnc], the `<md:EncryptionMethod>` element MUST contain an `Algorithm` attribute containing the identifier for the algorithm defined for use with the specification. If the algorithm permits varying key sizes, the element MAY contain an `<xenc:KeySize>` element defining a key size for the algorithm that the entity will accept. If the algorithm definition includes the specification of additional public content that the party performing encryption needs, that content MAY also be present.

If multiple `<md:EncryptionMethod>` elements identifying algorithms of the same general type are present, they MUST be listed in order of preference by the entity.

## 2.4 Expression of Signature Capabilities

This profile defines a pair of extension elements for the expression of an entity's capability to verify digests and signatures with particular algorithms. While not strictly meant as an expression of policy, it is a natural assumption that a peer stating support for particular algorithms requires their use.

An entity **SHOULD** include one or more `<alg:DigestMethod>` and `<alg:SigningMethod>` elements in its metadata by means of the `<md:Extensions>` element in its `<md:EntityDescriptor>` element, and/or in its roles (elements whose type is based on **md:RoleDescriptorType**).

If a signature algorithm permits varying key sizes, the `<alg:SigningMethod>` element **MAY** contain `MinKeySize` and/or `MaxKeySize` attributes bounding the key size for the algorithm that the entity supports. If the algorithm definition includes the specification of additional public content that the party creating a signature or digest needs, that content **MAY** also be present.

If multiple elements of the same type are present, they **MUST** be listed in order of preference by the entity.

### Element `<alg:DigestMethod>`

The `<alg:DigestMethod>` element describes a Message Digest algorithm. It contains the following attribute:

Algorithm [Required]

Identifies the algorithm by means of the URL defined for its use with the XML Signature specification [XMLSig].

This element also permits the use of arbitrary elements defined in any namespace.

The schema for the `<alg:DigestMethod>` element, and its corresponding **alg:DigestMethodType** complex type, is as follows:

```
<element name="DigestMethod" type="alg:DigestMethodType"/>
<complexType name="DigestMethodType">
  <sequence>
    <any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </sequence>
  <attribute name="Algorithm" type="anyURI" use="required"/>
</complexType>
```

### Element `<alg:SigningMethod>`

The `<alg:SigningMethod>` element describes a Signature or Message Authentication Code algorithm. It contains the following attributes:

Algorithm [Required]

Identifies the algorithm by means of the URL defined for its use with the XML Signature specification [XMLSig].

MinKeySize [Optional]

The smallest key size, in bits, that the entity supports in conjunction with the algorithm. If omitted, no minimum is implied.

MaxKeySize [Optional]



The largest key size, in bits, that the entity supports in conjunction with the algorithm. If omitted, no maximum is implied.

This element also permits the use of arbitrary elements defined in any namespace.

The schema for the `<alg:SigningMethod>` element, and its corresponding **alg:SigningMethodType** complex type, is as follows:

```
<element name="SigningMethod" type="alg:SigningMethodType"/>
<complexType name="SigningMethodType">
  <sequence>
    <any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </sequence>
  <attribute name="Algorithm" type="anyURI" use="required"/>
  <attribute name="MinKeySize" type="positiveInteger"/>
  <attribute name="MaxKeySize" type="positiveInteger"/>
</complexType>
```

## 2.5 Metadata Consumers

A consumer of metadata that wishes to perform XML Signature or XML Encryption operations with knowledge of the peer entity (this is not always true of signatures) **MUST** consult the peer's metadata to determine the intersection of the algorithms, key sizes, and other parameters as defined by particular algorithms that it supports and that the peer entity supports.

The elements describing this support in metadata **SHOULD** be consulted in order, and the metadata consumer **SHOULD** select the first algorithm encountered that it supports for use with a particular entity (subject to local policy).

With respect to use of XML Signature, the presence of any `<alg:DigestMethod>` and `<alg:SigningMethod>` elements at the level of a role element **MUST** take precedence over any such elements at the level of an `<md:EntityDescriptor>` element, and the two sets are not combined if both are present.

In the absence of an element describing support for a particular algorithm type (e.g., no `<alg:DigestMethod>` elements), the metadata consumer is free to select any algorithm that it supports. The absence of metadata therefore implies no information, rather than lack of support.

## 2.6 Security Considerations

The use of metadata as a means of "negotiating" the algorithms to use exposes both parties to attacks traditionally associated with such mechanisms, such as step-down attacks in which the metadata is compromised to influence the selection of a weaker algorithm than the parties might otherwise support.

The exchange and verification of metadata should always be subject to appropriate security controls to mitigate this threat, and entities should always be prepared to reject the use of algorithms that they deem insufficiently secure.

## 2.7 Example

The example presented shows a partial metadata instance for a service provider that supports (as a relying party) a number of newer/stronger signature and digest algorithms defined in [RFC4051]. It also specifies support for encryption via two AES variants using an RSA key as a transport.

```
<EntityDescriptor xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata"
  xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
  xmlns:alg="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:metadata:algsupport"
  entityID="https://serviceprovider.example.com/SAML">
  <Extensions>
    <alg:DigestMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#sha384"/>
    <alg:DigestMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#sha256"/>
    <alg:SigningMethod MinKeySize="256" MaxKeySize="511"
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#ecdsa-sha256"/>
    <alg:SigningMethod MinKeySize="2048" MaxKeySize="4096"
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmldsig-more#rsa-sha256"/>
  </Extensions>
  <SPSSODescriptor
    protocolSupportEnumeration="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol">
    <KeyDescriptor>
      <ds:KeyInfo>...RSA key elided...</ds:KeyInfo>
      <EncryptionMethod
        Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
      <EncryptionMethod
        Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes256-cbc"/>
      <EncryptionMethod
        Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-oaep-mgf1p"/>
    </KeyDescriptor>
    ...
  </SPSSODescriptor>
  ...
</EntityDescriptor>
```

---

## 3 Conformance

### 3.1 SAML V2.0 Metadata Profile for Algorithm Support Version 1.0

A metadata producer conforms to this profile if it has the ability to produce metadata in accordance with sections 2.3 and 2.4.

A metadata consumer conforms to this profile if it can consume extended metadata produced in accordance with sections 2.3 and 2.4 and conforms to the normative statements in section 2.5.

---

## Appendix A. Acknowledgements

The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

- Rob Philpott, EMC Corporation
- John Bradley, Individual
- Scott Cantor, Internet2
- Nate Klingenstein, Internet2
- Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.
- Anthony Nadalin, Microsoft Corporation
- Thinh Nguyenphu, Nokia Siemens Networks Gmb
- Phil Hunt, Oracle Corporation
- Ari Kermaier, Oracle Corporation
- Hal Lockhart, Oracle Corporation
- Emily Xu, Oracle Corporation
- Anil Saldhana, Red Hat
- David Staggs, Veterans Health Administration

---

## Appendix B. Revision History

- Working Draft 01, first working draft.
- Committee Draft 01, CD edits.
- Working Draft 02, fix example, add processContents="lax" to wildcards in schema.
- Working Draft 03, adjust filename and boilerplate to match latest templates.