OASIS 🕅

Key Management Interoperability Protocol Profiles Version 1.0

Committee Specification 01

15 June 2010

Specification URIs:

This Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/cs01/kmip-profiles-1.0-cs-01.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/cs01/kmip-profiles-1.0-cs-01.doc (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/cs01/kmip-profiles-1.0-cs-01.pdf

Previous Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/cd06/kmip-profiles-1.0-cd-06.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/cd06/kmip-profiles-1.0-cd-06.doc (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/cd06/kmip-profiles-1.0-cd-06.pdf

Latest Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/kmip-profiles-1.0.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0/kmip-profiles-1.0.doc http://docs.oasis-open.org/kmip/profiles/v1.0//kmip-profiles-1.0.pdf

Technical Committee:

OASIS Key Management Interoperability Protocol (KMIP) TC

Chair(s):

Robert Griffin, EMC Corporation <robert.griffin@rsa.com> Subhash Sankuratripati, NetApp <Subhash.Sankuratripati@netapp.com>

Editor(s):

Robert Griffin, EMC Corporation <robert.griffin@rsa.com> Subhash Sankuratripati, NetApp <Subhash.Sankuratripati@netapp.com>

Related work:

This specification replaces or supersedes:

None

This specification is related to:

- Key Management Interoperability Protocol Specification v1.0
- Key Management Interoperability Protocol Use Cases v1.0
- Key Management Interoperability Protocol Usage Guide v1.0

Declared XML Namespace(s):

None

Abstract:

This document is intended for developers and architects who wish to design systems and applications that interoperate using the Key Management Interoperability Protocol specification.

Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the Key Management Interoperability Protocol TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest Version" or "Latest Approved Version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/kmip/.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/kmip/ipr.php).

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at http://www.oasisopen.org/committees/kmip/.

Notices

Copyright © OASIS® 2010. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The names "OASIS", "KMIP" are trademarks of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php for above guidance.

Table of Contents

1	Intro	troduction			
	1.1	Terminology5			
	1.2	Nor	mative References	5	
	1.3	Non-normative References			
2	Pro	files.		7	
	2.1	Gui	delines for Specifying Conformance Clauses	7	
	2.2	Gui	delines for Specifying Authentication Suites	7	
	2.3	Gui	delines for Specifying KMIP Profiles	7	
3	Aut	henti	cation suites	8	
	3.1	Bas	ic Authentication Suite	8	
	3.	1.1	Protocols	8	
	3.	1.2	Cipher Suites	8	
	3.	1.3	Client Authenticity	8	
	3.	1.4	Object Creator	8	
	3.2	TLS	1.2 Authentication Suite	9	
	3.	2.1	Protocols	9	
	3.2	2.2	Cipher Suites	9	
	3.2	2.3	Client Authenticity	9	
	3.2	2.4	Object Creator	9	
4	KM	IP Pr	ofiles	. 10	
	4.1	Sec	ret Data KMIP Profile	. 10	
	4.2	Bas	ic Symmetric Key Store and Server KMIP Profile	. 10	
	4.3	Bas	ic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server KMIP Profile	. 10	
	4.4	Sec	ret Data TLS 1.2 Authentication KMIP Profile	. 10	
	4.5	Bas	ic Symmetric Key Store and Server TLS 1.2 Authentication KMIP Profile	. 10	
	4.6	Bas	ic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server TLS 1.2 Authentication KMIP Profile	. 10	
5	Cor	nform	ance Clauses	. 11	
	5.1	Sec	ret Data Server Clause	. 11	
	5.	1.1	Implementation Conformance	. 11	
	5.	1.2	Conformance of a Secret Data Server	. 11	
	5.2	Bas	ic Symmetric Key Store and Server Conformance Clause	. 11	
	5.2	2.1	Implementation Conformance	. 11	
	5.2	2.2	Conformance as a Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server	. 12	
	5.3	Bas	ic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server Conformance Clause	. 12	
	5.	3.1	Implementation Conformance	. 12	
	5.	3.2	Conformance as a KMIP Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server	. 12	
Α.	Ackn	owle	dgements	. 14	
В.	Revi	sion	History	. 16	

Introduction 1 1

2 OASIS requires a conformance section in an approved committee specification (see [TCProc], section

3 2.18 Specification Quality):

4 A specification that is approved by the TC at the Public Review Draft, Committee Specification or

5 OASIS Standard level must include a separate section, listing a set of numbered conformance

6 clauses, to which any implementation of the specification must adhere in order to claim conformance 7

to the specification (or any optional portion thereof).

