



# Key Management Interoperability Protocol Usage Guide Version 1.0

Committee Draft 05 / Public Review 01

5 November 2009

**Specification URIs:**

**This Version:**

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/ug/v1.0/cd05/kmip-ug-1.0-cd-05.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/ug/v1.0/cd05/kmip-ug-1.0-cd-05.doc> (Authoritative)  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/ug/v1.0/cd05/kmip-ug-1.0-cd-05.pdf>

**Previous Version:**

N/A

**Latest Version:**

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/ug/v1.0/kmip-ug-1.0.html>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/ug/v1.0/kmip-ug-1.0.doc>  
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/ug/v1.0/kmip-ug-1.0.pdf>

**Technical Committee:**

[OASIS Key Management Interoperability Protocol \(KMIP\) TC](#)

**Chair(s):**

Robert Griffin, EMC Corporation <[robert.griffin@rsa.com](mailto:robert.griffin@rsa.com)>  
Subhash Sankuratripati, NetApp <[Subhash.Sankuratripati@netapp.com](mailto:Subhash.Sankuratripati@netapp.com)>

**Editor(s):**

Indra Fitzgerald, HP <[indra.fitzgerald@hp.com](mailto:indra.fitzgerald@hp.com)>

**Related work:**

This specification replaces or supersedes:

- None

This specification is related to:

- [Key Management Interoperability Protocol Specification Version 1.0](#)
- [Key Management Interoperability Protocol Profiles Version 1.0](#)
- [Key Management Interoperability Protocol Use Cases Version 1.0](#)

**Declared XML Namespace(s):**

None

**Abstract:**

This document is intended to complement the Key Management Interoperability Protocol Specification by providing guidance on how to implement the Key Management Interoperability Protocol (KMIP) most effectively to ensure interoperability.

**Status:**

This document was last revised or approved by the Key Management Interoperability Protocol TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest Version" or "Latest Approved Version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/kmip/>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/kmip/ipr.php>.)

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/kmip/>.

---

## Notices

Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The names "OASIS", "KMIP" are trademarks of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php> for above guidance.

---

# Table of Contents

1	Introduction .....	6
1.1	Terminology .....	6
1.2	Normative References .....	6
1.3	Non-normative References .....	9
2	Assumptions .....	10
2.1	Island of Trust .....	10
2.2	Message Security .....	10
2.3	State-less Server .....	10
2.4	Extensible Protocol .....	10
2.5	Support for Cryptographic Objects .....	10
2.6	Client-Server Message-based Model .....	10
2.7	Synchronous and Asynchronous Messages .....	11
2.8	Support for “Intelligent Clients” and “Key Using Devices” .....	11
2.9	Batched Requests and Responses .....	11
2.10	Reliable Message Delivery .....	11
2.11	Large Responses .....	11
2.12	Key Life-cycle and Key State .....	11
3	Usage Guidelines .....	12
3.1	Authentication .....	12
3.2	Authorization for Revoke, Recover, Destroy and Archive Operations .....	12
3.3	Using Notify and Put Operations .....	12
3.4	Usage Allocation .....	13
3.5	Key State and Times .....	13
3.6	Template .....	15
3.7	Archive Operations .....	15
3.8	Message Extensions .....	15
3.9	Unique Identifiers .....	15
3.10	Result Message Text .....	15
3.11	Query .....	15
3.12	Canceling Asynchronous Operations .....	15
3.13	Multi-instance Hash .....	15
3.14	Returning Related Objects .....	16
3.15	Reducing Multiple Requests through the Use of Batch .....	16
3.16	Maximum Message Size .....	16
3.17	Using Offset in Re-key and Re-certify Operations .....	16
3.18	Locate Queries .....	16
3.19	ID Placeholder .....	18
3.20	Key Block .....	18
3.21	Using Wrapped Keys with KMIP .....	19
3.21.1	Encrypt-only Example with a Symmetric Key as an Encryption Key for a Get Request and Response .....	20
3.21.2	Encrypt-only Example with a Symmetric Key as an Encryption Key for a Register Request and Response .....	20

3.21.3	Encrypt-only Example with an Asymmetric Key as an Encryption Key for a Get Request and Response .....	21
3.21.4	MAC-only Example with an HMAC Key as an Authentication Key for a Get Request and Response 21 .....	21
3.21.5	Registering a Wrapped Key as an Opaque Cryptographic Object .....	22
3.22	Object Group .....	22
3.23	Certify and Re-certify .....	22
3.24	Specifying Attributes during a Create Key Pair Operation .....	23
3.24.1	Example of Specifying Attributes during the Create Key Pair Operation.....	23
3.25	Registering a Key Pair .....	24
3.26	Non-Cryptographic Objects .....	25
3.27	Asymmetric Concepts with Symmetric Keys .....	25
3.28	Application Specific Information .....	26
3.29	Mutating Attributes.....	27
3.30	Interoperable Key Naming for Tape .....	28
3.30.1	Native Tape Encryption by a KMIP Client.....	28
3.31	Revocation Reason Codes.....	32
3.32	Certificate Renewal, Update, and Re-key .....	32
3.33	Key Encoding.....	32
3.33.1	AES Key Encoding.....	32
3.33.2	Triple-DES Key Encoding .....	33
4	Deferred KMIP Functionality .....	34
5	Implementation Conformance .....	36
A.	Acronyms.....	37
B.	Acknowledgements .....	39
C.	Revision History.....	41

**Tables**

Table 1:	ID Placeholder Prior to and Resulting from a KMIP Operation.....	18
Table 2:	Cryptographic Usage Masks Pairs.....	26

---

# 1 Introduction

This Key Management Interoperability Protocol Usage Guide is intended to complement the Key Management Interoperability Protocol Specification **[KMIP-Spec]** by providing guidance on how to implement the Key Management Interoperability Protocol (KMIP) most effectively to ensure interoperability. In particular, it includes the following guidance:

- Clarification of assumptions and requirements that drive or influence the design of KMIP and the implementation of KMIP-compliant key management.
- Specific recommendations for implementation of particular KMIP functionality.
- Clarification of mandatory and optional capabilities for conformant implementations.
- Functionality considered for inclusion in KMIP V1.0, but deferred to subsequent versions of the standard.

A selected set of conformance profiles and authentication suites are defined in the KMIP Profiles specification **[KMIP-Prof]**,

Further assistance for implementing KMIP is provided by the KMIP Use Cases for Proof of Concept Testing document **[KMIP-UC]** that describes a set of recommended test cases and provides the TTLV (Tag/Type/Length/Value) format for the message exchanges defined by those use cases.

## 1.1 Terminology

For a list of terminologies refer to **[KMIP-Spec]**.

## 1.2 Normative References

- [FIPS186-3]** *Digital Signature Standard (DSS)*, FIPS PUB 186-3, June 2009, [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips186-3/fips\\_186-3.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips186-3/fips_186-3.pdf)
- [FIPS197]** *Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)*, FIPS PUB 197, November 26, 2001, <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips197/fips-197.pdf>
- [FIPS198-1]** *The Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC)*, FIPS PUB 198-1, July 2008, [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips198-1/FIPS-198-1\\_final.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips198-1/FIPS-198-1_final.pdf)
- [IEEE1003-1]** IEEE Std 1003.1, *Standard for information technology - portable operating system interface (POSIX). Shell and utilities*, 2004.
- [ISO16609]** ISO, *Banking -- Requirements for message authentication using symmetric techniques*, ISO 16609, 1991.
- [ISO9797-1]** ISO/IEC, *Information technology -- Security techniques -- Message Authentication Codes (MACs) -- Part 1: Mechanisms using a block cipher*, ISO/IEC 9797-1, 1999.
- [KMIP-Spec]** OASIS Committee Draft 06, *Key Management Interoperability Protocol Specification Version 1.0*, November 2009. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/spec/v1.0/cd06/kmip-spec-1.0-cd-06.doc>
- [KMIP-Prof]** OASIS Committee Draft 04, *Key Management Interoperability Protocol Profiles Version 1.0*, November 2009. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/cd04/kmip-profiles-1.0-cd-04.doc>
- [PKCS#1]** RSA Laboratories, *PKCS #1 v2.1: RSA Cryptography Standard*, June 14, 2002, <http://www.rsa.com/rsalabs/node.asp?id=2125>
- [PKCS#5]** RSA Laboratories, *PKCS #5 v2.1: Password-Based Cryptography Standard*, October 5, 2006, <http://www.rsa.com/rsalabs/node.asp?id=2127>
- [PKCS#7]** RSA Laboratories, *PKCS#7 v1.5: Cryptographic Message Syntax Standard*. November 1, 1993, <http://www.rsa.com/rsalabs/node.asp?id=2129>

- 45 [PKCS#8] RSA Laboratories, PKCS#8 v1.2: Private-Key Information Syntax Standard,  
46 November 1, 1993, <http://www.rsa.com/rsalabs/node.asp?id=2130>
- 47 [PKCS#10] RSA Laboratories, PKCS #10 v1.7: Certification Request Syntax Standard, May  
48 26, 2000, <http://www.rsa.com/rsalabs/node.asp?id=2132>
- 49 [RFC1319] B. Kaliski, *The MD2 Message-Digest Algorithm*, IETF RFC 1319, Apr 1992,  
50 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1319.txt>
- 51 [RFC1320] R. Rivest, *The MD4 Message-Digest Algorithm*, IETF RFC 1320, Apr 1992,  
52 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1320.txt>
- 53 [RFC1321] R. Rivest, *The MD5 Message-Digest Algorithm*, IETF RFC 1321, Apr 1992,  
54 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1321.txt>
- 55 [RFC1421] J. Linn, *Privacy Enhancement for Internet Electronic Mail: Part I: Message*  
56 *Encryption and Authentication Procedures*, IETF RFC 1421, Feb 1993,  
57 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1421.txt>
- 58 [RFC1424] B. Kaliski, *Privacy Enhancement for Internet Electronic Mail: Part IV: Key*  
59 *Certification and Related Services*, IETF RFC 1424, February 1993,  
60 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1424.txt>
- 61 [RFC2104] H. Krawczyk, M. Bellare, R. Canetti, *HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message*  
62 *Authentication*, IETF RFC 2104. Feb 1007, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2104.txt>
- 63 [RFC2119] S. Bradner, *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*,  
64 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>, IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.
- 65 [RFC2898] B. Kaliski, *PKCS #5: Password-Based Cryptography Specification Version 2.0*,  
66 IETF RFC 2898, Sep 2000, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2898.txt>
- 67 [RFC3394] J. Schaad, R. Housley, *Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Key Wrap*  
68 *Algorithm*, IETF RFC 3394, Sep 2002, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3394.txt>
- 69 [RFC3447] J. Jonsson, B. Kaliski, *Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA*  
70 *Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1*, IETF RFC 3447 Feb 2003,  
71 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3447.txt>
- 72 [RFC3629] F. Yergeau, *UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646*, IETF RFC 3629, Nov  
73 2003, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3629.txt>
- 74 [RFC3647] S. Chokhani, W. Ford, R. Sabett, C. Merrill, and S. Wu, *RFC3647: Internet*  
75 *X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate Policy and Certification Practices*  
76 *Framework*, November 2003, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3647.txt>
- 77 [RFC4210] C. Adams, S. Farrell, T. Kause and T. Mononen, *RFC2510: Internet X.509*  
78 *Public Key Infrastructure Certificate Management Protocol (CMP)*, September  
79 2005, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4210.txt>
- 80 [RFC4211] J. Schaad, *RFC 4211: Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate*  
81 *Request Message Format (CRMF)*, September 2005,  
82 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4211.txt>
- 83 [RFC4868] S. Kelly, S. Frankel, *Using HMAC-SHA-256, HMAC-SHA-384, and HMAC-SHA-*  
84 *512 with IPsec*, IETF RFC 4868, May 2007, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4868.txt>
- 85 [RFC4949] R. Shirey, *RFC4949: Internet Security Glossary, Version 2*, August 2007,  
86 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4949.txt>
- 87 [RFC5272] J. Schaad and M. Meyers, *RFC5272: Certificate Management over CMS (CMC)*,  
88 June 2008, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5272.txt>
- 89 [RFC5280] D. Cooper, S. Santesson, S. Farrell, S. Boeyen, R. Housley and W. Polk, *RFC*  
90 *5280: Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate*  
91 *Revocation List (CRL) Profile*, May 2008, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5280.txt>
- 92 [RFC5649] R. Housley, *Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Key Wrap with Padding*  
93 *Algorithm*, IETF RFC 5649, Aug 2009, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5649.txt>
- 94 [SP800-38A] M. Dworkin, *Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation – Methods*  
95 *and Techniques*, NIST Special Publication 800-38A, Dec 2001,  
96 <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38a/sp800-38a.pdf>

