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1 Introduction

This document describes the various Identity Management use cases, challenges and applicable standards in the Identity Management Outsourcing in the Cloud Computing model.

Many of the services in the Internet require some identity-related functionality, such as authentication, information exchange, user attributes aggregation, etc. However, due to the diversity raised by cloud environments, it is hard for some enterprises or organizations to provide the required identity functionality needed for interacting with the different services.

Organizations or enterprises which do not have enough resources to deploy the required identity infrastructure could decide to externalize such identity management functionality. By outsourcing the identity management, those enterprises get another enterprise to be in charge of providing the required identity management functionality on their behalf.

1.1 References

[NIST-SP800-145]

[IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]
M. Rutkowski, *OASIS Identity In The Cloud Use Cases v1.0*, OASIS Standards Consortium, 08 May 2012. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/id-cloud/IDCloud-usecases/v1.0/cn01/IDCloud-usecases-v1.0-cn01.html](http://docs.oasis-open.org/id-cloud/IDCloud-usecases/v1.0/cn01/IDCloud-usecases-v1.0-cn01.html)
2 Definitions

Cloud Platform as a Service (PaaS)

The capability provided to the consumer is to deploy onto the cloud infrastructure consumer-created or acquired applications created using programming languages and tools supported by the provider. The consumer does not manage or control the underlying cloud infrastructure including network, servers, operating systems, or storage, but has control over the deployed applications and possibly application hosting environment configurations. [NIST-SP800-145]

 Outsourcing identity

Outsourcing consists of an entity contracting with another company or person to do a particular function. Typically, the function being outsourced is considered non-core to the business. A company may want to outsource some functionality if it could be done more efficiently and therefore more cost-effectively, by other companies with specialized tools and facilities and specially trained personnel.

In this document we focus on outsourcing the identity management functionality. We consider outsourcing identity management to externalize all or part of the identity-related functionality. That includes authentication, information exchange, user attributes aggregation, etc. Due to the diversity raised by cloud environments, it is hard for some enterprises or organizations to deploy the required infrastructure needed to interact with the different services. Hence, organizations or enterprises which do not have enough resources to deploy the required identity infrastructure could decide to externalize such identity management functionality.

Moreover, identity-related functionality not only includes implementing standard protocols for authentication, or authorization, such as SAML, OpenID or XACML, but it also requires establishing trust relationships with the different services, defining complex SLA agreements, exchanging public key certificates and so forth. Furthermore, the organizations should be adapted to the peculiarities of each service to interact with it.

By outsourcing the identity management, those enterprises get another enterprise to be in charge of providing the required identity management functionality on their behalf. The outsourcing vendor deploys the required identity infrastructure and establishes the necessary trust relationships with the different services making use of virtual Identity Providers in the cloud, which offer identity management functionality via SaaS. In this way, other enterprises or organizations could make use of that solution to access the different services without requiring being in charge of identity management.

Figure 1 represents an overview of a basic outsourcing scenario, where two enterprises externalize their identity-related functionality to the outsourcing service, which in turn is used as a bridge for accessing the different Internet services.
As previously commented, identity-related functionality involves many different aspects. They are represented in Figure 2 and described below.

**Figure 1. Outsourcing Service Scenario Overview**

**Figure 2. Outsourcing Services**
• Session Management Services: Web sessions are established to maintain a state for each user of a web service. This form the basis for providing Single Sign-On (SSO).

• Authentication Services: The authentication process is often the aspect of security that is most visible to users. It validates that the user is actually who is claiming to be. There have been defined several authentication mechanisms, whose applicability depends on the requirements of the scenario.

• Key Management Services: An important part of outsourcing services is to manage trust relationships between the different providers. The outsourcing service establishes trust relationships by defining different Service-level agreements (SLA) and secured deploying certification services, such as public key infrastructure (PKI).

• Authorization Services: Besides authenticating the users, it is necessary to determine what actions are they able to perform over which resources.