8 This document intends to meet this OASIS requirement on conformance clauses for a KMIP Server

9 ([KMIP-Spec] 12.1) through profiles that define the use of KMIP objects, attributes, operations, message 10 elements and authentication methods within specific contexts of KMIP server and client interaction. These

profiles define a set of normative constraints for employing KMIP within a particular environment or 11

context of use. They may, optionally, require the use of specific KMIP functionality or in other respects 12

define the processing rules to be followed by profile actors. 13

14 For normative definition of the elements of KMIP specified in these profiles, see the KMIP Specification.

- 15 Illustrative guidance for the implementation of KMIP clients and servers is provided in the KMIP Usage
- 16 Guide.

1.1 Terminology 17

18 The key words "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT",

"RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in 19 20 [RFC2119]. The words 'must', 'can', and 'will' are forbidden.

21 For definitions not found in this document, see [KMIP-Spec] definitions Error! Reference source not found. 22

Normative References 1.2 23

24 25	[RFC2119]	S. Bradner, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt, IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.
26 27 28	[KMIP-Spec]	OASIS Committee Specification 01, Key Management Interoperability Protocol Specification Version 1.0, June 2010, http://docs.oasis- open.org/kmip/spec/v1.0/cs01/kmip-spec-1.0-cs-01.doc
29 30	[RFC 2246]	T. Dierks & C.Allen, The TLS Protocol, Version 1.0, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt, IETF RFC 2246, January 1999
31 32 33	[RFC 3268]	P. Chown, Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Ciphersuites for Transport Layer Security (TLS), http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3268.txt, IETF RFC 3268, June 2002
34 35	[RFC 4346]	T. Dierks & E. Rescorla, The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol, Version 1.1, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt, IETF RFC 4346, April 2006
36 37	[RFC 5246]	T. Dierks & E. Rescorla, The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol, Version 1.2, http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5246.txt, IETF RFC 5246, August 2008
38 39 40 41	[NIST 800-57 Part 3	3] Barker, Burr, et.al, Recommendation for Key Management Part 3: Application- Specific Key Management Guidance, http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-57/sp800-57_PART3_key- management_Dec2009.pdf, December 2009

Non-normative References 1.3 42

43 [KMIP-UG] OASIS Committee Specification 01, Key Management Interoperability Protocol 44 Usage Guide Version 1.0, June 2010, http://docs.oasis-45 open.org/kmip/ug/v1.0/cs01/kmip-ug-1.0-cs-01.doc

46 47	[KMIP-UC]	OASIS Committee Specification 01, Key Management Interoperability Protocol Use Cases Version 1.0, June 2010, http://docs.oasis-
48		open.org/kmip/usecases/v1.0/cs01/kmip-usecases-1.0-cs-01.doc

49 2 Profiles

50 This document defines a selected set of conformance clauses and authentication suites which when

- 51 "paired together" form KMIP Profiles. The KMIP TC also welcomes proposals for new profiles. KMIP TC
- 52 members are encouraged to submit these proposals to the KMIP TC for consideration for inclusion in a
- 53 future version of this TC-approved document. However, some OASIS members may simply wish to inform
- 54 the committee of profiles or other work related to KMIP.

55 2.1 Guidelines for Specifying Conformance Clauses

- 56 This section provides a checklist of issues that SHALL be addressed by each clause.
- 57 1. Implement functionality as mandated by Section 12.1 (Conformance clauses for a KMIP servers)
- 58 2. Specify the list of additional objects that SHALL be supported
- 59 3. Specify the list of additional attributes that SHALL be supported
- 60 4. Specify the list of additional operations that SHALL be supported
- 61 5. Specify any additional message content that SHALL be supported

62 **2.2 Guidelines for Specifying Authentication Suites**

- Channel Security Client to Server communication SHALL establish and maintain channel confidentiality and integrity, and provide assurance of server authenticity
- 65 2. Channel Options Options like protocol version and cipher suite
- 66 3. Client Authenticity The options that are used to provide assurance of client authenticity

67 2.3 Guidelines for Specifying KMIP Profiles

68 A KMIP profile is a tuple of {Conformance Clause, Authentication Suite}

69 **3** Authentication suites

This section contains the list of protocol versions and cipher suites that are to be used by profiles contained within this document.