97 [SP800-38B] M. Dworkin, *Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The CMAC*  
98 *Mode for Authentication*, NIST Special Publication 800-38B, May 2005,  
99 [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38B/SP\\_800-38B.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38B/SP_800-38B.pdf)

100 [SP800-38C] M. Dworkin, *Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: the CCM*  
101 *Mode for Authentication and Confidentiality*, NIST Special Publication 800-38C,  
102 May 2004, [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38C/SP800-](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38C/SP800-38C_updated-July20_2007.pdf)  
103 [38C\\_updated-July20\\_2007.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38C/SP800-38C_updated-July20_2007.pdf)

104 [SP800-38D] M. Dworkin, *Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation:*  
105 *Galois/Counter Mode (GCM) and GMAC*, NIST Special Publication 800-38D, Nov  
106 2007, <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38D/SP-800-38D.pdf>

107 [SP800-38E] M. Dworkin, *Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: The XTS-*  
108 *AES Mode for Confidentiality on Block-Oriented Storage Devices*, NIST Special  
109 Publication 800-38E, Aug 2009 (draft), [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-38E/draft-sp800-38E.pdf)  
110 [38E/draft-sp800-38E.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-38E/draft-sp800-38E.pdf)

111 [SP800-56A] E. Barker, D. Johnson, and M. Smid, *Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key*  
112 *Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography (Revised)*, NIST  
113 Special Publication 800-56A, March 2007,  
114 [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-56A/SP800-56A\\_Revision1\\_Mar08-](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-56A/SP800-56A_Revision1_Mar08-2007.pdf)  
115 [2007.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-56A/SP800-56A_Revision1_Mar08-2007.pdf)

116 [SP800-57-1] E. Barker, W. Barker, W. Burr, W. Polk, and M. Smid, *Recommendations for Key*  
117 *Management - Part 1: General (Revised)*, NIST Special Publication 800-57 part  
118 1, March 2007, [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-57/sp800-57-Part1-](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-57/sp800-57-Part1-revised2_Mar08-2007.pdf)  
119 [revised2\\_Mar08-2007.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-57/sp800-57-Part1-revised2_Mar08-2007.pdf)

120 [SP800-67] W. Barker, *Recommendation for the Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA)*  
121 *Block Cipher*, NIST Special Publication 800-67, Version 1.1, Revised 19 May  
122 2008, <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-67/SP800-67.pdf>

123 [SP800-108] L. Chen, *Recommendation for Key Derivation Using Pseudorandom Functions*  
124 *(Revised)*, NIST Special Publication 800-108, October 2009,  
125 <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-108/sp800-108.pdf>

126 [X.509] International Telecommunication Union (ITU)-T, X.509: Information technology  
127 – Open systems interconnection – The Directory: Public-key and attribute  
128 certificate frameworks, August 2005, [http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.509-200508-](http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.509-200508-l/en)  
129 [l/en](http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.509-200508-l/en)

130 [X9.24-1] ANSI, *X9.24: Retail Financial Services Symmetric Key Management - Part 1:*  
131 *Using Symmetric Techniques*, 2004.

132 [X9.31] ANSI, *X9.31: Digital Signatures Using Reversible Public Key Cryptography for*  
133 *the Financial Services Industry (rDSA)*, September 1998.

134 [X9.42] ANSI, *X9-42: Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry:*  
135 *Agreement of Symmetric Keys Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography*, 2003.

136 [X9-57] ANSI, *X9-57: Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry:*  
137 *Certificate Management*, 1997.

138 [X9.62] ANSI, *X9-62: Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry, The*  
139 *Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)*, 2005.

140 [X9-63] ANSI, *X9-63: Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry, Key*  
141 *Agreement and Key Transport Using Elliptic Curve Cryptography*, 2001.

142 [X9-102] ANSI, *X9-102: Symmetric Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry -*  
143 *Wrapping of Keys and Associated Data*, 2008.

144 [X9 TR-31] ANSI, *X9 TR-31: Interoperable Secure Key Exchange Key Block Specification for*  
145 *Symmetric Algorithms*, 2005.



146 **1.3 Non-normative References**

- 147 **[KMIP-UC]** OASIS Committee Draft 05, *Key Management Interoperability Protocol Use*  
148 *Cases Version 1.0*, November 2009. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/usecases/v1.0/cd05/kmip-usecases-1.0-cd-05.doc)  
149 [open.org/kmip/usecases/v1.0/cd05/kmip-usecases-1.0-cd-05.doc](http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/usecases/v1.0/cd05/kmip-usecases-1.0-cd-05.doc)  
150

---

## 151 2 Assumptions

152 The section describes assumptions that underlie the KMIP protocol and the implementation of clients and  
153 servers that utilize the protocol.

### 154 2.1 Island of Trust

155 Clients may be provided key material by the server, but they only use that keying material for the  
156 purposes explicitly listed in the delivery payload. Clients that ignore these instructions and use the keys in  
157 ways not explicitly allowed by the server are non-compliant. There is no requirement for the key  
158 management system, however, to enforce this behavior.

### 159 2.2 Message Security

160 KMIP relies on the chosen authentication suite as specified in [KMIP-Prof] to authenticate the client and  
161 on the underlying transport protocol to provide confidentiality, integrity, message authentication and  
162 protection against replay attack. KMIP offers a wrapping mechanism for the Key Value that does not rely  
163 on the transport mechanism used for the messages; the wrapping mechanism is intended for importing or  
164 exporting managed cryptographic objects.

### 165 2.3 State-less Server

166 The protocol operates on the assumption that the server is state-less, which means that there is no  
167 concept of “sessions” inherent in the protocol. State-less server operation is much more reliable and  
168 easier to implement than stateful operation, and is consistent with possible implementation scenarios,  
169 such as web-services-based servers. This does not mean that the server itself maintains no state, only  
170 that the protocol does not require this.

### 171 2.4 Extensible Protocol

172 The protocol provides for “private” or vendor-specific extensions, which allow for differentiation among  
173 vendor implementations. However, any objects, attributes and operations included in an implementation  
174 are always implemented as specified in [KMIP-Spec], regardless of whether they are optional or  
175 mandatory.

### 176 2.5 Support for Cryptographic Objects

177 The protocol supports all reasonable key management system-related cryptographic objects. This list  
178 currently includes:

- 179 • Symmetric Keys
- 180 • Split (multi-part) Keys
- 181 • Asymmetric Key Pairs and their components
- 182 • Digital Certificates
- 183 • Derived Keys
- 184 • Secret Data
- 185 • Opaque (non-interpretable) cryptographic objects

### 186 2.6 Client-Server Message-based Model

187 The protocol operates primarily in a client-server, message-based model. This means that most protocol  
188 exchanges are initiated by a client sending a request message to a server, which then sends a response  
189 to the client. The protocol also provides optional mechanisms to allow for unsolicited notification of events

190 to clients using the Notify operation, and unsolicited delivery of cryptographic objects to clients using the  
191 Put operation; that is, the protocol allows a “push” model, whereby the server initiates the protocol  
192 exchange with either a Notify or Put operation. These Notify or Put features are optionally supported by  
193 servers and clients. Clients may register in order to receive such events/notifications. Registration is  
194 implementation-specific and not described in the specification.

## 195 **2.7 Synchronous and Asynchronous Messages**

196 The protocol allows two modes of operation. Synchronous (mandatory) operations are those in which a  
197 client sends a request and waits for a response from the server. Polled Asynchronous operations  
198 (optional) are those in which the client sends a request, the server responds with a “pending” status, and  
199 the client polls the server for the completed response and completion status. Server implementations may  
200 choose not to support the Polled Asynchronous feature of the protocol.

## 201 **2.8 Support for “Intelligent Clients” and “Key Using Devices”**

202 The protocol supports intelligent clients, such as end-user workstations, which are capable of requesting  
203 all of the functions of KMIP. It also allows subsets of the protocol and possible alternate message  
204 representations in order to support less-capable devices, which only need a subset of the features of  
205 KMIP.

## 206 **2.9 Batched Requests and Responses**

207 The protocol contains a mechanism for sending batched requests and receiving the corresponding  
208 batched responses, to allow for higher throughput on operations that deal with a large number of entities,  
209 e. g., requesting dozens or hundreds of keys from a server at one time, and performing operations in a  
210 group. An option is provided to indicate whether to continue processing requests after an earlier request  
211 in the batch fails or to stop processing the remaining requests in the batch. Note that there is no option to  
212 treat an entire batch as atomic, that is, if a request in the batch fails, then preceding requests in the batch  
213 are not undone or rolled back (see Section 3.15 ). A special ID Placeholder (see Section 3.19 ) is  
214 provided in KMIP to allow related requests in a batch to be pipelined.

## 215 **2.10 Reliable Message Delivery**

216 The reliable message delivery function is relegated to the transport protocol, and is not part of the key  
217 management protocol itself.

## 218 **2.11 Large Responses**

219 For requests that could result in large responses, a mechanism in the protocol allows a client to specify in  
220 a request the maximum allowed size of a response. The server indicates in a response to such a request  
221 that the response would have been too large and, therefore, is not returned.

## 222 **2.12 Key Life-cycle and Key State**

223 **[KMIP-Spec]** describes the key life-cycle model, based on the NIST SP 800-57 key state definitions  
224 **[SP800-57-1]**, supported by the KMIP protocol. Particular implications of the key life-cycle model in terms  
225 of defining time-related attributes of objects are discussed in Section 3.5 below.

226

---

## 227 3 Usage Guidelines

228 This section provides guidance on using the functionality described in the Key Management  
229 Interoperability Protocol Specification.

### 230 3.1 Authentication

231 As discussed in **[KMIP-Spec]**, a conforming KMIP implementation establishes and maintains channel  
232 confidentiality and integrity, and proves server authenticity for KMIP messaging. Client authentication is  
233 performed according to the chosen KMIP authentication suite as specified in **[KMIP-Prof]**. Other  
234 mechanisms for client and server authentication are possible and optional for KMIP implementations.

235 KMIP implementations that use other vendor-specific mechanisms for authentication may use the  
236 Credential attribute to include additional identification information. Depending on the server's  
237 configuration, the server may interpret the identity of the requestor from the Credential object if it is not  
238 provided during the channel level authentication. For example, in addition to performing mutual  
239 authentication during SSL/TLS, the client passes the Credential object (e.g. username and password) in  
240 the request. If the requestor's username is not specified inside the client certificate and is instead  
241 specified in the Credential object, the server interprets the identity of the requestor from the Credential  
242 object. This supports use cases where channel level authentication authenticates a machine or service  
243 that is used by multiple users of the KMIP server. If the client provides the username of the requestor in  
244 both the client certificate and the Credential object, the server verifies that the usernames are the same. If  
245 they differ, the authentication fails and the server returns an error. If no Credential object is included in the  
246 request, the username of the requestor is expected to be provided inside the certificate.

247 If authentication is unsuccessful, and it is possible to return an "authentication not successful" error, this  
248 error should be returned in preference to any other result status. This prevents status code probing by a  
249 client that is not able to authenticate.

250 Server decisions regarding which operations to reject if there is insufficiently strong authentication of the  
251 client are not specified in the protocol. However, see Section 3.2 for operations for which authentication  
252 and authorization are particularly important.

### 253 3.2 Authorization for Revoke, Recover, Destroy and Archive 254 Operations

255 Neither authentication nor authorization is handled by the KMIP protocol directly. In particular, the  
256 Credential attribute is not guaranteed to be an authenticated identity of the requesting client. However,  
257 the authentication suite, as specified in **[KMIP-Prof]**, describes how the client identity is established for  
258 KMIP-compliant implementations. This authentication is performed for all KMIP operations, with the single  
259 exception of the Query operation.

260 Certain operations that may be requested by a client via KMIP, particularly Revoke, Recover, Destroy and  
261 Archive, may have a significant impact on the availability of a key, on server performance and on key  
262 security. When a server receives a request for one of these operations, it should ensure that the client  
263 has authenticated its identity (see the Authentication Suites section in **[KMIP-Prof]**). The server should  
264 also ensure that the client requesting the operation is an object creator, security officer or other identity  
265 authorized to issue the request. It may also require additional authentication to ensure that the object  
266 owner or a security officer has issued that request. Even with such authentication and authorization,  
267 requests for these operations should be considered only a "hint" to the key management system, which  
268 may or may not choose to act upon this request.

### 269 3.3 Using Notify and Put Operations

270 The Notify and Put operations are the only operations in the KMIP protocol that are initiated by the server,  
271 rather than the client. As client-initiated requests are able to perform these functions (e.g., by polling to  
272 request notification), these operations are optional for conforming KMIP implementations. However, they

273 provide a mechanism for optimized communication between KMIP servers and clients and have,  
274 therefore, been included in **[KMIP-Spec]**.

