



# SAML V2.0 Channel Binding Extensions Version 1.0

## Committee Specification Draft 01 / Public Review Draft 01

14 May 2013

### Specification URIs

#### This version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/csprd01/saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0-csprd01.odt> (Authoritative)  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/csprd01/saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0-csprd01.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/csprd01/saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0-csprd01.pdf>

#### Previous version:

N/A

#### Latest version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.odt> (Authoritative)  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.pdf>

#### Technical Committee:

OASIS Security Services (SAML) TC

#### Chairs:

Thomas Hardjono ([hardjono@mit.edu](mailto:hardjono@mit.edu)), M.I.T.  
Nate Klingenstein ([ndk@internet2.edu](mailto:ndk@internet2.edu)), Internet2

#### Editor:

Scott Cantor ([cantor.2@osu.edu](mailto:cantor.2@osu.edu)), Internet2

#### Additional artifacts:

This prose specification is one component of a Work Product which also includes:

- XML schema: <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/csprd01/xsd/>

#### Related work:

This specification is related to:

- *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0* March 2005. OASIS Standard. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf>.
- N. Williams. *On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels*. IETF RFC 5056, November 2007. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5056.txt>.

#### Declared XML namespace:

- `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding`

**Abstract:**

Protocol extensions enable extension-aware SAML requesters and responders to modify protocol behavior in a generic, layered fashion. This specification defines an extension to the SAML V2.0 protocol specification that supports the use of channel bindings in conjunction with SAML profiles. It also includes a new SAML profile that applies the extension to a set of profiles that fit a particular communication pattern.

**Status:**

This document was last revised or approved by the OASIS Security Services (SAML) TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this Work Product to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this Work Product, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/ipr.php>).

**Citation format:**

When referencing this Work Product the following citation format should be used:

**[SAML-CB-Ext v1.0]**

*SAML V2.0 Channel Binding Extensions Version 1.0*. 14 May 2013. OASIS Committee Specification Draft 01 / Public Review Draft 01. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/saml-channel-binding-ext/v1.0/csprd01/saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0-csprd01.html>.

# Notices

Copyright © OASIS Open 2013. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full [Policy](#) may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS" is a trademark of [OASIS](#), the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see <http://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/trademark> for above guidance.

# Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	5
1.1	Terminology and Notation.....	5
1.2	Normative References.....	6
1.3	Non-Normative References.....	7
2	SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings.....	8
2.1	Required Information.....	8
2.2	Overview.....	8
2.3	Element <cb:ChannelBindings>.....	8
2.4	Processing Rules.....	9
2.5	Use Within <saml:Advice>.....	9
2.6	Metadata Considerations.....	9
2.6.1	Metadata Example.....	10
3	Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles.....	11
3.1	Required Information.....	11
3.2	Profile Overview.....	11
3.3	Profile Description.....	11
3.3.1	SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity.....	11
3.3.2	Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity.....	11
3.3.3	SAML Response issued by Responding Entity.....	12
3.4	Use of Metadata.....	12
3.5	Security Considerations.....	12
4	Conformance.....	13
4.1	SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings.....	13
4.2	Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles.....	13
Appendix A	Acknowledgments.....	14
Appendix B	Revision History.....	15

---

# 1 Introduction

Channel binding, as described in [RFC5056], is a way of associating the authentication of communicating peers at one layer of the network stack with a secure channel established at a lower level of the stack, such as TLS. This specification describes an extension that facilitates the addition of channel bindings to SAML protocol messages and assertions.

Protocol extensions consist of elements defined for inclusion in the `<samlp:Extensions>` element that modify the behavior of SAML requesters and responders when processing extended protocol messages. The protocol extension defined in this specification allows for the inclusion of channel binding information into SAML requests or responses.

A SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] extension attribute is also defined to enable the signaling of channel binding support by particular endpoints.

Finally, a "meta"-profile is presented that acts as an extension for a variety of existing SAML profiles that fit an elementary request/response pattern.