72 3.1 Basic Authentication Suite

This authentication set stipulates that a KMIP client and server SHALL use TLS to negotiate a mutuallyauthenticated connection with the exception of the Query operation. The query operation SHALL NOT

require the client to provide assurance of its authenticity.

76 3.1.1 Protocols

Conformant KMIP servers SHALL support TLSv1.0. They MAY support TLS v1.1 [RFC 4346], TLS v1.2
 [RFC 5246] bearing in mind that they are not compatible with each other and SHALL NOT support

79 SSLv3.0, SSLv2.0 and SSLv1.0.

80 3.1.2 Cipher Suites

81 Conformant KMIP servers SHALL support the following cipher suites:

82 • TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA

83 Basic Authentication Suite Conformant KMIP servers MAY support the cipher suites listed in tables 4-1

through 4-4 of NIST 800-57 Part 3 with the exception of NULL ciphers (at the time this document was

85 created, the only NULL cipher in 800-57 Part 3 was: TLS_RSA_WITH_NONE_SHA)

86 Basic Authentication Suite Conformant KMIP servers SHALL NOT support any other cipher suites.

87 NOTE: TLS 1.0 has some security issues as described in http://www.openssl.org/~bodo/tls-cbc.txt.

Implementations that need protections against this attack should considering using the "TLS 1.2
 Authentication Suite"

90 At the time this document was published, NIST 800-57 Part 3 Table 4-1, for cipher suites that have both

91 SHA1 and SHA256 variants, erroneously categorizes SHA256 based ciphers under TLS versions 1.0, 1.1

and 1.2 and SHA1 based ciphers under TLS 1.2. The correct value for SHA256 based ciphers should 1.2

93 and for SHA1 based ciphers it should be 1.0, 1.2 and 1.2.

94 3.1.3 Client Authenticity

For authenticated services (all operations save Query) KMIP servers SHALL require the use of channel
 (TLS) mutual authentication to provide assurance of client authenticity.

97
98 In the absence of Credential information in the request header, KMIP servers SHALL use the identity
99 derived from the channel authentication as the client identity.

100

101 In the presence of Credential information in the request header, KMIP servers SHALL consider such

- 102 Credential information into their evaluation of client authenticity and identity, along with the authenticity
- 103 and identity derived from the channel. The exact mechanisms for such evaluation are outside the scope 104 of this specification.

105 3.1.4 Object Creator

106 KMIP objects have a_creator. For those KMIP requests that result in new managed objects the client

107 identity SHALL be used as the creator of the managed object. For those operations that only access pre-

108 existent managed objects, the client identity SHALL be checked against the creator and access SHALL

109 be controlled as detailed in section 3.13 of [KMIP].

110 3.2 TLS 1.2 Authentication Suite

- 111 This authentication set stipulates that a KMIP client and server SHALL use TLS to negotiate a mutually-
- authenticated connection with the exception of the Query operation. The query operation SHALL NOT require the client to provide assurance of its authenticity.

114 **3.2.1 Protocols**

115 Conformant KMIP servers SHALL support TLSv1.2

116 **3.2.2 Cipher Suites**

- 117 Conformant KMIP servers SHALL support the following cipher suites:
- 118 TLS RSA WITH AES 256 CBC SHA256
- 119 TLS RSA WITH AES 128 CBC SHA256

120 TLS 1.2 Authentication Suite Conformant KMIP servers MAY support the cipher suites listed in tables 4-1

- through 4-4 of NIST 800-57 Part 3 with the exception of NULL ciphers (at the time this document was created, the only NULL cipher in 800-57 Part 3 was: TLS RSA WITH NONE SHA)
- 123 TLS 1.2 Authentication Suite Conformant KMIP servers SHALL NOT support any other cipher suites