275 In using Notify and Put, the following constraints and guidelines should be observed:

- 276 • The client registers with the server, so that the server knows how to locate the client to which a  
277 Notify or Put is being sent and which events for the Notify are supported. However, such  
278 registration is outside the scope of the KMIP protocol. Registration also includes a specification of  
279 whether a given client supports Put and Notify, and what attributes may be included in a Put for a  
280 particular client.
- 281 • Communication between the client and the server is properly authenticated to forestall man-in-  
282 the-middle attacks in which the client receives Notify or Put operations from an unauthenticated  
283 server. Authentication for a particular client/server implementation is at a minimum accomplished  
284 using one of the mandatory authentication mechanisms (see **[KMIP-Prof]**). Further strengthening  
285 of the client/server communications integrity by means of signed message content and/or  
286 wrapped keys is recommended. Attribute values other than “Last Change Date” should not be  
287 included in a Notify to minimize risk of exposure of attribute information.
- 288 • In order to minimize possible divergence of key or state information between client and server as  
289 a result of server-initiated communication, any client receiving Notify or Put messages returns  
290 acknowledgements of these messages to the server. This acknowledgement may be at  
291 communication layers below the KMIP layer, such as by using transport-level acknowledgement  
292 provided in TCP/IP.
- 293 • For client devices that are incapable of responding to messages from the server, communication  
294 with the server happens via a proxy entity that communicates with the server, using KMIP, on  
295 behalf of the client. It is possible to secure communication between a proxy entity and the client  
296 using other, potentially proprietary mechanisms.

### 297 **3.4 Usage Allocation**

298 Usage should be allocated and handled carefully at the client, since power outages or other types of  
299 client failures (crashes) may render allocated usage lost. For example, in the case of a key being used for  
300 the encryption of tapes, such a loss of the usage allocation information following a client failure during  
301 encryption may result in the necessity for the entire tape backup session to be re-encrypted using a  
302 different key, if the server is not able to allocate more usage. It is possible to address this through such  
303 approaches as caching usage allocation information on stable storage at the client, and/or having  
304 conservative allocation policies at the server (e.g., by keeping the maximum possible usage allocation per  
305 client request moderate). In general, usage allocations should be as small as possible; it is preferable to  
306 use multiple smaller allocation requests rather than a single larger request to minimize the likelihood of  
307 unused allocation.

### 308 **3.5 Key State and Times**

309 **[KMIP-Spec]** provides a number of time-related attributes, including the following:

- 310 • Initial Date: The date and time when the managed cryptographic object was first created by or  
311 registered at the server
- 312 • Activation Date: The date and time when the managed cryptographic object may begin to be used  
313 for applying cryptographic protection to data
- 314 • Process Start Date: The date and time when a managed symmetric key object may begin to be  
315 used for processing cryptographically protected data
- 316 • Protect Stop Date: The date and time when a managed symmetric key object may no longer be  
317 used for applying cryptographic protection to data
- 318 • Deactivation Date: The date and time when the managed cryptographic object may no longer be  
319 used for any purpose, except for decryption, signature verification, or unwrapping, but only under  
320 extraordinary circumstances and when special permission is granted
- 321 • Destroy Date: The date and time when the managed cryptographic object was destroyed

- 322 • Compromise Occurrence Date: The date and time when the managed cryptographic object was  
323 first believed to be compromised
- 324 • Compromise Date: The date and time when the managed cryptographic object is entered into the  
325 compromised state
- 326 • Archive Date: The date and time when the managed object was placed in Off-Line storage

327 These attributes apply to all cryptographic objects (symmetric keys, asymmetric keys, etc) with exceptions  
328 as noted in **[KMIP-Spec]**. However, certain of these attributes (such as the Initial Date) are not specified  
329 by the client and are implicitly set by the server.

330 In using these attributes, the following guidelines should be observed:

- 331 • As discussed for each of these attributes in Section 3 of **[KMIP-Spec]**, a number of these times  
332 are set once and it is not possible for the client or server to modify them. However, several of the  
333 time attributes (particularly the Activation Date, Protect Start Date, Process Stop Date and  
334 Deactivation Date) may be set by the server and/or requested by the client. Coordination of time-  
335 related attributes between client and server, therefore, is primarily the responsibility of the server,  
336 as it manages the cryptographic object and its state. However, special conditions related to time-  
337 related attributes, governing when the server accepts client modifications to time-related  
338 attributes, may be negotiated by policy exchange between the client and server, outside the Key  
339 Management Interoperability Protocol.

340  
341 In general, state transitions occur as a result of operational requests, such as Create, Create Key  
342 Pair, Register, Activate, Revoke, and Destroy. However, clients may need to specify times in the  
343 future for such things as Activation Date, Deactivation Date, Process Start Date, and Protect Stop  
344 Date.

345  
346 KMIP allows clients to specify times in the past for such attributes as Activation Date and  
347 Deactivation Date. This is intended primarily for clients that were disconnected from the server at  
348 the time that the client performed that operation on a given key.

- 349 • It is valid to have a projected Deactivation Date when there is no Activation Date. This means,  
350 however, that the key is not yet active, even though its projected Deactivation Date has been  
351 specified. A valid Deactivation Date is greater than or equal to the Activation Date.
- 352 • The Protect Stop Date may be equal to, but may not be later than the Deactivation Date.  
353 Similarly, the Process Start Date may be equal to, but may not precede, the Activation Date.  
354 KMIP implementations should consider specifying both these attributes, particularly for symmetric  
355 keys, as a key may be needed for processing protected data (e.g., decryption) long after it is no  
356 longer appropriate to use it for applying cryptographic protection to data (e.g., encryption).
- 357 • If a Destroy operation is performed, resulting in the Destroy Date being set, and the object has  
358 not already been deactivated, the deactivation of the object is also performed prior to the Destroy  
359 operation, so that Destroy Date is greater than or equal to the Deactivation Date. If other time-  
360 related attributes (e.g., Protect Stop Date) are set to a future date, the server should set these to  
361 the deactivation date.
- 362 • After a cryptographic object is destroyed, a key management server may retain certain  
363 information about the object, such as the Unique Identifier.

364 KMIP allows the specification of attributes on a per-client basis, such that a server could maintain or  
365 present different sets of attributes for different clients. This flexibility may be necessary in some cases,  
366 such as when a server maintains the availability of a given key for some clients, even after that same key  
367 is moved to an inactive state (e.g. deactivated state) for other clients. However, such an approach might  
368 result in significant inconsistencies regarding the object state from the point of view of all participating  
369 clients and should, therefore, be avoided. A server should maintain a consistent state for each object,  
370 across all clients that have or are able to request that object.

## 371 **3.6 Template**

372 It is possible for a server to maintain different policy templates for different clients. As in the state  
373 transitions described above, however, this practice is discouraged.

## 374 **3.7 Archive Operations**

375 When the Archive operation is performed, it is recommended that an object identifier and a minimal set of  
376 attributes be retained within the server for operational efficiency. In such a case, the retained attributes  
377 may include Unique Identifier and State.

## 378 **3.8 Message Extensions**

379 Any number of vendor-specific extensions may be included in the Message Extension optional structure.  
380 This allows KMIP implementations to create multiple extensions to the protocol.

## 381 **3.9 Unique Identifiers**

382 For clients that require unique identifiers in a special form, out-of-band registration/configuration may be  
383 used to communicate this requirement to the server.

## 384 **3.10 Result Message Text**

385 KMIP specifies the Result Status, the Result Reason and the Result Message as normative message  
386 contents. For the Result Status and Result Reason, the enumerations provided in **[KMIP-Spec]** are the  
387 normative values. The values for the Result Message text, on the other hand, are implementation-  
388 specific. In consideration of internationalization, it is recommended that any vendor implementation of  
389 KMIP provide appropriate language support for the Return Message. How a client specifies the language  
390 for Result Messages is outside the scope of the KMIP.

## 391 **3.11 Query**

392 Query does not explicitly support client requests to determine what operations require authentication. To  
393 determine whether an operation requires authentication, a client should request that operation.

## 394 **3.12 Canceling Asynchronous Operations**

395 If an asynchronous operation is cancelled by the client, no information is returned by the server in the  
396 result code regarding any operations that may have been partially completed. Identification and  
397 remediation of partially completed operations is the responsibility of the server.

398 It is the responsibility of the server to determine when to discard the status of asynchronous operations.  
399 The determination of how long a server should retain the status of an asynchronous operation is  
400 implementation-dependent and not defined by KMIP.

401 Once a client has received the status on an asynchronous operation other than “pending”, any  
402 subsequent request for status of that operation may return either the same status as in a previous polling  
403 request or an “unavailable” response.

## 404 **3.13 Multi-instance Hash**

405 The Digest attribute contains the output of hashing a managed object, such as a key or a certificate. The  
406 server always generates the SHA-256 hash value when the object is created or generated. KMIP allows  
407 multiple instances of the digest attribute to be associated with the same managed object. For example, it  
408 is common practice for publicly trusted CAs to publish two digests (often referred to as the fingerprint or  
409 the thumbprint) of their certificate: one calculated using the SHA-1 algorithm and another using the MD-5  
410 algorithm. In this case, each digest would be calculated by the server using a different hash algorithm.

### 411 **3.14 Returning Related Objects**

412 The key block is intended to return a single object, with associated attributes and other data. For those  
413 cases in which multiple related objects are needed by a client, such as the private key and the related  
414 certificate specified by RACF and JKS, the client should issue multiple Get requests to obtain these  
415 related objects.

### 416 **3.15 Reducing Multiple Requests through the Use of Batch**

417 KMIP supports batch operations in order to reduce the number of calls between the client and server for  
418 related operations. For example, Locate and Get are likely to be commonly accomplished within a single  
419 batch request.

420 KMIP does not ensure that batch operations are atomic on the server side. If servers implement such  
421 atomicity, the client is able to use the optional “undo” mode to request roll-back for batch operations  
422 implemented as atomic transactions. However, support for “undo” mode is optional in the protocol, and  
423 there is no guarantee that a server that supports “undo” mode has effectively implemented atomic  
424 batches. The use of “undo”, therefore, should be restricted to those cases in which it is possible to assure  
425 the client, through mechanisms outside of KMIP, of the server effectively supporting atomicity for batch  
426 operations.

### 427 **3.16 Maximum Message Size**

428 When a server is processing requests in a batch, it should compare the cumulative response size of the  
429 message to be returned after each request with the specified Maximum Response Size. If the message is  
430 too large, it should prepare a maximum message size error response message at that point, rather than  
431 continuing with operations in the batch. This increases the client’s ability to understand what operations  
432 have and have not been completed.

433 When processing individual requests within the batch, the server that has encountered a Maximum  
434 Response Size error should not return attribute values or other information as part of the error response.

### 435 **3.17 Using Offset in Re-key and Re-certify Operations**

436 Both the Re-key and the Re-certify operations allow the specification of an offset interval.

437 The Re-key operation allows the client to specify an offset interval for activation of the key. This offset  
438 specifies the duration of time between the time the request is made and the time when the activation of  
439 the key occurs. If an offset is specified, all other times for the new key are determined from the new  
440 Activation Date, based on the intervals used by the previous key, i.e., from the Activation Date to the  
441 Process Start Date, Protect Stop Date, etc.

442 The Re-certify operation allows the client to specify an offset interval that indicates the difference between  
443 the Initial Date of the new certificate and the Activation Date of the new certificate. As with the Re-key  
444 operation, all other times for the certificate are determined using the intervals used for the previous  
445 certificate.

### 446 **3.18 Locate Queries**

447 It is possible to formulate Locate queries to address any of the following conditions:

- 448 • Exact match of a transition to a given state. Locate the key(s) with a transition to a certain state at  
449 a specified time (t).
- 450 • Range match of a transition to a given state. Locate the key(s) with a transition to a certain state  
451 at any time at or between two specified times (t and t’).
- 452 • Exact match of a state at a specified time. Locate the key(s) that are in a certain state at a  
453 specified time (t).



- 454 • Match of a state during an entire time range. Locate the key(s) that are in a certain state during  
455 an entire time specified with times (t and t'). Note that the Activation Date could occur at or before  
456 t and that the Deactivation Date could occur at or after t'+1.
- 457 • Match of a state at some point during a time range. Locate the key(s) that are in a certain state at  
458 some time at or between two specified times (t and t'). In this case, the transition to that state  
459 could be before the start of the specified time range.

460 This is accomplished by allowing any date/time attribute to be present either once (for an exact match) or  
461 at most twice (for a range match).