## 1.1 Terminology and Notation

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]. These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

The term *TLS* as used in this specification refers to either the Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) Protocol 3.0 [SSL3] or any version of the Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol [RFC2246][RFC4346][RFC5246]. As used in this specification, the term *TLS* specifically does **not** refer to the SSL Protocol 2.0 [SSL2].

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

Prefix	XML Namespace	Comments
cb:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding	This is the SAML V2.0 channel binding extension namespace defined by this document and its accompanying schema.
saml:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion	This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
samlp:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol	This is the SAML V2.0 protocol namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
md:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata	This is the SAML V2.0 metadata namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 metadata specification [SAML2Meta].
S:	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/	This is the SOAP 1.1 envelope namespace defined in [SOAP1.1].
xsd:	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema

	listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown.
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------

26 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: `<ns:Element>`, `Attribute`,  
27 **Datatype**, `OtherCode`.

28 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in XML listings:

29 Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

30 Listings of XML examples appear like this. These listings are non-normative.

## 31 1.2 Normative References

- 32 **[CBReg]** Channel Binding Types Registry, IANA.  
33 <http://www.iana.org/assignments/channel-binding-types/>
- 34 **[RFC2045]** N. Freed et al. *Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format*  
35 *of Internet Message Bodies*. IETF RFC 2045, November 1996.  
36 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt>
- 37 **[RFC2119]** S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. IETF  
38 RFC 2119, March 1997. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- 39 **[RFC2246]** T. Dierks, C. Allen. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.0*. IETF RFC  
40 2246, January 1999. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>
- 41 **[RFC4346]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.1*. IETF  
42 RFC 4346, April 2006. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt>
- 43 **[RFC5056]** N. Williams. *On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels*. IETF RFC  
44 5056, November 2007. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5056.txt>
- 45 **[RFC5246]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.2*. IETF  
46 RFC 5246, August 2008. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5246.txt>
- 47 **[SAML2Bind]** OASIS Standard, *Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*  
48 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)  
49 [bindings-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)
- 50 **[SAML2Core]** OASIS Standard, *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion*  
51 *Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)  
52 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)
- 53 **[SAML2Errata]** OASIS Approved Errata, *SAML V2.0 Errata*, May 2012. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/errata05/os/saml-v2.0-errata05-os.pdf)  
54 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/errata05/os/saml-v2.0-errata05-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/errata05/os/saml-v2.0-errata05-os.pdf)
- 55 **[SAML2Meta]** OASIS Standard, *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*  
56 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)  
57 [metadata-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)
- 58 **[SAML2Prof]** OASIS Standard, *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*  
59 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)  
60 [profiles-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)
- 61 **[Schema1]** H. S. Thompson et al. *XML Schema Part 1: Structures*. World Wide Web  
62 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)  
63 [xmlschema-1-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)
- 64 **[Schema2]** Paul V. Biron, Ashok Malhotra. *XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes*. World Wide Web  
65 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)  
66 [xmlschema-2-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)
- 67 **[SOAP1.1]** D. Box et al. *Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) 1.1*. World Wide Web  
68 Consortium Note, May 2000. <http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP>

- 69       **[SSL3]**           A. Freier, P. Karlton, P. Kocher. *The SSL Protocol Version 3.0*. Netscape  
70                           Communications Corp., November 18, 1996.  
71                           <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft302.txt>
- 72       **[XMLSig]**           D. Eastlake et al. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing, Second Edition*. World  
73                           Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, June 2008.  
74                           <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>

### 75   **1.3 Non-Normative References**

- 76       **[RFC5929]**          J. Altman, et al. *Channel Bindings for TLS*. IETF RFC 5929, July 2010.  
77                           <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5929.txt>
- 78       **[SSL2]**             K. Hickman. *The SSL Protocol*. Netscape Communications Corp., February 9,  
79                           1995. <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft02.html>
- 80       **[XMLEnc]**          D. Eastlake et al. *XML Encryption Syntax and Processing*. World Wide Web  
81                           Consortium Recommendation, December 2002.  
82                           <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/>

---

## 83 2 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel 84 Bindings

### 85 2.1 Required Information

86 **Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding

87 **Contact information:** [security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org)

88 **Description:** Given below.