124 NIST 800-57 Part 3 Table 4-1, for cipher suites that have both SHA1 and SHA256 variants, erroneously

125 categorizes SHA256 based ciphers under TLS versions 1.0, 1.1 and 1.2 and SHA1 based ciphers under

- 126 TLS 1.2. The correct value for SHA256 based ciphers should 1.2 and for SHA1 based ciphers it should 127 be 1.0, 1.2 and 1.2.
- 128 3.2.3 Client Authenticity
- 129 Same as the basic authentication suite (See Section 3.1.3)

130 3.2.4 Object Creator

131 Same as the basic authentication suite (See Section 3.1.4)

132 **4 KMIP Profiles**

133 This section lists the KMIP profiles that are defined in this specification. More than one profile may be 134 supported at the same time provided there are no conflicting requirements.

135 4.1 Secret Data KMIP Profile

136 A profile that consists of the tuple {Secret Data Server Conformance Clause, Basic Authentication Suite}

137 4.2 Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server KMIP Profile

A profile that consists of the tuple {Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server Conformance Clause, Basic
 Authentication Suite}

140 4.3 Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server KMIP Profile

A profile that consists of the tuple {Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server Conformance Clause, BasicAuthentication Suite}

143 **4.4 Secret Data TLS 1.2 Authentication KMIP Profile**

A profile that consists of the tuple {Secret Data Server Conformance Clause, TLS 1.2 AuthenticationSuite}

4.5 Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server TLS 1.2 Authentication KMIP Profile

A profile that consists of the tuple {Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server Conformance Clause, TLS 1.2
 Authentication Suite}

4.6 Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server TLS 1.2 Authentication KMIP Profile

A profile that consists of the tuple {Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server Conformance Clause, TLS
 1.2 Authentication Suite}

154

155 5 Conformance Clauses

156 The following subsections describe currently-defined profiles related to the use of KMIP in support of 157 secret data and symmetric key operations.

158 5.1 Secret Data Server Clause

- 159 This proposal builds on the KMIP server conformance clauses to provide some of the most basic
- 160 functionality that would be expected of a conformant KMIP server the ability to create, register and get
- 161 secret data in an interoperable fashion.

162 **5.1.1 Implementation Conformance**

An implementation is a conforming Secret Data Server Clause if it meets the conditions as outlined in thefollowing section.

165 5.1.2 Conformance of a Secret Data Server

- An implementation conforms to this specification as a Secret Data Server if it meets the followingconditions:
- 168 1. Supports the conditions required by the KMIP Server conformance clauses ([KMIP-Spec] 12.1)
- 169 2. Supports the following additional objects:
- 170 a. Secret Data ([KMIP-Spec] 2.2.7)
- 171 3. Supports the following client-to-server operations:
- a. Register (**[KMIP-Spec]** 4.3)
- 173 4. Supports the following subsets of enumerated attributes:
- 174 a. Object Type (**[KMIP-Spec]** 3.3 and 9.1.3.2.11)
 - i. Secret Data

175

176 177

179 180

- b. Secret Data Type ([KMIP-Spec] 9.1.3.2.8)
 - i. Password
- 5. Supports the following subsets of enumerated objects (see clauses 3 and 9):
 - a. Key Format Type ([KMIP-Spec] 9.1.3.2.3)
 - i. Opaque
- 181 6. Optionally supports any clause within **[KMIP-Spec]** specification that is not listed above
- Optionally supports extensions outside the scope of this standard (e.g., vendor extensions, conformance clauses) that do not contradict any KMIP requirements

184 5.2 Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server Conformance Clause

This proposal builds on the KMIP server conformance clauses to provide support for symmetric key storeand foundry use cases.

187 5.2.1 Implementation Conformance

An implementation is a conforming KMIP Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server if the implementation
 meets the conditions as outlined in the following section.