462 For instance, if the state we are interested in is Active, the Locate queries would be the following  
463 (corresponding to the bulleted list above):

- 464 • Exact match of a transition to a given state: Locate (ActivationDate(t)). Locate keys with an  
465 Activation Date of t.
- 466 • Range match of a transition to a given state: Locate (ActivationDate(t), ActivationDate(t')). Locate  
467 keys with an Activation Date at or between t and t'.
- 468 • Exact match of a state at a specified time: Locate (ActivationDate(0), ActivationDate(t),  
469 DeactivationDate(t+1), DeactivationDate(MAX\_INT), CompromiseDate(t+1),  
470 CompromiseDate(MAX\_INT) ). Locate keys in the Active state at time t, by looking for keys with a  
471 transition to Active before or until t, and a transition to Deactivated or Compromised after t  
472 (because we don't want the keys that have a transition to Deactivated or Compromised before t).  
473 The server assumes that keys without a DeactivationDate or CompromiseDate is equivalent to  
474 MAX\_INT (i.e., infinite).
- 475 • Match of a state during an entire time range: Locate (ActivationDate(0), ActivationDate(t),  
476 DeactivationDate(t'+1), DeactivationDate(MAX\_INT), CompromiseDate(t'+1),  
477 CompromiseDate(MAX\_INT) ). Locate keys in the Active state during the entire time from t to t'.
- 478 • Match of a state at some point during a time range: Locate (ActivationDate(0), ActivationDate(t'-  
479 1), DeactivationDate(t+1), DeactivationDate(MAX\_INT), CompromiseDate(t+1),  
480 CompromiseDate(MAX\_INT)). Locate keys in the Active state at some time from t to t', by looking  
481 for keys with a transition to Active between 0 and t'-1 and exit out of Active on or after t+1.

482 The queries would be similar for Initial Date, Deactivation Date, Compromise Date and Destroy Date.

483 In the case of the Destroyed-Compromise state, there are two dates recorded: the Destroy Date and the  
484 Compromise Date. For this state, the Locate operation would be expressed as follows:

- 485 • Exact match of a transition to a given state: Locate (CompromiseDate(t), State(Destroyed-  
486 Compromised)) and Locate (DestroyDate(t), State(Destroyed-Compromised)). KMIP does not  
487 support the OR in the Locate request, so two requests should be issued. Locate keys that were  
488 Destroyed and transitioned to the Destroyed-Compromised state at time t, and locate keys that  
489 were Compromised and transitioned to the Destroyed-Compromised state at time t.
- 490 • Range match of a transition to a given state: Locate (CompromiseDate(t), CompromiseDate(t'),  
491 State(Destroyed-Compromised)) and Locate (DestroyDate(t), DestroyDate(t'), State(Destroyed-  
492 Compromised)). Locate keys that are Destroyed-Compromised and were Compromised or  
493 Destroyed at or between t and t'.
- 494 • Exact match of a state at a specified time: Locate (CompromiseDate(0), CompromiseDate(t),  
495 DestroyDate(0), DestroyDate(t)); nothing else is needed, since there is no exit transition. Locate  
496 keys with a Compromise Date at or before t, and with a Destroy Date at or before t. These keys  
497 are, therefore, in the Destroyed-Compromised state at time t.
- 498 • Match of a state during an entire time range: Locate (CompromiseDate(0), CompromiseDate(t),  
499 DestroyDate(0), DestroyDate(t)). Same as above. As there is no exit transition from the  
500 Destroyed-Compromised state, the end of the range (t') is irrelevant.
- 501 • Match of a state at some point during a time range: Locate (CompromiseDate(0),  
502 CompromiseDate(t'-1), DestroyDate(0), DestroyDate(t'-1)). Locate keys with a Compromise Date  
503 at or before t'-1, and with a Destroy Date at or before t'-1. As there is no exit transition from the  
504 Destroyed-Compromised state, the start of the range (t) is irrelevant.

505 **3.19 ID Placeholder**

506 A number of operations are affected by a mechanism referred to as the ID Placeholder. This is a  
 507 temporary variable consisting of a single Unique Identifier that is stored inside the server for the duration  
 508 of executing a batch of operations. The ID Placeholder is obtained from the Unique Identifier returned by  
 509 certain operations; the applicable operations are identified in Table 1, along with a list of operations that  
 510 accept the ID Placeholder as input.

Operation	ID Placeholder at the beginning of the operation	ID Placeholder upon completion of the operation (in case of operation failure, a batch using the ID Placeholder stops)
Create	-	ID of new Object
Create Key Pair	-	ID of new Private Key (ID of new Public Key may be obtained via a Locate)
Register	-	ID of newly registered Object
Derive Key	- (multiple Unique Identifiers may be specified in the request)	ID of new Symmetric Key
Locate	-	ID of located Object
Get	ID of Object	no change
Request Object	ID of Object	no change
Validate	-	-
Get Attributes List/Modify/Add/Delete	ID of Object	no change
Activate	ID of Object	no change
Revoke	ID of Object	no change
Destroy	ID of Object	no change
Archive/Recover	ID of Object	no change
Certify	ID of Public Key	ID of new Certificate
Re-certify	ID of Certificate	ID of new Certificate
Re-key	ID of Symmetric Key to be rekeyed	ID of new Symmetric Key
Obtain Lease	ID of Object	no change
Get Usage Allocation	ID of Key	no change

511 **Table 1: ID Placeholder Prior to and Resulting from a KMIP Operation**

512 **3.20 Key Block**

513 The protocol uses the Key Block structure to transport a key to the client or server. This Key Block  
 514 consists of the Key Value Type, the Key Value, and the Key Wrapping Data. The Key Value Type  
 515 identifies the format of the Key Material, e.g., Raw format or Transparent Key structure. The Key Value

516 consists of the Key Material and optional attributes. The Key Wrapping Data provides information about  
517 the wrapping key and the wrapping mechanism, and is returned only if the client requests the Key Value  
518 to be wrapped by specifying the Key Wrapping Specification inside the Get Request Payload. The Key  
519 Wrapping Data may also be included inside the Key Block if the client registers a wrapped key.

520 The protocol allows any attribute to be included inside the Key Value and allows these attributes to be  
521 cryptographically bound to the Key Material (i.e., by signing, MACing, encrypting, or both encrypting and  
522 signing/MACing the Key Value). Some of the attributes that may be included include the following:

- 523 • Unique Identifier – uniquely identifies the key
- 524 • Cryptographic Algorithm (e.g., AES, 3DES, RSA) – this attribute is either specified inside the Key  
525 Block structure or the Key Value structure.
- 526 • Cryptographic Length (e.g., 128, 256, 2048) – this attribute is either specified inside the Key  
527 Block structure or the Key Value structure
- 528 • Cryptographic Usage Mask– identifies the cryptographic usage of the key (e.g., Encrypt, Wrap  
529 Key, Export)
- 530 • Cryptographic Parameters – provides additional parameters for determining how the key may be  
531 used
  - 532 – Block Cipher Mode (e.g., CBC, NISTKeyWrap, GCM) – this parameter identifies the mode of  
533 operation, including block cipher-based MACs or wrapping mechanisms
  - 534 – Padding Method (e.g., OAEP, X9.31, PSS) – identifies the padding method and if applicable  
535 the signature or encryption scheme.
  - 536 – Hashing Algorithm (e.g., SHA-256) – identifies the hash algorithm to be used with the  
537 signature/encryption mechanism or Mask Generation Function; note that the different HMACs  
538 are defined individually as algorithms and do not require the Hashing Algorithm parameter to  
539 be set
  - 540 – Role Type – Identifies the financial key role (e.g., DEK, KEK)
- 541 • State (e.g., Active)
- 542 • Dates (e.g., Activation Date, Process Start Date, Protect Stop Date)
- 543 • Custom Attribute – allows vendors and clients to define vendor-specific attributes; may also be  
544 used to prevent replay attacks by setting a nonce

### 545 **3.21 Using Wrapped Keys with KMIP**

546 KMIP provides the option to register and get keys in wrapped format. Clients request the server to return  
547 a wrapped key by including the Key Wrapping Specification in the Get Request Payload. Similarly, clients  
548 register a wrapped key by including the Key Wrapping Data in the Register Request Payload. The  
549 Wrapping Method identifies the type of mechanism used to wrap the key, but does not identify the  
550 algorithm or block cipher mode. It is possible to determine these from the attributes set for the specified  
551 Encryption Key or MAC/Signing Key. If a key has multiple Cryptographic Parameters set, clients may  
552 include the applicable parameters in Key Wrapping Specification. If omitted, the server chooses the  
553 Cryptographic Parameter attribute with the lowest index.

554 The Key Value includes both the Key Material and, optionally, attributes of the key; these may be  
555 provided by the client in the Register Request Payload; the server only includes attributes when  
556 requested in the Key Wrapping Specification of the Get Request Payload. The Key Value may be  
557 encrypted, signed/MACed, or both encrypted and signed/MACed (and vice versa). In addition, clients  
558 have the option to request or import a wrapped Key Block according to standards, such as ANSI TR-31,  
559 or vendor-specific key wrapping methods.

560 It is important to note that if the Key Wrapping Specification is included in the Get Request Payload, the  
561 Key Value may not necessarily be encrypted. If the Wrapping Method is MAC/sign, the returned Key  
562 Value is in plaintext, and the Key Wrapping Data includes the MAC or Signature of the Key Value.

563 Prior to wrapping or unwrapping a key, the server should verify that the wrapping key is allowed to be  
564 used for the specified purpose. For example, if a symmetric key is used for key encryption in response to

565 a Get request, the symmetric key should have the “Wrap Key” bit set in its Cryptographic Usage Mask.  
566 Similarly, if the client registers a signed key, the server should verify that the Signature Key, as specified  
567 by the client inside the Key Wrapper Data, has the “Verify” bit set in the Cryptographic Usage Mask. If the  
568 wrapping key is not permitted to be used for the requested purpose (e.g., when the Cryptographic Usage  
569 Mask is not set), the server should return the Operation Failed error.

### 570 **3.21.1 Encrypt-only Example with a Symmetric Key as an Encryption** 571 **Key for a Get Request and Response**

572 The client sends a Get request to obtain a key that is stored on the server. When the client sends a Get  
573 request to the server, a Key Wrapping Specification may be included. If a Key Wrapping Specification is  
574 included in the Get request, and a client wants the requested key and its Cryptographic Usage Mask  
575 attribute to be wrapped using AES key wrap, the client includes the following information in the Key  
576 Wrapping Specification:

- 577 • Wrapping Method: Encrypt
- 578 • Encryption Key Information
  - 579 – Unique Key ID: Key ID of the AES wrapping key
  - 580 – Cryptographic Parameters: The Block Cipher Mode is NISTKeyWrap (not necessary if default
  - 581 block cipher mode for wrapping key is NISTKeyWrap)
- 582 • Attribute Name: Cryptographic Usage Mask

583 The server uses the Unique Key ID specified by the client to determine the attributes set for the proposed  
584 wrapping key. For example, the algorithm of the wrapping key is not explicitly specified inside the Key  
585 Wrapping Specification; the server determines the algorithm to be used for wrapping the key by  
586 identifying the Algorithm attribute set for the specified Encryption Key.

587 The Cryptographic Parameters attribute should be specified by the client if multiple instances of the  
588 Cryptographic Parameters exist, and the lowest index does not correspond to the NIST key wrap mode of  
589 operation. The server should verify that the AES wrapping key has NISTKeyWrap set as an allowable  
590 Block Cipher Mode, and that the “Wrap Key” bit is set in the Cryptographic Usage Mask.

591 If the correct data was provided to the server, and no conflicts exist, the server wraps the Key Value for  
592 the requested key using the AES key wrap algorithm and wrapping key specified in the Encryption Key  
593 Information; the Key Value contains both the Key Material and the Cryptographic Usage Mask attribute,  
594 and return the encrypted result (byte string) as the Key Value in the Key Block of the server’s response.

595 The Key Wrapping Data of the Key Block in the Get Response Payload includes the same data as  
596 specified in the Key Wrapping Specification of the Get Request Payload except for the Attribute Name.

### 597 **3.21.2 Encrypt-only Example with a Symmetric Key as an Encryption** 598 **Key for a Register Request and Response**

599 The client sends a Register request to the server and includes the wrapped key and the unique ID of the  
600 wrapping key inside the Request Payload. The wrapped key is provided to the server inside the Key  
601 Block. The Key Block includes the Key Value Type, the Key Value, and the Key Wrapping Data. The Key  
602 Value Type identifies the format of the Key Material, the Key Value consists of the Key Material and  
603 optional attributes that may be included to cryptographically bind the attributes to the Key Material, and  
604 the Key Wrapping Data identifies the wrapping mechanism and the encryption key used to wrap the  
605 object and the wrapping mechanism.

