

# Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) Enforcing Connection Uniqueness Version 1.0

# Committee Specification 01 17 September 2018

### **Specification URIs**

#### This version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/soleconn/v1.0/cs01/soleconn-v1.0-cs01.xml (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/soleconn/v1.0/cs01/soleconn-v1.0-cs01.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/soleconn/v1.0/cs01/soleconn-v1.0-cs01.pdf

#### **Previous version:**

N/A

#### **Latest version:**

http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/soleconn/v1.0/soleconn-v1.0.xml (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/soleconn/v1.0/soleconn-v1.0.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/soleconn/v1.0/soleconn-v1.0.pdf

#### **Technical Committee:**

OASIS Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) TC

#### **Chairs:**

Robert Godfrey (rgodfrey@redhat.com), Red Hat Clemens Vasters (clemensv@microsoft.com), Microsoft

#### Editor:

Robert Godfrey (rgodfrey@redhat.com), Red Hat

#### Related work:

This specification is related to:

 OASIS Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) Version 1.0 Part 0: Overview. Edited by Robert Godfrey, David Ingham, and Rafael Schloming. 29 October 2012. OASIS Standard. http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-os.html.

#### **Abstract:**

The Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) is an open internet protocol for business messaging. This document defines a mechanism by which two processes communicating using AMQP v1.0 can ensure and enforce that there exists only a single open AMQP connection between the two of them.

#### Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the OASIS Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document. Any other numbered Versions and other technical work produced by the Technical Committee (TC) are listed at https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc\_home.php?wg\_abbrev=amqp#technical.

TC members should send comments on this specification to the TC's email list. Others should send comments to the TC's public comment list, after subscribing to it by following the instructions at the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's web page at https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/amqp/.

This specification is provided under the RF on RAND Terms Mode of the OASIS IPR Policy, the mode chosen when the Technical Committee was established. For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the TC's web page (https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/amqp/ipr.php).

Note that any machine-readable content (Computer Language Definitions) declared Normative for this Work Product is provided in separate plain text files. In the event of a discrepancy between any such plain text file and display content in the Work Product's prose narrative document(s), the content in the separate plain text file prevails.

#### **Citation format:**

When referencing this specification the following citation format should be used:

#### [soleconn-v1.0]

Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) Enforcing Connection Uniqueness Version 1.0. Edited by Robert Godfrey. 17 September 2018. OASIS Committee Specification 01.

http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/soleconn/v1.0/cs01/soleconn-v1.0-cs01.pdf.

Latest version: http://docs.oasis-open.org/amgp/soleconn/v1.0/soleconn-v1.0.pdf.

#### **Notices:**

Copyright © OASIS Open 2018. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see https://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/trademark for above guidance.

# **Contents**

1	Introduction	4				
	1.1 IPR Policy	4				
	1.2 Terminology					
	1.3 Normative References					
	1.4 Non Normative References	4				
2	Overview	5				
	2.1 Dealing With Duplicates	5				
3	Duplicate Connection Detection	6				
	3.1 Connection Capabilities	6				
	3.2 Connection Properties	6				
	3.2.1 Sole Connection Enforcement Policy	7				
	3.2.2 Sole Connection Detection Policy	7				
4	Conformance	9				
Αŗ	Appendix A. Acknowlegements					
Appendix B. Revision History						

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 IPR Policy

This specification is provided under the RF on RAND Terms Mode of the OASIS IPR Policy, the mode chosen when the Technical Committee was established. For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the TC's web page (https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/amqp/ipr.php).

### 1.2 Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] and [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The authoritative form of this specification consists of a set of XML source documents. These documents are transformed into PDF and HTML representations for readability. The machine readable version of the AMQP DTD describes the XML used for the authoritative source documents. This DTD includes the definition of the syntax used any excerpts of XML presented in the PDF and HTML representations.

#### 1.3 Normative References

#### [AMQP]

Godfrey, Robert; Ingham, David; Schloming, Rafael, *Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) Version 1.0.* October 2012, OASIS Standard.

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-os.html>

#### [RFC2119]

Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP14, RFC2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997.

<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>

#### [RFC8174]

Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC2119 Key Words", BCP14, RFC8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017,

<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>

#### 1.4 Non Normative References

#### [AMQPCONNCAP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Connection Capabilities

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/connection-capabilities

#### [AMQPCONNPROP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Connection Properties

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/connection-properties

soleconn-v1.0-cs01 17 September 2018 Standards Track Work Product Copyright © OASIS Open 2018. All Rights Reserved. Page 4 of 11

# 2 Overview

AMQP connections are established between *containers*. On sending the initial open performative, each peer indicates its own container-id in the container-id field of open. The *container-id* is intended to uniquely identify the container amongst all containers that may establish connections to each other.

It is permitted for multiple connections to be open between two given containers at any given time. While this behavior is generally useful (for instance to allow load balancing across multiple network paths), in certain use cases it is important for the communicating peers to ensure that there is at most one open connection between them.

This document defines how an AMQP container may advertise its ability to detect multiple connections from the same peer, and how its partner may use this ability to request action to remedy the situation such that only one connection remains.