89 **Updates:** None.

### 90 2.2 Overview

91 This extension defines a mechanism for the communication of channel bindings at the SAML protocol  
92 layer, along with a SAML metadata extension to assist in the deployment of extended capabilities. This  
93 extension allows arbitrarily defined channel binding data to be attached to a SAML request or response  
94 message (i.e., any protocol message derived from **samlp:RequestAbstractType** or **samlp>Status-**  
95 **ResponseType**). The extension can also be used as a SOAP header block for use with more complex  
96 profiles.

97 Specific definitions of channel binding data are out of scope of this specification; the IANA registry can be  
98 found at [CBReg].

### 99 2.3 Element <cb:ChannelBindings>

100 The <cb:ChannelBindings> element contains typed, opaque channel bindings that are associated  
101 with a SAML request or response. The element includes the following attributes:

102 **Type** [optional]

103 A string that identifies the type of the enclosed channel bindings. Channel binding types are  
104 registered by IANA at [CBReg]. For some applications, the type of channel binding in use will be  
105 unknown to the layer that creates the extension, so this attribute is optional.

106 **S:actor** [optional]

107 Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

108 **S:mustUnderstand** [optional]

109 Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

110 The content of this element consists of application- and type-specific channel bindings, base64-encoded  
111 [RFC2045]. The element MAY be empty. The actual content of the element may be specified by SAML  
112 profiles or other specifications that make use of this extension by defining a "channel binding encoding"  
113 specific to their needs. Such specifications MUST ensure that the data is base-64 encoded, usually as a  
114 final encoding step.

115 In the absence of a more specific encoding, an application may require encoding the raw octets of the  
116 channel binding data specified by the channel binding type. This is termed the "default" channel binding  
117 encoding, used in the absence of a more specific format.

118 The schema for the <cb:ChannelBindings> element, and its corresponding **cb:ChannelBinding-**  
119 **sType** complex type, is as follows:

```
120 <element name="ChannelBindings" type="cb:ChannelBindingsType"/>  
121 <complexType name="ChannelBindingsType">  
122 <simpleContent>
```



```
123 <extension base="base64Binary">
124   <attribute name="Type" type="string"/>
125   <attribute ref="S:actor"/>
126   <attribute ref="S:mustUnderstand"/>
127 </extension>
128 </simpleContent>
129 </complexType>
```

## 130 2.4 Processing Rules

131 This extension is included in a protocol message by placing it in the optional `<samlp:Extensions>` ele-  
132 ment. All extensions are explicitly deemed optional in SAML, so processing of the extension can never be  
133 assumed, absent additional out of band knowledge or subsequent signaling. The SAML V2.0 metadata  
134 extension defined in section 2.6 MAY be used to indicate the ability to process this extension at a particu-  
135 lar endpoint.

136 There are no explicit processing requirements associated with this extension, as it is required that other  
137 profiles supply them. As a generic matter, when this element is non-empty, a message that contains this  
138 extension is considered bound to the specified channel if the message can be authenticated by means  
139 other than the specified channel, and if the message recipient can independently verify the channel bind-  
140 ings in a profile-specific manner.

141 As a simple example, normatively described in section 3, a signed SAML request containing TLS channel  
142 bindings [RFC5929] sent to a TLS-enabled endpoint can be bound to the TLS connection if the SAML re-  
143 sponder can verify that its channel bindings match that found in the request. More complex scenarios are  
144 possible in profiles that involve active intermediaries between SAML entities.

145 This extension element MAY be empty, in which case it can be used to signal the successful  
146 processing/verification of channel bindings supplied by an associated message (typically identified using  
147 the `InResponseTo` attribute). For example, a response message could signal the successful verification  
148 of channel bindings supplied in the associated request.