606 Similar to the example in 3.21.1 the key is wrapped using the AES key wrap. The Key Value includes four  
607 attributes: Cryptographic Algorithm, Cryptographic Length, Cryptographic Parameters, and Cryptographic  
608 Usage Mask.

609 The Key Wrapping Data includes the following information:

- 610 • Wrapping Method: Encrypt
- 611 • Encryption Key Information
  - 612 – Unique Key ID: Key ID of the AES wrapping key

613           – Cryptographic Parameters: The Block Cipher Mode is NISTKeyWrap (not necessary if default  
614           block cipher mode for wrapping key is NISTKeyWrap)

615 Attributes do not need to be specified in the Key Wrapping Data. When registering a wrapped Key Value  
616 with attributes, clients may include these attributes inside the Key Value without specifying them inside  
617 the Template-Attribute.

618 Prior to unwrapping the key, the server determines the wrapping algorithm from the Algorithm attribute set  
619 for the specified Unique ID in the Encryption Key Information. The server verifies that the wrapping key  
620 may be used for the specified purpose. In particular, if the client includes the Cryptographic Parameters in  
621 the Encryption Key Information, the server verifies that the specified Block Cipher Mode is set for the  
622 wrapping key. The server also verifies that the wrapping key has the “Unwrap Key” bit set in the  
623 Cryptographic Usage Mask.

624 The Register Response Payload includes the Unique ID of the newly registered key and an optional list of  
625 attributes that were implicitly set by the server.

### 626 **3.21.3        Encrypt-only Example with an Asymmetric Key as an** 627 **Encryption Key for a Get Request and Response**

628 The client sends a Get request to obtain a key (either symmetric or asymmetric) that is stored on the  
629 server. When the client sends a Get request to the server, a Key Wrapping Specification may be  
630 included. If a Key Wrapping Specification is included, and the key is to be wrapped with an RSA public  
631 key using the OAEP encryption scheme, the client includes the following information in the Key Wrapping  
632 Specification. Note that for this example, attributes for the requested key are not requested.

- 633       • Wrapping Method: Encrypt
- 634       • Encryption Key Information
  - 635           – Unique Key ID: Key ID of the RSA public key
  - 636           – Cryptographic Parameters:
    - 637               Padding Method: OAEP
    - 638               Hashing Algorithm: SHA-256

639 The Cryptographic Parameters attribute is specified by the client if multiple instances of Cryptographic  
640 Parameters exist for the wrapping key, and the lowest index does not correspond to the associated  
641 padding method. The server should verify that the specified Cryptographic Parameters in the Key  
642 Wrapping Specification and the “Wrap Key” bit in the Cryptographic Usage Mask are set for the  
643 corresponding wrapping key.

644 The Key Wrapping Data returned by the server in the Key Block of the Get Response Payload includes  
645 the same data as specified in the Key Wrapping Specification of the Get Request Payload.

646 For both OAEP and PSS, KMIP currently assumes that the Hashing Algorithm specified in the  
647 Cryptographic Parameters of the Get request is used for both the Mask Generation Function (MGF) and  
648 hashing data. The example above requires the server to use SHA-256 for both purposes.

### 649 **3.21.4        MAC-only Example with an HMAC Key as an Authentication Key** 650 **for a Get Request and Response**

651 The client sends a Get request to obtain a key that is stored on the server. When the client sends a Get  
652 request to the server, a Key Wrapping Specification may be included. If a key and Custom Attribute (i.e.,  
653 x-Nonce) is to be MACed with HMAC SHA-256, the following Key Wrapping Specification is specified:

- 654       • Wrapping Method: MAC/sign
- 655       • MAC/Signature Key Information
  - 656           – Unique Key ID: Key ID of the MACing key (note that the algorithm associated with this key  
657               would be HMAC-256)
- 658       • Attribute Name: x-Nonce

659 For HMAC, no Cryptographic Parameters need to be specified, since the algorithm, including the hash  
660 function, may be determined from the Algorithm attribute set for the specified MAC Key. The server  
661 should verify that the HMAC key has the “MAC Generate” bit set in the Cryptographic Usage Mask. Note  
662 that an HMAC key does not require the “Wrap Key” bit to be set in the Cryptographic Usage Mask.

663 The server creates an HMAC value over the Key Value if the specified MACing key may be used for the  
664 specified purpose and no conflicts exist. The Key Value is returned in plaintext, and the Key Block  
665 includes the following Key Wrapping Data:

- 666 • Wrapping Method: MAC/sign
- 667 • MAC/Signature Key Information
- 668 • Unique Key ID: Key ID of the MACing key
- 669 • MAC/Signature: HMAC result of the Key Value

670 In the example, the custom attribute x-Nonce was included to help clients, who are relying on the proxy  
671 model, to detect replay attacks. End-clients, who communicate with the key management server, may not  
672 support SSL/TLS and may not be able to rely on the message protection mechanisms provided by a  
673 security protocol. A custom attribute may be created to hold a random number, counter, nonce, date, or  
674 time. The custom attribute needs to be created before requesting the server to return a wrapped key and  
675 is recommended to be set if clients frequently wrap/sign the same key with the same wrapping/signing  
676 key.

### 677 **3.21.5 Registering a Wrapped Key as an Opaque Cryptographic Object**

678 Clients may want to register and store a wrapped key on the server without the server being able to  
679 unwrap the key (i.e., the wrapping key is not known to the server). Instead of storing the wrapped key as  
680 an opaque object, clients have the option to store the wrapped key inside the Key Block as an opaque  
681 cryptographic object, i.e., the wrapped key is registered as a managed cryptographic object, but the  
682 encoding of the key is unknown to the server. Registering an opaque cryptographic object allows clients  
683 to set all the applicable attributes that apply to cryptographic objects (e.g., Cryptographic Algorithm and  
684 Cryptographic Length),

685 Opaque cryptographic objects are set by specifying the following inside the Key Block structure:

- 686 • Key Format Type: Opaque
- 687 • Key Material: Wrapped key as a Byte String

688 The Key Wrapping Data does not need to be specified.

## 689 **3.22 Object Group**

690 The key management system may specify rules for valid group names which may be created by the  
691 client. Clients are informed of such rules by a mechanism that is not specified by **[KMIP-Spec]**. In the  
692 protocol, the group names themselves are character strings of no specified format. Specific key  
693 management system implementations may choose to support hierarchical naming schemes or other  
694 syntax restrictions on the names. Groups may be used to associate objects for a variety of purposes. A  
695 set of keys used for a common purpose, but for different time intervals, may be linked by a common  
696 Object Group. Servers may create predefined groups and add objects to them independently of client  
697 requests.

## 698 **3.23 Certify and Re-certify**

699 The key management system may contain multiple embedded CAs or may have access to multiple  
700 external CAs. How the server routes a certificate request to a CA is vendor-specific and outside the scope  
701 of KMIP. If the server requires and supports the capability for clients to specify the CA to be used for  
702 signing a Certificate Request, then this information may be provided by including the Certificate Issuer  
703 attribute in the Certify or Re-certify request.

704 **[KMIP-Spec]** supports multiple options for submitting a certificate request to the key management server  
705 within a Certify or Re-Certify operation. It is a vendor decision as to whether the key management server

706 offers certification authority (CA) functionality or proxies the certificate request onto a separate CA for  
707 processing. The type of certificate request formats supported is also a vendor decision, and this may, in  
708 part, be based upon the request formats supported by any CA to which the server proxies the certificate  
709 requests.

710 All certificate request formats for requesting X.509 certificates specified in **[KMIP-Spec]** (i.e., PKCS#10,  
711 PEM and CRMF) provide a means for allowing the CA to verify that the client that created the certificate  
712 request possesses the private key corresponding to the public key in the certificate request. This is  
713 referred to as Proof-of-Possession (POP). However, it should be noted that in the case of the CRMF  
714 format, some CAs may not support the CRMF POP option, but instead rely upon the underlying certificate  
715 management protocols (i.e., CMP and CMC) to provide POP. In the case where the CA does not support  
716 POP via the CRMF format (including CA functionality within the key management server), an alternative  
717 certificate request format (i.e., PKCS#10, PEM) would need to be used if POP needs to be verified.

## 718 **3.24 Specifying Attributes during a Create Key Pair Operation**

719 The Create Key Pair operation allows clients to specify attributes using the Common Template-Attribute,  
720 Private Key Template-Attribute, and Public Key Template-Attribute. The Common Template-Attribute  
721 object includes a list of attributes that apply to both the public and private key. Attributes that are not  
722 common to both keys may be specified using the Private Key Template-Attribute or Public Key Template-  
723 Attribute. If a single-instance attribute is specified in multiple Template-Attribute objects, the server obeys  
724 the following order of precedence:

- 725 1. Attributes specified explicitly in the Private and Public Key Template-Attribute, then
- 726 2. Attributes specified via templates in the Private and Public Key Template-Attribute, then
- 727 3. Attributes specified explicitly in the Common Template-Attribute, then
- 728 4. Attributes specified via templates in the Common Template-Attribute

### 729 **3.24.1 Example of Specifying Attributes during the Create Key Pair** 730 **Operation**

731 A client specifies several attributes in the Create Key Pair Request Payload. The Common Template-  
732 Attribute includes the template name RSACom and other explicitly specified common attributes:

#### 733 Common Template-Attribute

- 734 • RSACom Template
  - 735 – Cryptographic Algorithm: RSA
  - 736 – Cryptographic Length: 2048
  - 737 – Cryptographic Parameters: Padding Method OAEP
  - 738 – Custom Attribute: x-Serial 1234
  - 739 – Object Group: Key encryption group 1
- 740 • Attribute
  - 741 – Cryptographic Length: 4096
  - 742 – Cryptographic Parameters: Padding Method PKCS1 v1.5
  - 743 – Custom Attribute: x-ID 56789

744 The Private Key Template-Attribute includes the template name RSAPriv and other explicitly-specified  
745 private key attributes:

#### 746 Private Key Template-Attribute

- 747 • RSAPriv Template
  - 748 – Object Group: Key encryption group 2
- 749 • Attribute

- 750           – Cryptographic Usage Mask: Unwrap Key
- 751           – Name: PrivateKey1

752 The Public Key Template Attribute includes explicitly-specified public key attributes:

753 Public Key Template-Attribute

- 754           • Attribute
  - 755           – Cryptographic Usage Mask: Wrap Key
  - 756           – Name: PublicKey1

757  
758 Following the attribute precedence rule, the server creates a 4096-bit RSA key. The following client-  
759 specified attributes are set:

760 Private Key

- 761           • Cryptographic Algorithm: RSA
- 762           • Cryptographic Length: 4096
- 763           • Cryptographic Parameters: OAEP
- 764           • Cryptographic Parameters: PKCS1 v1.5
- 765           • Cryptographic Usage Mask: Unwrap Key
- 766           • Custom Attribute: x-Serial 1234
- 767           • Custom Attribute: x-ID 56789
- 768           • Object Group: Key encryption group 1
- 769           • Object Group: Key encryption group 2
- 770           • Name: PrivateKey1

771 Public Key

- 772           • Cryptographic Algorithm: RSA
- 773           • Cryptographic Length: 4096
- 774           • Cryptographic Parameters: OAEP
- 775           • Cryptographic Parameters: PKCS1 v1.5
- 776           • Cryptographic Usage Mask: Wrap Key
- 777           • Custom Attribute: x-Serial 1234
- 778           • Custom Attribute: x-ID 56789
- 779           • Object Group: Key encryption group 1
- 780           • Name: PublicKey1

### 781 **3.25 Registering a Key Pair**

782 During a Create Key Pair operation, a Link Attribute is automatically created by the server for each object  
783 (i.e., a link is created from the private key to the public key and vice versa). Certain attributes are the  
784 same for both objects and are set by the server while creating the key pair. The KMIP protocol does not  
785 support an equivalent operation for registering a key pair. Clients are able to register the objects  
786 independently and manually set the Link attributes to make the server aware that these keys are  
787 associated with each other. When the Link attribute is set for both objects, the server should verify that  
788 the registered objects indeed correspond to each other and apply similar restrictions as if the key pair was  
789 created on the server.