190	5.2.2	Conformance as a Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server	
191 192	An implementation conforms to this specification as a Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server if it meets the following conditions:		
193	1.	Supports the conditions required by the KMIP Server conformance clauses. ([KMIP-Spec] 12.1)	
194	2.	Supports the following additional objects:	
195		a. Symmetric Key ([KMIP-Spec] 2.2.2)	
196	3.	Supports the following client-to-server operations:	
197		a. Register ([KMIP-Spec] 4.3)	
198	4.	Supports the following attributes:	
199		a. Process Start Date ([KMIP-Spec] 3.20)	
200		 b. Protect Stop Date ([KMIP-Spec] 3.21) 	
201	5.	Supports the following subsets of enumerated attributes:	
202		a. Cryptographic Algorithm ([KMIP-Spec] 3.4 and 9.1.3.2.12)	
203		i. 3DES	
204		ii. AES	
205		b. Object Type ([KMIP-Spec] 3.3 and 9.1.3.2.11)	
206		i. Symmetric Key	
207	6.	Supports the following subsets of enumerated objects:	
208		a. Key Format Type ([KMIP-Spec] 3.4 and 9.1.3.2.3)	
209		i. Raw	
210		ii. Transparent Symmetric Key	
211	7.	Optionally supports any clause within [KMIP-Spec]specification that is not listed above	
212	8	Ontionally supports extensions outside the scope of this standard (e.g., vendor extensions	

212 8. Optionally supports extensions outside the scope of this standard (e.g., vendor extensions, conformance clauses) that do not contradict any KMIP requirements

5.3 Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server Conformance Clause

This proposal intends to meet this OASIS requirement by building on the KMIP Server Conformance
 Clause defined in the <u>KMIP Specification</u> to provide basic symmetric key services. The intent is to simply
 allow key creation and serving with very limited key types.

- 218 **5.3.1 Implementation Conformance**
- An implementation is a conforming KMIP Basic Symmetric Key Store and Server if the implementation meets the conditions as outlined in the following section.

221 5.3.2 Conformance as a KMIP Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server

- An implementation conforms to this specification as a KMIP Basic Symmetric Key Foundry and Server if it meets the following conditions:
- 1. Supports the conditions required by the KMIP Server conformance clauses. ([KMIP-Spec] 12.1)
- 225 2. Supports the following additional objects
- 226 a. Symmetric Key ([KMIP-Spec] 2.2.2)
- 227 3. Supports the following client-to-server operations:
- 228 a. Create (**[KMIP-Spec]** 4.1)
- 229 4. Supports the following attributes:

230

a. Process Start Date ([KMIP-Spec] 3.20)

231		b. Protect Stop Date ([KMIP-Spec] 3.21)
232	5.	Supports the following subsets of enumerated attributes:
233		a. Cryptographic Algorithm ([KMIP-Spec] 3.4 and 9.1.3.2.12)
234		i. 3DES
235		ii. AES
236		b. Object Type ([KMIP-Spec] 3.3 and 9.1.3.2.11)
237		i. Symmetric Key
238	6.	Supports the following subsets of enumerated objects:
239		a. Key Format Type ([KMIP-Spec] 3.4 and 9.1.3.2.3)
240		i. Raw
241		ii. Transparent Symmetric Key
242	7.	Optionally supports any clause within [KMIP-Spec]specification that is not listed above
243 244	8.	Optionally supports extensions outside the scope of this standard (e.g., vendor extensions, conformance clauses) that do not contradict any KMIP requirements
245		
246		

A. Acknowledgements

250

Original Authors of the initial contribution:

The following individuals have participated in the creation of this specification and are gratefully acknowledged:

251 Bruce Rich, IBM 252 253 **Participants:** 254 255 Mike Allen, PGP Corporation 256 Gordon Arnold, IBM 257 Todd Arnold, IBM 258 Matthew Ball, Oracle Corporation 259 Elaine Barker, NIST 260 Peter Bartok, Venafi, Inc. 261 Mathias Björkqvist, IBM 262 Kevin Bocek, Thales e-Security 263 Kelley Burgin, National Security Agency Jon Callas, PGP Corporation 264 Tom Clifford, Symantec Corp. 265 Graydon Dodson, Lexmark International Inc. 266 Chris Dunn, SafeNet, Inc. 267 Paul Earsy, SafeNet, Inc. 268 Stan Feather, Hewlett-Packard 269 270 Indra Fitzgerald, Hewlett-Packard Alan Frindell, SafeNet, Inc. 271 272 Judith Furlong, EMC Corporation 273 Jonathan Geater, Thales e-Security 274 Robert Griffin, EMC Corporation Robert Haas, IBM 275 276 Thomas Hardiono, M.I.T. 277 Kurt Heberlein, 3PAR, Inc. 278 Marc Hocking, BeCrypt Ltd. 279 Larry Hofer, Emulex Corporation 280 Brandon Hoff, Emulex Corporation 281 Walt Hubis, LSI Corporation 282 Tim Hudson, Cryptsoft Pty Ltd. Wyllys Ingersoll, Oracle Corporation 283 284 Jay Jacobs, Target Corporation Glen Jaquette, IBM 285 Scott Kipp, Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. 286 287 David Lawson, Emulex Corporation 288 Hal Lockhart, Oracle Corporation Robert Lockhart, Thales e-Security 289 290 Shyam Mankala, EMC Corporation 291 Upendra Mardikar, PayPal Inc. 292 Marc Massar, Individual 293 Don McAlister, Associate Hyrum Mills, Mitre Corporation 294 Bob Nixon, Emulex Corporation 295 Landon Curt Noll, Cisco Systems, Inc. 296 René Pawlitzek, IBM 297 298 John Peck, IBM Rob Philpott, EMC Corporation 299

300	Scott Rea, Individual
301	Bruce Rich, IBM
302	Scott Rotondo, Oracle Corporation
303	Saikat Saha, Vormetric, Inc.
304	Anil Saldhana, Red Hat
305	Subhash Sankuratripati, NetApp
306	Mark Schiller, Hewlett-Packard
307	Jitendra Singh, Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.
308	Servesh Singh, EMC Corporation
309	Terence Spies, Voltage Security
310	Sandy Stewart, Oracle Corporation
311	Marcus Streets, Thales e-Security
312	Brett Thompson, SafeNet, Inc.
313	Benjamin Tomhave, Individual
314	Sean Turner, IECA, Inc.
315	Paul Turner, Venafi, Inc.
316	Marko Vukolić, IBM
317	Rod Wideman, Quantum Corporation
318	Steven Wierenga, Hewlett-Packard
319	Peter Yee, EMC Corporation
320	Krishna Yellepeddy, IBM
321	Peter Zelechoski, Election Systems & Software
322	Grace Zhang, Skyworth TTG Holdings Limited

323 B. Revision History

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
ed-0.98	2009-09-18	Robert Griffin	Initial conversion of symmetric key profiles, as created by Bruce Rich, into this KMIP Profiles document.
ed-0.98	2009-09-29	Subhash Sankuratripati	Adding the notion of authentication sets
ed-0.99	2009-10-05	Subhash Sankuratripati	Incorporating feedback that was received during the F2F
ed-0.99	2009-10-21	Subhash Sankuratripati	Incorporating additional feedback and getting the document ready to be committee draft
ed-0.99	2009-10-23	Subhash Sankuratripati	Other minor edits
ed-0.99	2009-11-01	Subhash Sankuratripati	More editorial changes
ed-0.99	2009-11-06	Subhash Sankuratripati	Version that is to be submitted as committee draft
cd-01	2009-11-06	Subhash Sankuratripati	First version as committee draft
cd-02	2009-11-09	Subhash Sankuratripati	Corrected reference to conformance clause section of [KMIP-Spec] from 13.1 to 12.1 and another minor edit.
cd-03	2009-11-11	Subhash Sankuratripati	Accepting all changes and removing previous versions
cd-04	2010-11-12	Subhash Sankuratripati	Corrected document URIs
cd-05	2010-03-05	Subhash Sankuratripati	 Addressing public review comments by adding Support for TLS 1.2, Adding references to normative documents Added an informative warning regarding the usage of TLS 1.0 in certain scenarios due to a security issue Added an errata for NIST 800-57 Part 3
cd-06	2010-05-26	Subhash Sankuratripati	Updating references to latest committee draft versions and participant list

324