## 149 2.5 Use Within `<saml:Advice>`

150 This extension MAY be used within the `<saml:Advice>` element to indicate that an assertion was is-  
151 sued in conjunction with the verification of channel bindings by the issuing authority. Either form (empty or  
152 non-empty) MAY be used. All advice elements have optional semantics, and MAY be ignored in establish-  
153 ing assertion validity, but relying parties MAY take into account the presence or absence of this extension  
154 in determining whether to accept an assertion.

155 The use of this extension within an assertion is essentially an optimization to permit signaling that would  
156 otherwise occur in a `<samlp:Response>` message to avoid signature duplication. It is analagous in that  
157 regard to data such as the `InResponseTo` or `Recipient` attributes found in the `<SubjectConfirma-  
158 tionData>` element.

## 159 2.6 Metadata Considerations

160 SAML metadata MAY be used to indicate support for this protocol extension at particular protocol end-  
161 points, using the extension capabilities of the metadata schema.

162 Support for this extension is expressed in SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] by adding an XML attribute  
163 to an element derived from the **md:EndpointType** complex type, indicating that SAML protocol mes-  
164 sages sent to that endpoint MAY include this extension, and identifying which types of channel bindings  
165 are supported in a whitespace-delineated list.

166 The following schema fragment defines the `cb:supportsChannelBindings` attribute:

```
167 <attribute name="supportsChannelBindings">
168   <simpleType>
```

```
169     <list itemType="string"/>
170   </simpleType>
171 </attribute>
```

## 172 2.6.1 Metadata Example

173 The example below shows a fragment of an `<md:AttributeService>` element that advertises support  
174 for this extension. The namespace declaration must be in scope, but the prefix is of course arbitrary.

```
175 <md:AttributeService
176   xmlns:cb="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding"
177   cb:supportsChannelBindings="tls-server-end-point" .../>
```

---

## 178 3 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles

### 179 3.1 Required Information

180 **Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:two-party

181 **Contact information:** [security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org)

182 **Description:** Given below.

183 **Updates:** SAML profiles designed around a simple request/response exchange between two parties.

### 184 3.2 Profile Overview

185 A number of SAML profiles exist that define the use of SAML request/response message pairs between a  
186 pair of entities communicating directly with each other in a simple manner. Generally such profiles are  
187 used with the SAML SOAP Binding [SAML2Bind], though this is not assumed or required. Examples of  
188 such profiles include, but are not limited to, the Artifact Resolution, Assertion Query/Request, Name Identifier Mapping, and Single Logout Profiles [SAML2Prof] (the latter in its "back-channel" form).

189 This profile defines an enhanced variant of all such profiles that relies on the protocol extension defined in  
190 section 2 to provide additional security options for SAML entities supporting such profiles by binding the  
191 SAML exchange to a secure channel that is established between the parties, but not used for mutual authentication of the SAML exchange.

192 This is accomplished via the SAML requester attaching channel bindings to its SAML request message.  
193 The SAML responder can optionally verify the channel bindings, and adjust its behavior according to local  
194 policy (suggested examples are given below). A SAML requester could also adjust its behavior in subsequent communication with the SAML responder over the same channel.

### 198 3.3 Profile Description

#### 199 3.3.1 SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity

200 A SAML request message is formulated and transmitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and  
201 binding requirements, but in the presence of a secure channel for transport of the SAML binding such as  
202 TLS, the SAML requester MAY attach one or more channel bindings by including one or more  
203 `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension elements in the SAML request's `<samlp:Extensions>` element.

204 Within each extension element, the `Type` attribute MUST be set to the channel binding type, and the raw  
205 channel binding data MUST be base64-encoded and the result used as the content of the element (the  
206 "default" channel binding encoding).

207 The SAML request MUST be integrity protected and authenticated (obviously by means other than the secure channel), typically via an XML Signature [XMLSig].