790 Clients should perform the following steps when registering a key pair:

- 791 1. Register the public key and set all associated attributes:
  - 792 a. Cryptographic Algorithm



- 793           b. Cryptographic Length
- 794           c. Cryptographic Usage Mask
- 795   2. Register the private key and set all associated attributes
- 796           a. Cryptographic Algorithm is the same for both public and private key
- 797           b. Cryptographic Length is the same for both public and private key
- 798           c. Cryptographic Parameters may be set; if set, the value is the same for both the public and
- 799           private key
- 800           d. Cryptographic Usage Mask is set, but does not contain the same value for both the public
- 801           and private key
- 802           e. Link is set with Link Type *Public Key Link* and the Linked Object Identifier of the
- 803           corresponding Public Key
- 804           f. Link is set for the Public Key with Link Type *Private Key Link* and the Linked Object Identifier
- 805           of the corresponding Private Key

### 806   **3.26 Non-Cryptographic Objects**

807   The KMIP protocol allows clients to register Secret Data objects. Secret Data objects may include

808   passwords or data that are used to derive keys.

809   KMIP defines Secret Data as cryptographic objects. Even if the object is not used for cryptographic

810   purposes, clients still set certain attributes, such as the Cryptographic Usage Mask, for this object unless

811   otherwise stated. Similarly, servers set certain attributes for this object, including the Digest, State, and

812   certain Date attributes, even if the attributes seem relevant only for cryptographic objects.

813   When registering a Secret Data object, the following attributes are set by the server:

- 814       • Unique Identifier
- 815       • Object Type
- 816       • Digest
- 817       • State
- 818       • Initial Date
- 819       • Last Change Date

820   When registering a Secret Data object for non-cryptographic purposes, the following attributes are set by

821   either the client or the server:

- 822       • Cryptographic Usage Mask

### 823   **3.27 Asymmetric Concepts with Symmetric Keys**

824   The Cryptographic Usage Mask attribute is intended to adequately support asymmetric concepts using

825   symmetric keys. This is fairly common practice in established crypto systems: the MAC is an example of

826   an operation where a single symmetric key is used at both ends, but policy dictates that one end may

827   only generate cryptographic tokens using this key (the MAC) and the other end may only verify tokens.

828   The security of the system fails if the verifying end is able to use the key to perform generate operations.

829   In these cases it is not sufficient to describe the usage policy on the keys in terms of cryptographic

830   primitives like “encrypt” vs. “decrypt” or “sign” vs. “verify”. There are two reasons why this is the case.

- 831       • In some of these operations, such as MAC generate and verify, the same cryptographic primitive
- 832       is used in both of the complementary operations. MAC generation involves computing and
- 833       returning the MAC, while MAC verification involves computing that same MAC and comparing it
- 834       to a supplied value to determine if they are the same. Thus, both generation and verification use
- 835       the “encrypt” operation, and the two usages are not able to be distinguished by considering only
- 836       “encrypt” vs. “decrypt”.

- Some operations which require separate key types use the same fundamental cryptographic primitives. For example, encryption of data, encryption of a key, and computation of a MAC all use the fundamental operation “encrypt”, but in many applications, securely differentiated keys are used for these three operations. Simply looking for an attribute that permits “encrypt” is not sufficient.

Allowing the use of these keys outside of their specialized purposes may compromise security. Instead, specialized application-level permissions are necessary to control the use of these keys. KMIP provides several pairs of such permissions in the Cryptographic Usage Mask (3.14), such as:

MAC GENERATE MAC VERIFY	For cryptographic MAC operations. Although it is possible to compose certain MACs using a series of encrypt calls, the security of the MAC relies on the operation being atomic and specific.
GENERATE CRYPTOGRAM VALIDATE CRYPTOGRAM	For composite cryptogram operations such as financial CVC or ARQC. To specify exactly which cryptogram the key is used for it is also necessary to specify a <i>role</i> for the key (see Section 3.6 “Cryptographic Parameters” in <b>[KMIP-Spec]</b> ).
TRANSLATE ENCRYPT TRANSLATE DECRYPT TRANSLATE WRAP TRANSLATE UNWRAP	To accommodate secure routing of traffic and data. In many areas that rely on symmetric techniques (notably, but not exclusively financial networks), information is sent from place to place encrypted using shared symmetric keys. When encryption keys are changed, it is desirable for the change to be an atomic operation, otherwise distinct unwrap-wrap or decrypt-encrypt steps risk leaking the plaintext data during the translation process. <i>TRANSLATE ENCRYPT/DECRYPT</i> is used for data encipherment. <i>TRANSLATE WRAP/UNWRAP</i> is used for key wrapping.

**Table 2: Cryptographic Usage Masks Pairs**

In order to support asymmetric concepts using symmetric keys in a KMIP system, the server implementation needs to be able to differentiate between clients for generate operations and clients for verify operations. As indicated by Section 3 (“Attributes”) of **[KMIP-Spec]** there is a single key object in the system to which all relevant clients refer, but when a client requests that key, the server is able to choose which attributes (permissions) to send with it, based on the identity and configured access rights of that specific client. There is, thus, no need to maintain and synchronize distinct copies of the symmetric key – just a need to define access policy for each client or group of clients.

The internal implementation of this feature at the server end is a matter of choice for the vendor: storing multiple key blocks with all necessary combinations of attributes or generating key blocks dynamically are both acceptable approaches.

### 3.28 Application Specific Information

The Application Specific Information attribute is used to store data which is specific to the application(s) using the object. Some examples of Application Name Space and Application Data pairs are given below.

- SMIME, 'someuser@company.com'
- SSL, 'some.domain.name'
- Volume Identification, '123343434'
- File Name, 'secret.doc'

- 863 • Client Generated Key ID, '450994003'
- 864 The following Application Name Spaces are recommended:
- 865 • SMIME
- 866 • SSL
- 867 • IPSEC
- 868 • HTTPS
- 869 • PGP
- 870 • Volume Identification
- 871 • File Name
- 872 • LTO4
- 873 • LIBRARY-LTO4

874 KMIP provides optional support for server-generated Application Data. Clients may request the server to  
875 generate the Application Data for the client by omitting Application Data while setting or modifying the  
876 Application Specific Information attribute. A server only generates the Application Data if the Application  
877 Data is completely omitted from the request, and the client-specified Application Name Space is  
878 recognized and supported by the server. An example for requesting the server to generate the Application  
879 Data is shown below:

```
880 AddAttribute(UID, AppSpecInfo{AppNameSpace='LIBRARY-LTO4'});
```

881 If the server does not recognize the name space, the “Application Name Space Not Supported” error is  
882 returned to the client.

883 If the Application Data is set to null, as shown in the example below, and the Application Name Space is  
884 recognized by the server, the server does not generate the Application Data for the client. The server  
885 stores the Application Specific Information attribute with the Application Data value set to null.

```
886 AddAttribute(UID, AppSpecInfo{AppNameSpace='LIBRARY-LTO4', AppData=null});
```

### 887 **3.29 Mutating Attributes**

888 KMIP does not support server mutation of client-supplied attributes. If a server does not accept an  
889 attribute value that is being specified inside the request by the client, the server returns an error and  
890 specifies “Invalid Field” as Result Reason.

891 Attributes that are not set by the client, but are implicitly set by the server as a result of the operation, may  
892 optionally be returned by the server in the operation response inside the Template–Attribute.

893 If a client sets a time-related attribute to the current date and time (as perceived by the client), but as a  
894 result of a clock skew, the specified date of the attribute is earlier than the time perceived by the server,  
895 the server’s policy will be used to determine whether to accept the “backdated attribute”. KMIP does not  
896 require the server to fail a request if a backdated attribute is set by the client.

897 If a server does not support backdated attributes, and cryptographic objects are expected to change state  
898 at the specified current date and time (as perceived by the client), clients are recommended to issue the  
899 operation that would implicitly set the date for the client. For example, instead of explicitly setting the  
900 Activation Date, clients could issue the Activate operation. This would require the server to set the  
901 Activation Date to the current date and time as perceived by the server.

902 If it is not possible to set a date attribute via an operation, and the server does not support backdated  
903 attributes, clients need to take into account that potential clock skew issues may cause the server to  
904 return an error even if a date attribute is set to the client’s current date and time.

905 For additional information, refer to the sections describing the State attribute and the Time Stamp field in  
906 **[KMIP-Spec]**.

## 907 **3.30 Interoperable Key Naming for Tape**

908 This section describes methods for creating and storing key identifiers that are interoperable across multi-  
909 vendor KMIP clients.

### 910 **3.30.1 Native Tape Encryption by a KMIP Client**

911 This method is primarily intended to promote interoperable key naming between tape library products  
912 which already support non-KMIP key managers, where KMIP support is being added.

913 When those existing library products become KMIP clients, a common method for naming and storing  
914 keys may be used to support moving tape cartridges between the libraries, and successfully retrieving  
915 keys, assuming that the clients have appropriate access privileges. The library clients may be from  
916 multiple vendors, and may be served by a KMIP key manager from a different vendor.

#### 917 **3.30.1.1 Method Overview**

- 918 • The method uses the KMIP Application Specific Information (ASI) attribute's Application Data field  
919 to store the key name. The ASI Application Name Space is used to identify the namespace (such  
920 as LIBRARY-LTO4).
- 921 • The method also uses the tape format's Key Associated Data (KAD) fields to store the key name.  
922 Tape formats may provide both authenticated and unauthenticated storage for the KAD data. This  
923 method ensures optimum utilization of the authenticated KAD data when the tape format supports  
924 authentication.
- 925 • The method supports both client-generated and server-generated key names.
- 926 • The method, in many cases, is backward-compatible if tapes are returned to a non-KMIP key  
927 manager environment.
- 928 • Key names stored in the KMIP server's ASI attribute are always text format. Key names stored on  
929 the KMIP client's KAD fields are always numeric format, due to space limitations of the tape  
930 format. The method basically consists of implementing a specific algorithm for converting  
931 between text and numeric formats.
- 932 • The algorithm used by this conversion is reversible.

#### 933 **3.30.1.2 Definitions**

- 934 • Key Associated Data (KAD). Part of the tape format. May be segmented into authenticated and  
935 unauthenticated fields. KAD usage is detailed in the SCSI SSC-3 standard from the T10  
936 organization.
- 937 • Application Specific Information (ASI). A KMIP attribute.
- 938 • Hexadecimal numeric characters. Case-sensitive, printable, single byte ASCII characters  
939 representing the numbers 0 through 9 and uppercase alpha A through F. (US-ASCII characters  
940 30h-39h and 41h-46h).  
941  
942 Hexadecimal numeric characters are always paired, each pair representing a single 8-bit numeric  
943 value. A leading zero character is provided, if necessary, so that every byte in the tape's KAD is  
944 represented by exactly 2 hexadecimal numeric characters.
- 945 • N(k). The number of bytes in the tape format's combined KAD fields (both authenticated and  
946 unauthenticated).
- 947 • N(a), N(u). The number of bytes in the tape format's authenticated, and unauthenticated KAD  
948 fields, respectively.

949 **3.30.1.3 Algorithm 1. Numeric to text direction (tape format's KAD to KMIP**  
950 **ASI)**

951 Description: All information contained in the tape format's KAD fields is converted to a null-terminated  
952 ASCII string consisting of hexadecimal numeric character pairs. First, the unauthenticated KAD data is  
953 converted to text. Then, the authenticated KAD data is converted and appended to the end of the string.  
954 The string is then null-terminated.

955

956 Implementation Example:

- 957 1. Define an input buffer sized for  $N(k)$ . For LTO4,  $N(k)$  is 44 bytes (12 bytes authenticated, 32  
958 unauthenticated).
- 959 2. Define an output buffer sufficient to contain a null-terminated string with a maximum length of  
960  $2*N(k)+1$  bytes.
- 961 3. Define the standard POSIX (also known as C) locale. Each character in the string is a single-byte US-  
962 ASCII character.
- 963 4. Copy the tape format's KAD data, from the unauthenticated KAD field first, to the input buffer.  
964 Effectively, the first byte (byte 0) of the input buffer is the first byte of unauthenticated KAD. Bytes  
965 from the authenticated KAD are concatenated, after the unauthenticated bytes.
- 966 5. For each byte in the input buffer, convert to US-ASCII as follows:
  - 967 a. Convert the byte's value to exactly 2 hexadecimal numeric characters, including a leading 0  
968 where necessary. Append these 2 numeric characters to the output buffer, with the high-nibble  
969 represented by the left-most hexadecimal numeric character.
  - 970 b. After all byte values have been converted, null terminate the output buffer.
- 971 6. When storing the string to the KMIP server, use the object's ASI attribute's Application Data field.  
972 Store the namespace (such as LIBRARY-LTO4) in the ASI attribute's Application Name Space field.

973 **3.30.1.4 Algorithm 2. Text to numeric direction (KMIP ASI to tape format's**  
974 **KAD)**

975 Description: Hexadecimal numeric character pairs in the null-terminated ASCII string are converted to  
976 single byte numeric values, and stored in the tape format's KAD fields. The authenticated KAD field is  
977 populated first, from a sub-string consisting of the last  $2*N(a)$  characters in the full string. Any remaining  
978 characters in the string are converted and stored to the unauthenticated KAD field. The null termination  
979 byte is not converted.