• Audit Services: As many other identity management systems, outsourcing services have to incorporate an effective auditing system able to trace the relevant events happened in the system, so the users cannot deny performing an operation or initiating a transaction.

• Virtualization Services: The identity management functionality is virtualized for each company which wants to outsource this functionality. This allows the companies to manage their identity-related information without interferer with each other.
3 Use Cases

3.1 Use Case 2: Identity Provisioning

3.1.1 Short description
Feature the need support and manage customer policies for identity decommissioning including transitioning of affected resources to new identities. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.1.2 Relevant applicable standards
- Standards that provision uid’s
- SPML
- OSLC (open-services.net) – open services for life cycle collaboration stds
- SCIM
- DMTF CIMI

3.2 Use Case 4: Identity Configuration

3.2.1 Short description
Feature the need for portable standards to configure identities in cloud applications and infrastructure (virtual machines, servers etc). For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.2.2 Relevant applicable standards
- LDAP
- LDIF
- TOSCA
- OVF
- SAML

3.3 Use Case 16: Offload Identity Management to External Business Entity

3.3.1 Short description
Show the need for federated identity management which enables an enterprise to make available cloud-hosted applications to either the employees of its customers & business partners
or its own institutional consumers and avoid directly managing identities (accounts) for those users. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.3.2 Relevant applicable standards

- SAML
- OpenID
- OpenID Connect
- OAuth
- WS-Federation
- SCIM
- SPML

3.4 Use Case 17: Per Tenant Identity Provider Configuration

3.4.1 Short description

Show the need for cloud tenants to securely manage cloud services using automated tools rather than navigating and manually configuring each service individually. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.4.2 Relevant applicable standards

- IMI
- SPML
- SCIM

3.5 Use Case 18: Delegated Identity Provider Configuration

3.5.1 Short description

Show the need for cloud tenant administrators need to delegate access to their identity services configuration within a multi-tenant cloud service to their chosen identity provider service. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.5.2 Relevant applicable standards

- IMI
3.6 Use Case 20: Government Provisioning of Cloud Services

3.6.1 Short description
Show how authorized government personnel could be granted access and assigned appropriate privileges to configure and provision a cloud service. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.6.2 Relevant applicable standards
- SAML
- XACML
- SPML
- SCIM

3.7 Use Case 26: Identity Impersonation / Delegation

3.7.1 Short description
Customers of the cloud provider may require a cloud provider to supply support that permits one identity to impersonates the identity of another customer without sacrificing security. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.7.2 Relevant applicable standards
- WS-Trust

3.8 Use Case 27: Federated User Account Provisioning and Management for a Community of Interest (CoI)

3.8.1 Short description
Show the need for provisioning, administration and governance of user identities and their attributes for organizations that have a distributed structure which includes many central, branch offices and business partners where each may utilize cloud deployment models. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.8.2 Relevant applicable standards
- SPML
- SCIM
- IGF
3.9 Use Case 29: User Delegation of Access to Personal Data in a Public Cloud

3.9.1 Short description

Users are able to dynamically delegate (grant and revoke) and constrain access to files or data stored with a cloud service provider to users whose identities are managed by external identity providers. For extended description of this use case, please refer to [IDCLOUD-USECASES-1.0]

3.9.2 Relevant applicable standards

- UMA
- XACML
4 Standards
5 Challenges

5.1 Identity Provisioning
There is a need to manage lifecycle (Create, Read, Update and Delete) of users.

5.2 Delegated Authorization
There is a need to perform authorization processes. Furthermore, the authorization may be directed by other entities and hence the delegated authorization enabled. For example, a company wants to control the access of their users by their own methods and the authorization decisions should be delegated to it.

5.3 Administration
There is a need to enable administration capabilities so the different enterprises could manage their identity-related information.

5.4 Identity Confidentiality
There is a need to provide confidentiality services for identities. This includes capabilities such as Encryption, Decryption and Key Management.
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