#### 209 3.3.2 Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity

210 The SAML responder SHOULD examine the `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension element(s), if present  
211 in the SAML request, and verify at least one of the channel bindings. In the event of verification failure,  
212 the SAML responder MAY return an error/failure response to the requester. It MAY include a second-level  
213 status code of:

214 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding

215 If it chooses not to return an error and proceed, the SAML responder SHOULD take into account the  
216 presence or absence of channel bindings in formulating its response. In their absence, the responder  
217 MUST NOT assume a secure channel between itself and the requester. A typical example might include  
218 choosing between XML Encryption [XMLEnc] and relying on the secure channel for confidentiality.

### 219 **3.3.3 SAML Response issued by Responding Entity**

220 A SAML response message is formulated and transmitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and  
221 binding requirements. If the responder successfully verified channel bindings supplied by the requester, it  
222 MUST include at least one `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension element in the SAML response's  
223 `<samlp:Extensions>` element, and/or in an enclosed `<saml:Assertion>`'s `<saml:Advice>` ele-  
224 ment.

225 The extension element(s) MAY be empty, but MUST contain a `Type` attribute indicating the type of chan-  
226 nel bindings verified. More than one element MAY be included if the responder verified more than one  
227 type of channel bindings.

228 Upon receipt of the response, the SAML requester MAY apply local policy based on the presence or ab-  
229 sence of the indication of successful verification of the channel bindings, such as adjusting its own reli-  
230 ance on the channel in subsequent communication.

## 231 **3.4 Use of Metadata**

232 While use of this extended variant is backwardly compatible with profile endpoints that lack such support,  
233 the metadata extension defined in section 2.6 SHOULD be used by SAML responders to indicate support  
234 for the extension, and SAML requesters SHOULD make use of the metadata extension content in decid-  
235 ing what type of channel bindings to supply.

## 236 **3.5 Security Considerations**

237 SAML requesters that attach channel bindings MUST ensure that the responder includes an appropriate  
238 indication of successful verification before assuming the presence of a secure channel. Since SAML is not  
239 defined in terms of connection-oriented communication, there is no preparatory "establishment" of a se-  
240 curity context that would signal the success or failure of the channel binding separately from the SAML  
241 communication itself.

242 Channel bindings MAY be sent without confidentiality protection and knowledge of them is assumed to  
243 provide no advantage to an MITM.

244 The general security considerations of channel bindings [RFC5056] and specific channel binding types  
245 [CBReg] also apply.

---

## 246 4 Conformance

### 247 4.1 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings

248 There are no explicit conformance requirements associated with this section, but any SAML implementa-  
249 tion conformant with [SAML2Core] is expected to successfully process SAML messages are assertions  
250 that contain the extension (as all such extensions are explicitly optional).

### 251 4.2 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles

252 A SAML requester that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2  
253 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements  
254 for SAML requesters throughout section 3.

255 A SAML responder that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2  
256 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements  
257 for SAML responders throughout section 3.

---

## 258 **Appendix A Acknowledgments**

259 The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical Com-  
260 mittee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

- 261 • Scott Cantor, Internet2
- 262 • Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.
- 263 • Rainer Hoerbe, Individual
- 264 • Nate Klingenstein, Internet2
- 265 • Chad LaJoie, Covisint, a Compuware Company
- 266 • Hal Lockhart, Oracle
- 267 • Anil, Saldhana, Red Hat

268 The editor would also like to acknowledge the following contributors:

- 269 • Nicolas Williams
- 270 • Simon Josefsson, SJD AB
- 271 • Venkat Yekkirala, NCSA

---

## 272 **Appendix B Revision History**

- 273 • Working Draft 01 – Initial draft.
- 274 • Working Draft 02 – Apply new OASIS template and change filenames.
- 275 • Working Draft 03 – Fixes to template and corrected Nate's name.
- 276 • Working Draft 04 – Clarify that encoding of CB data is left to profiles, and nail down encoding for  
277 the inline profile.
- 278 • Working Draft 06 – Same as Working Draft 04, hopefully clearer this time, and updated errata ref-  
279 erence.