980

981 Implementation Example:

- 982 1. Obtain the key's name from the KMIP server's ASI attribute for that object. Copy the null terminated  
983 string to an input buffer of size  $2*N(k) + 1$  bytes. For LTO4, an 89 character string, including null  
984 termination, is sufficient for all possible key descriptors when names are directly referenced.
- 985 2. Define output buffers for unauthenticated KAD, and authenticated KAD, of size  $N(u)$  and  $N(a)$   
986 respectively. For LTO4, this would be 32 bytes of unauthenticated data, and 12 bytes of authenticated  
987 data.
- 988 3. Define the standard POSIX (also known as C) locale. Each character in the string is a single-byte US-  
989 ASCII character.
- 990 4. First, populate the authenticated KAD buffer, converting a sub-string consisting of the last  $2*N(a)$   
991 characters of the full string, not including the null termination byte.
- 992 5. When the authenticated KAD is filled, next populate the unauthenticated KAD buffer, by converting  
993 the remaining hexadecimal character pairs in the string.

994 **3.30.1.5 Example Output**

995 The following are examples illustrating some results of this method. In the following examples, the sizes  
996 of the KAD for LTO4 are used. Different tape formats may utilize different KAD sizes.

997

998 Example 1. Full combined KAD

999

1000 This LTO4 tape's combined KAD contains the following data (represented in hexadecimal). For LTO4, the  
1001 unauthenticated KAD contains 32 bytes, and the authenticated KAD contains 12 bytes.

1002

1003 Example 1a. Hexadecimal numeric data from a tape's KAD.

1004 Shaded data is authenticated by the tape drive.

1005

1006 02 04 17 11 39 43 42 36 30 41 33 34 39 31 44 33

1007 41 41 43 36 32 42 07 F6 54 54 32 36 30 38 4C 34

1008 30 30 30 39 30 35 32 38 30 34 31 32

1009

1010 The algorithm converts the numeric KAD data to the following 89 character null-terminated string for  
1011 storage in the Application Data field of a KMIP object's Application Specific Information attribute. The ASI  
1012 Application Name Space contains "LIBRARY-LTO4".

1013

1014 Example 1b. Text string from KMIP ASI Application Data.

1015 Shaded characters are derived from authenticated data. The null character is represented as  
1016 <null>

1017

1018 0204171139434236304133343931443341414336324207F65454323630384C343030303930353  
1019 23830343132<null>

1020

1021 Example 1c. The hexadecimal values of the 89 US-ASCII characters in string 1b, from the KMIP  
1022 ASI Application Data. Note: these values are always in the range 30h-39h, or in the range 41h-  
1023 46h, or the 0h null.

1024 30 32 30 34 31 37 31 31 33 39 34 33 34 32 33 36 33 30 34 31 33 33 33 34 33 39 33 31 34 34 33

1025 33 34 31 34 31 34 33 33 36 33 32 34 32 30 37 46 36 35 34 35 34 33 32 33 36 33 30 33 38 34 43

1026 33 34 33 30 33 30 33 30 33 39 33 30 33 35 33 32 33 38 33 30 33 34 33 31 33 32 00

1027

1028 For the reverse transformation, a client would retrieve the string in 1b from the server, derive the numeric  
1029 values shown in 1a, and store them to the tape format's KAD data. First, the sub-string containing the  
1030 right-most 24 characters of the full 1b string are used to derive the 12-byte authenticated KAD. The  
1031 remaining characters are used to derive the 32-byte unauthenticated KAD.

1032

1033 Example 2. Authenticated KAD only

1034 This LTO4 tape's KAD contains the following data (represented in hexadecimal), all 12 bytes obtained  
1035 from the authenticated KAD field. There is no unauthenticated KAD data.

1036

1037 Example 2a. Hexadecimal numeric data from a tape's KAD.

1038 Shaded data is authenticated.

1039

1040 17 48 33 C6 20 42 10 A7 E8 05 F8 C7

1041 The algorithm converts the numeric KAD data to the following 24 character null-terminated string, for  
1042 storage in the Application Data field of a KMIP object's Application Specific Information attribute.

1043

1044 Example 2b. Text string from KMIP ASI Application Data.

1045 Shaded characters are derived from authenticated data. The null character is represented as  
1046 <null>

1047

1048 174833C6204210A7E805F8C7<null>

1049

1050 For the reverse transformation, a client would derive the numeric values in 2a, and store them to the tape  
1051 format's KAD data. The right-most 24 characters of the string in 2b are used to derive the 12 byte  
1052 authenticated KAD. In this example, there is no unauthenticated KAD data.

1053

1054 Example 3. Partially filled authenticated KAD originating from a non-KMIP method

1055 This LTO4 tape's KAD contains the following data (represented in hexadecimal). The unauthenticated  
1056 KAD contains 10 bytes, and the authenticated KAD contains 8 bytes.

1057

1058 Since the authenticated KAD was not filled, but the unauthenticated data was populated, the method  
1059 creating this key name is potentially not backward-compatible with the KMIP key naming method. See  
1060 backward-compatibility assessment, below.

1061

1062 Example 3a. Hexadecimal numeric data from a non-KMIP tape's KAD.

1063 Shaded data is authenticated.

1064

1065 02 04 17 11 39 43 42 36 30 41 30 30 30 39 30 35

1066 32 38

1067

1068 The algorithm converts the numeric KAD data to the following 36 character null-terminated string, for  
1069 storage in the Application Data field of a KMIP object's Application Specific Information attribute.

1070

1071 Example 3b. Text string from KMIP ASI Application Data.

1072 Shaded characters are derived from authenticated data. The null character is represented as  
1073 <null>

1074

1075 020417113943423630413030303930353238<null>

1076

1077 For the reverse transformation, a client would derive the same numeric values shown in 3a, and store  
1078 them to the tape's KAD. But their storage locations within the KAD now differs (see 3c). The right-most 24  
1079 characters from the text string in 3b are used to derive the 12-byte authenticated KAD. The remaining  
1080 characters are used to fill the 32-byte unauthenticated KAD.

1081

1082 Example 3c. Hexadecimal numeric data from a tape's KAD.

1083 Shaded data is authenticated.

1084

1085 02 04 17 11 39 43 42 36 30 41 30 30 30 39 30 35

1086 32 38

### 1087 3.30.1.6 Backward-compatibility assessment

1088 Where all the following conditions exist, a non-KMIP solution may encounter compatibility issues during  
1089 the Read and Appended Write use cases.

- 1090 1. The tape format supports authenticated KAD, but the non-KMIP solution does not use, or only  
1091 partially uses, the authenticated KAD field.
- 1092 2. The non-KMIP solution is sensitive to data position within the combined KAD.
- 1093 3. The media was written in a KMIP environment, using this method, then moved to the non-KMIP  
1094 environment.

## 1095 3.31 Revocation Reason Codes

1096 The enumerations for the Revocation Reason attribute specified in KMIP (see table 9.1.3.2.17 in **[KMIP-  
1097 Spec]**) are aligned with the Reason Code specified in X.509 and referenced in RFC 5280 with the  
1098 following exceptions. The *certificateHold* and *removeFromCRL* reason codes have been excluded from  
1099 **[KMIP-Spec]**, since this version of KMIP does not support certificate suspension (putting a certificate  
1100 hold) or unsuspension (removing a certificate from hold). The *aaCompromise* reason code has been  
1101 excluded from **[KMIP-Spec]** since it only applies to attribute certificates, and, at this point of time, attribute  
1102 certificates are considered out-of-scope for **[KMIP-Spec]**. The *privilegeWithdrawn* reason code is  
1103 included in **[KMIP-Spec]** since it may be used for either attribute or public key certificates. In the context  
1104 of its use within KMIP it is assumed to only apply to public key certificates.

## 1105 3.32 Certificate Renewal, Update, and Re-key

1106 The process of generating a new certificate to replace an existing certificate may be referred to by  
1107 multiple terms, based upon what data within the certificate is changed when the new certificate is created.  
1108 In all situations, the new certificate includes a new serial number and new validity dates. **[KMIP-Spec]**  
1109 uses the following terminology which is aligned with the definitions found in IETF RFCs 3647 and 4949:

- 1110 • *Certificate Renewal*: The issuance of a new certificate to the subject without changing the subject  
1111 public key or other information (except the serial number and certificate validity dates) in the  
1112 certificate.
- 1113 • *Certificate Update*: The issuance of a new certificate, due to changes in the information in the  
1114 certificate other than the subject public key.
- 1115 • *Certificate Rekey*: The generation of a new key pair for the subject and the issuance of a new  
1116 certificate that certifies the new public key.

1117 The current KMIP Specification supports certificate renewals using the Re-Certify operation and certificate  
1118 updates using the Certify operation. Support for certificate rekey is not currently supported by KMIP, since  
1119 certificate rekey requires the ability to rekey an asymmetric key pair a capability not currently supported  
1120 by KMIP. Support for rekey of asymmetric key pairs, along with certificate rekey, may be considered for a  
1121 future KMIP release.

## 1122 3.33 Key Encoding

1123 Two parties receiving the same key as a Key BYTE STRING make use of the key in exactly the same  
1124 way in order to interoperate. To ensure that, it is necessary to define a correspondence between the  
1125 abstract syntax of Key and the notation in the standard algorithm description that defines how the key is  
1126 used. The next sections establish that correspondence for the algorithms AES **[FIPS197]** and Triple-DES  
1127 **[SP800-67]**.

### 1128 3.33.1 AES Key Encoding

1129 **[FIPS197]** section 5.2, titled Key Expansion, uses the input key as an array of bytes indexed starting at 0.  
1130 The first byte of the Key becomes the key byte in AES that is labeled index 0 in **[FIPS197]** and the other  
1131 key bytes follow in index order.



1132 Proper parsing and key load of the contents of the Key for AES is determined by using the following Key  
1133 byte string to generate and match the key expansion test vectors in **[FIPS197]** Appendix A for the 128-bit  
1134 (16 byte) AES Cipher Key: 2B 7E 15 16 28 AE D2 A6 AB F7 15 88 09 CF 4F 3C.

### 1135 **3.33.2 Triple-DES Key Encoding**

1136 A Triple-DES key consists of three keys for the cryptographic engine (Key1, Key2, and Key3) that are  
1137 each 64 bits (even though only 56 are used); the three keys are also referred to as a key bundle (KEY)  
1138 **[SP800-67]**. A key bundle may employ either two or three mutually independent keys. When only two are  
1139 employed (called two-key Triple-DES), then Key1 = Key3.

1140 Each key in a Triple-DES key bundle is expanded into a key schedule according to a procedure defined in  
1141 **[SP800-67]** Appendix A. That procedure numbers the bits in the key from 1 to 64, with number 1 being  
1142 the left-most, or most significant bit. The first byte of the Key is bits 1 through 8 of Key1, with bit 1 being  
1143 the most significant bit. The second byte of the Key is bits 9 through 16 of Key1, and so forth, so that the  
1144 last byte of the KEY is bits 57 through 64 of Key3 (or Key2 for two-key Triple-DES).