### 2.1 Dealing With Duplicates

There are essentially two behaviors that a container can implement to deal with unexpected duplicate connections from the same source:

- 1. refuse the new attempt to connect to the container
- 2. close the existing connection and admit the new connection

A container complying with this specification MUST be capable of supporting both these behaviors. The behavior the container detecting the duplicates uses MUST be determined by the behavior desired by its partner.

# 3 Duplicate Connection Detection

Within this section we shall use the term *requesting container* to denote the container which is requesting that its partner detect duplicate connections. We shall use the term *enforcing container* to denote the container which will detect the duplicate connections and perform any necessary enforcement actions.

Note that it is technically possible (though of dubious functional value) for both containers involved in the connection to be acting as both the *requesting container* and *enforcing container* simultaneously. Each container independently indicates its ability to perform the role of enforcer and its desire for its partner to do so.

### 3.1 Connection Capabilities

Name	Description
sole-connection-for-container	If present in the desired-capabilities field of open then the sender of open desires to act as the <i>requesting</i> container.
	If present in the offered-capabilities field of open then the sender of open performative supports detecting whether multiple connections from the same source container have been opened (i.e. the container is capable of acting as the <i>enforcing container</i> ).
	The behavior the <i>enforcing container</i> MUST take on detecting a duplicate is determined by the value (if any) associated with the property <i>sole-connection-enforcement-policy</i> in the properties field of open sent by the <i>requesting container</i> .

## 3.2 Connection Properties

Name	Description
sole-connection-enforcement-policy	If present in the properties field of open sent by the requesting container the value determines the action the enforcing container MUST take upon detecting that this connection is a duplicate. The value associated with this property MUST be of type sole-connection-enforcement-policy. If the property is not present, the enforcing container MUST use the refuse-connection policy.

sole-connection-detection-policy	If present in the properties field of open sent by the enforcing container the value indicates its behavior when not all connections from the requesting container indicate the same requirements with regard to duplicate detection. The value associated with this property MUST be of type sole-connection-detection-policy. If the property is not present in the properties field of
	open sent by the <i>enforcing container</i> then the <i>requesting</i> container can assume strong detection.

#### 3.2.1 Sole Connection Enforcement Policy

Defines the action the *enforcing container* MUST take if it detects that there is an already existing connection between the two containers.

#### **Valid Values**

- Upon detecting the existence of an existing connection, the *enforcing container* will refuse the opening of a new connection. If the *enforcing container* has not already sent the open for the connection, it MUST add the property *amap:connection-establishment-failed* to the properties field of open having boolean value true. The *enforcing container* MUST then immediately send a close and the error field of close MUST have an error with the condition field of error being invalid-field and the info field of error having the symbol key *invalid-field* taking the symbol value *container-id*.
- Upon detecting the existence of an existing connection, the *enforcing container* will close the existing connection before opening the new one. The existing connection MUST be closed with the error field of close having the condition field of error being resource-locked. Further the info field of error MUST contain the symbol key *sole-connection-enforcement* taking the boolean value *true*.

#### 3.2.2 Sole Connection Detection Policy

Defines the action the *enforcing container* takes if not all connections between the two containers establish the same sole connection enforcement.

#### **Valid Values**

- If the *enforcing container* uses the *strong* detection policy then for every connection which is established, the *enforcing container* will check to see if a connection already exists between the two containers which has a sole connection enforcement requirement (even if the new connection does not state such a requirement). In such cases, the policy of the existing connection will be enforced. If any connections do exist between the two containers, but they do not have a sole connection enforcement requirement, then any sole connection enforcement policy of the new connection will be applied (if present).
- If the *enforcing container* uses the *weak* detection policy then duplicate detection is only carried out when the new connection requires sole connection enforcement. In this case it is possible that an existing connection which was established with a sole connection detection requirement will no longer be the sole connection between the two containers, as a subsequent connection may not trigger duplicate detection.

# 4 Conformance

In 3. Duplicate Connection Detection the terms *enforcing container* and *requesting container* are defined for the different roles an implementation might play with respect to this specification. These roles are specific to an individual connection, and the same AMQP container may take on different roles on different connections.

A conforming implementation in the *enforcing container* role MUST adhere to the MUST and REQUIRED level requirements for an *enforcing container* defined in 3.1 Connection Capabilities and 3.2 Connection Properties.

A conforming implementation in the *requesting container* role MUST adhere to the MUST and REQUIRED level requirements for an *requesting container* defined in 3.1 Connection Capabilities and 3.2 Connection Properties.

# **Appendix A. Acknowlegements**

The following individuals have participated in the creation of this specification and are gratefully acknowledged:

- Robert Gemmell (Red Hat)
- Robert Godfrey (Red Hat)
- Ted Ross(Red Hat)

# **Appendix B. Revision History**

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
WD01	23-Mar-2017	Rob Godfrey	Initial Revision
WD02	13-Apr-2017	Rob Godfrey	AMQP-113 : Fix typos, and add clarification
WD03	6-Oct-2017	Rob Godfrey	AMQP-123 : Fix typos
			AMQP-125 : Add a (minimal) conformance section
			AMQP-126 : Clarify the strong sole-connection-detection-policy
WD04	20-Apr-2018	Rob Godfrey	AMQP-123 : Fix typos
			Update conformance section
WD05	15-Jun-2018	Rob Godfrey	Fix typos remove reference to the undefined 'AMQP Network'