1145 Proper parsing and key load of the contents of Key for Triple-DES is determined by using the following  
1146 Key byte string to generate and match the key expansion test vectors in **[SP800-67]** Appendix B for the  
1147 key bundle:

1148 Key1 = 0123456789ABCDEF

1149 Key2 = 23456789ABCDEF01

1150 Key3 = 456789ABCDEF0123

1151

1152  
1153  
1154  
1155  
1156  
1157  
1158  
1159  
1160  
1161  
1162  
1163  
1164  
1165  
1166  
1167  
1168  
1169  
1170  
1171  
1172  
1173  
1174  
1175  
1176  
1177  
1178  
1179  
1180  
1181  
1182  
1183  
1184  
1185  
1186  
1187  
1188  
1189  
1190  
1191  
1192  
1193  
1194  
1195  
1196  
1197

---

## 4 Deferred KMIP Functionality

The KMIP Specification is currently missing items that have been judged candidates for future inclusion in the specification. These items currently include:

- Registration of Clients. This would allow in-band registration and management of clients, which currently may only be registered and/or managed using off-line mechanisms.
- Client-requested specification of additional clients that are allowed to use a key. This requires coordinated identities between the client and server, and as such, is deferred until registration of clients is addressed.
- Registration of Notifications. This would allow clients to specify, using an in-band mechanism, information and events that they wish to be notified of, and what mechanisms should be used for such notifications, possibly including the configuration of pushed cryptographic material. This functionality would assume the Registration of Clients as a prerequisite.
- Key Migration. This would standardize the migration of keys from one HSM to another, using mechanisms already in the protocol or ones added for this purpose.
- Server to Server key management. This would extend the protocol to support communication between key management servers in different key management domains, for purposes of exporting and importing cryptographic material and potentially policy information.
- Multiple derived keys. This would allow the creation of multiple derived keys from one or more input keys. Note, however, that the current version of KMIP provides the capability to derive multiple keys and initialization vectors by creating a Secret Data object and specifying a cryptographic length equal to the total length of the derived objects.
- XML encoding. Expression of KMIP in XML rather than in tag/type/length/value may be considered for the future.
- Specification of Mask Generation Function. KMIP does not currently allow clients to specify the Mask Generation Function and assumes that encryption or signature schemes, such as OAEP or PSS, use MGF1 with the hash function as specified in the Cryptographic Parameters attribute. Client specification of MGFs may be considered for the future.
- Certificate creation without client-provided Certificate Request. This would allow clients to request the server to perform the Certify or Re-certify operation from the specified key pair IDs without providing a Certificate Request.
- Server monitoring of client status. This would enable the transfer of information about the client and its cryptographic module to the server. This information would enable the server to generate alarms and/or disallow requests from a client running component versions with known vulnerabilities.
- Symmetric key pairs. Only a subset of the cryptographic usage bits of the Cryptographic Usage Mask attribute may be permitted for keys distributed to a particular client. KMIP does not currently address how to securely assign and determine the applicable cryptographic usage for a client.
- Hardware-protected attribute. This attribute would allow clients and servers to determine if a key may only be processed inside a secure cryptographic device, such as an HSM. If this attribute is set, the key may only exist in cleartext within a secure hardware device, and all security-relevant attributes are bound to it in such a way that they may not be modified outside of such a secure device.
- Alternative profiles for key establishment. Less capable end-clients may not be able to support TLS and should use a proxy to communicate with the key management system. The KMIP protocol does not currently support alternative profiles, nor does it allow end-clients relying on the proxy model to securely establish a key with the server.

- 1198 • Attribute mutation. The possibility for the server to use attribute values different than requested by  
1199 the client if these values are not suitable for the server, and return these values in the response,  
1200 instead of failing the request.
- 1201 • Cryptographic Domain Parameters. KMIP allows a limited number of parameters to be specified  
1202 during a Create Key Pair operation. Additional parameters may be considered for the future.
- 1203 • Re-key support for other cryptographic objects. The Re-key operation is currently restricted to  
1204 symmetric keys. Applying Re-key to other cryptographic objects, such as asymmetric keys and  
1205 certificates, may be considered for the future.
- 1206 • Certificate Suspension/Unsuspend. KMIP does not currently support certificate suspension  
1207 (putting a certificate on hold) or unsuspension (removing a certificate from hold). Adding support  
1208 for certificate suspension/unsuspension into KMIP may be considered for the future.
- 1209 • Namespace registration. Establishing a registry for namespaces may be considered for the  
1210 future.
- 1211 • Registering extensions to KMIP enumerations. Establishing a registry for extensions to defined  
1212 KMIP enumerations, such as in support of profiles specific to IEEE P1619.3 or other  
1213 organizations, may be considered for the future.

1214 In addition to the functionality listed above, the KMIP TC is interested in establishing a C&A (certification  
1215 and accreditation) process for independent validation of claims of KMIP conformance. Defining and  
1216 establishing this process is a candidate for work by the KMIP TC after V1.0.

---

1217 **5 Implementation Conformance**

1218 This document is intended to be informational only and as such has no conformance clauses. The  
1219 conformance requirements for the KMIP specification can be found in the "KMIP Specification" document  
1220 itself, at the URL noted on the cover page of this document.

---

1221

## A. Acronyms

1222 The following abbreviations and acronyms are used in this document:

- 1223 3DES - Triple Data Encryption Standard specified in ANSI X9.52
- 1224 AES - Advanced Encryption Standard specified in FIPS 197
- 1225 ANSI - American National Standards Institute
- 1226 ARQC - Authorization Request Cryptogram
- 1227 ASCII - American Standard Code for Information Interchange
- 1228 CA - Certification Authority
- 1229 CBC - Cipher Block Chaining specified in NIST SP 800-38A
- 1230 CMC - Certificate Management Messages over CMS specified in RFC 5275
- 1231 CMP - Certificate Management Protocol specified in RFC 4210
- 1232 CRL - Certificate Revocation List specified in RFC 5280
- 1233 CRMF - Certificate Request Message Format specified in RFC 4211
- 1234 CVC - Card Verification Code
- 1235 DES - Data Encryption Standard specified in FIPS 46-3
- 1236 DEK - Data Encryption Key
- 1237 DH - Diffie-Hellman specified in ANSI X9.42
- 1238 FIPS - Federal Information Processing Standard
- 1239 GCM - Galois/Counter Mode specified in NIST SP 800-38D
- 1240 HMAC - Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code specified in FIPS 198-1
- 1241 HSM - Hardware Security Module
- 1242 HTTP - Hyper Text Transfer Protocol
- 1243 HTTP(S) - Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (Secure socket)
- 1244 ID - Identification
- 1245 IP - Internet Protocol
- 1246 IPSec - Internet Protocol Security
- 1247 JKS - Java Key Store
- 1248 KEK - Key Encryption Key
- 1249 KMIP - Key Management Interoperability Protocol
- 1250 LTO4 - Linear Tape-Open 4
- 1251 MAC - Message Authentication Code
- 1252 MD5 - Message Digest 5 Algorithm specified in RFC 1321
- 1253 MGF - Mask Generation Function
- 1254 NIST - National Institute of Standards and Technology
- 1255 OAEP - Optimal Asymmetric Encryption Padding specified in PKCS#1
- 1256 PEM - Privacy Enhanced Mail specified in RFC 1421

- 1257 PGP - Pretty Good Privacy specified in RFC 1991
- 1258 PKCS - Public-Key Cryptography Standards
- 1259 POP - Proof of Possession
- 1260 POSIX - Portable Operating System Interface
- 1261 PSS - Probabilistic Signature Scheme specified in PKCS#1
- 1262 RACF - Remote Access Control Facility
- 1263 RSA - Rivest, Shamir, Adelman (an algorithm)
- 1264 SHA - Secure Hash Algorithm specified in FIPS 180-2
- 1265 SP - Special Publication
- 1266 SSL - Secure Sockets Layer
- 1267 S/MIME - Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions
- 1268 TCP - Transport Control Protocol
- 1269 TLS - Transport Layer Security
- 1270 TTLV - Tag, Type, Length, Value
- 1271 URI - Uniform Resource Identifier
- 1272 X.509 - Public Key Certificate specified in RFC 5280
- 1273 XML - Extensible Markup Language

1274

---

## B. Acknowledgements

1275 The following individuals have participated in the creation of this specification and are gratefully  
1276 acknowledged:

1277 **Original Authors of the initial contribution:**

1278 David Babcock, HP  
1279 Steven Bade, IBM  
1280 Paolo Bezoari, NetApp  
1281 Mathias Björkqvist, IBM  
1282 Bruce Brinson, EMC  
1283 Christian Cachin, IBM  
1284 Tony Crossman, Thales/nCipher  
1285 Stan Feather, HP  
1286 Indra Fitzgerald, HP  
1287 Judy Furlong, EMC  
1288 Jon Geater, Thales/nCipher  
1289 Bob Griffin, EMC  
1290 Robert Haas, IBM  
1291 Timothy Hahn, IBM  
1292 Jack Harwood, EMC  
1293 Walt Hubis, LSI  
1294 Glen Jaquette, IBM  
1295 Jeff Kravitz, IBM  
1296 Michael McIntosh, IBM  
1297 Brian Metzger, HP  
1298 Anthony Nadalin, IBM  
1299 Elaine Palmer, IBM  
1300 Joe Pato, HP  
1301 René Pawlitzek, IBM  
1302 Subhash Sankuratipati, NetApp  
1303 Mark Schiller, HP  
1304 Martin Skagen, Brocade  
1305 Marcus Streets, Thales/nCipher  
1306 John Tattan, EMC  
1307 Karla Thomas, Brocade  
1308 Marko Vukolić, IBM  
1309 Steve Wierenga, HP

1310 **Participants:**

1311 Gordon Arnold, IBM  
1312 Todd Arnold, IBM  
1313 Matthew Ball, Sun Microsystems  
1314 Elaine Barker, NIST  
1315 Peter Bartok, Venafi, Inc.  
1316 Mathias Bjorkqvist, IBM  
1317 Kevin Bocek, Thales e-Security  
1318 Kelley Burgin, National Security Agency  
1319 Jon Callas, PGP Corporation  
1320 Tom Clifford, Symantec Corp.  
1321 Graydon Dodson, Lexmark International Inc.  
1322 Chris Dunn, SafeNet, Inc.  
1323 Paul Earsy, SafeNet, Inc.  
1324 Stan Feather, HP  
1325 Indra Fitzgerald, HP  
1326 Alan Frindell, SafeNet, Inc.

1327 Judith Furlong, EMC Corporation  
1328 Jonathan Geater, Thales e-Security  
1329 Robert Griffin, EMC Corporation  
1330 Robert Haas, IBM  
1331 Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.  
1332 Marc Hocking, BeCrypt Ltd.  
1333 Larry Hofer, Emulex Corporation  
1334 Brandon Hoff, Emulex Corporation  
1335 Walt Hubis, LSI Corporation  
1336 Wyllys Ingersoll, Sun Microsystems  
1337 Jay Jacobs, Target Corporation  
1338 Glen Jaquette, IBM  
1339 Scott Kipp, Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.  
1340 David Lawson, Emulex Corporation  
1341 Robert Lockhart, Thales e-Security  
1342 Shyam Mankala, EMC Corporation  
1343 Marc Massar, Individual  
1344 Don McAlister, Associate  
1345 Hyrum Mills, Mitre Corporation  
1346 Landon Noll, Cisco Systems, Inc.  
1347 René Pawlitzek, IBM  
1348 Rob Philpott, EMC Corporation  
1349 Bruce Rich, IBM  
1350 Scott Rotondo, Sun Microsystems  
1351 Anil Saldhana, Red Hat  
1352 Subhash Sankuratripati, NetApp  
1353 Mark Schiller, HP  
1354 Jitendra Singh, Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.  
1355 Servesh Singh, EMC Corporation  
1356 Sandy Stewart, Sun Microsystems  
1357 Marcus Streets, Thales e-Security  
1358 Brett Thompson, SafeNet, Inc.  
1359 Benjamin Tomhave, Individual  
1360 Sean Turner, IECA, Inc.  
1361 Paul Turner, Venafi, Inc.  
1362 Marko Vukolic, IBM  
1363 Rod Wideman, Quantum Corporation  
1364 Steven Wierenga, HP  
1365 Peter Yee, EMC Corporation  
1366 Krishna Yellepeddy, IBM  
1367 Peter Zelechowski, Associate



## C. Revision History

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
ed-0.98	2009-04-29	Indra Fitzgerald	Initial conversion of input document to OASIS format.
ed-0.98	2009-07-28	Indra Fitzgerald	Added clarifications, examples, and deferred items.
ed-0.98	2009-09-08	Indra Fitzgerald	Added approved proposals and incorporated Elaine Barker's comments.
ed-0.98	2009-09-23	Indra Fitzgerald	Removed KMIP Profiles section and incorporated the Interoperable Key Naming for Tape proposal.
ed-0.98	2009-09-24	Indra Fitzgerald	Removed the Conformance section; added additional Certificate Request and POP text to Certify and Re-certify; added the Revocation Reason Codes section.
draft-01	2009-10-07	Indra Fitzgerald	Incorporated the Certificate Renewal, Update, Re-key proposal, the Key Encoding proposal; removed normative words "must", "shall", "required", "will", and "can"; added Create Key Pair example; updated the references and acronyms list; incorporated comments from RobertH and SubhashS; updated the Authentication section; added minor edits and clarifications.
draft-02	2009-10-09	Indra Fitzgerald	Incorporated Rod Wideman's comments on the language. Changed the heading indentation, paragraph style, and list styles according to the OASIS template guidelines. Added additional references. Replaced the TBDs. Added a use-case for registering a wrapped key as an opaque cryptographic object.
draft-03	2009-10-21	Indra Fitzgerald	Added the list of participants to Appendix B. Clarified the Authentication section (section 3.1) and added examples. Modified the title page. Performed minor editorial changes.
draft-04	2009-11-06	Indra Fitzgerald	Incorporated Elaine's comments. This is the tentative revision for public review.
draft-05	2009-11-09	Indra Fitzgerald	Minor edits to the reference sections.